

Chief Editor: Henry Francis (USA)
Issue: 10
Editors: Mark Horton (Great Britain)
Brian Senior (Great Britain)
Monday
Layout Editor: Stelios Hatzidakis (Greece)
31 st August 1998

## All students are winners!

There were no losers in the three competitions for schoolchildren held alongside the World Championships. Each of the 228 competitors had a great time. They collected their championship bag and baseball cap, watched the players in the Rosenblum Teams, and then took their seats for one of three competitions, depending on their experience. They saw the World Junior Champions from Denmark, and later met the World Champions from France. They all had free meals and accommodation in their two-day contest, and after it finished went off to watch a Premier League football match being played locally.

The least experienced (the youngest was a boy, Aurelien Gerard, aged 8) were in the Minibridge section where the level of contract is determined by your total number of points. In Minibridge players announce their points, with the side holding the most points becoming declarer. The declarer then chooses trumps after seeing dummy. The winners


were Capucine Mouret, I5, and Amelie Imbenotte, I6, from St. Odile's School in Lambersart near Lille.

The more experienced competed in Minibridge Competitif, similar to real bridge without conventions. The Minibridge sections were all French. The winners were Michael and Marc Soussand.

Those able to play full bridge com-
peted in the first Schools Championship held at a World Championship. There were 22 pairs from France, Poland and Belgium. The youngest players, Elke and Ine Ydens, 12 and 13 respectively, sisters from Belgium, led at halftime and eventually finished in sixth place. The winners were Piotr Madry \& Lukasz Piworowicz of Poland.


Ville de Lille et


José Damiani, WBF President and Andrezj Orlow, president of the Polish Bridge Union, with the winners of the Schools Pairs.

## Results:

Schools: I. P. Madry \& L. Piworowicz (Pol) 227.9; 2. R. Brisson \& M. Six (Fra) 217.5; 3. J \& K. Kotorowicz (Pol) 215.0.

Minibridge Competitif: I. Michael \& Marc Soussand VM 258.8; 2. Julien \& David Dessaux BR 250.9; 3. David Lefebvre \& Julien Dubreuil DA 232.3.

Minibridge: I.Capucine Mouret \& Amelie Imbenotte FL 248.I; 2. Michael Pont \& Emmanauel Maruani HU 247.8; 3. Nicolas Moyrand \& Stanislas Perney FL 24I.0.


Paul Chemla with the winners of the Minibridge (Amelie, 16, on the right and Capucine, I5, on the left of the photo).

## Three-nation team wins Senior Teams



The team captained by Karl Rohan of Austria won a convincing 62-0 victory in the final of the Elf Senior Teams. As a matter of fact, that was the score after the first 14 boards of the 28-board match. The Polish team captained by Mr. Szenberg conceded the match at the halfway mark.

Playing with Rohan were Nissan Rand and Moshe Katz of Israel, Franz Baratta of Austria and Christo Drumev of Bulgaria. Rohan, Rand, Katz and Baratta were winning this event for the second straight time - they also were the victors in Albuquerque in 1994. The other two players on the 1994 team joined a different team this time around.

Rand and Katz played throughout. Baratta played half the time with Rohan and half with Drumev. Drumev, president of the Bulgarian Bridge Federation, spent many years as a diplomat in Vienna where he formed a partnership with Baratta.

Baratta, as captain, and Rohan, as a player, just missed winning the Bermuda Bowl in 1985 in Sao Paulo, Brazil, losing to the United States in the final. Earlier in that year they won the European Team Championship in the same capacities.

Rohan was a member of the WBF Executive for six years and he also was treasurer of the European Bridge League for II years. Now retired, he plans to spend nine months a year in Austria and three in Boca Raton, Florida.

Rand is chairman of the WBF Senior Committee. He and Katz just recently won the Senior Pairs and placed second in the Open Pairs in Israel.

Rohan told of the very first hand of the tournament - $a$ hand that was dealt by people, not by a computer. He picked up:

$$
\text { - } \quad \checkmark \text { AKQ J } 7643 \quad \diamond \text { AK } 842
$$

His right-hand opponent opened $1 \%$. Rohan decided this called for heroic measures so he bid $7 \triangle$. He felt that scientific measures would never enable him to learn whether his partner had third-round control of diamonds. He was also fearful that his opponents might have a good save in spades. The next player doubled, and after the opening lead, this is what Rohan saw in dummy: $\& \mathrm{KQ} 654 \vee 9852 \diamond 7$ 2 K 93

Grand slam bid and made - doubled! At the other table they stopped in $6 \square$.
"When this happened on the very first hand, I felt that this was going to be our tournament," said Rohan.

How right he was!

## All European final

Today's Louis Vuitton McConnell Cup will be an all European affair. The team from Austria led by Maria Erhart faces the one from Germany led by Sabine Auken. As both players would be on everyone's list of the World's top women players it promises to be quite a match.

Both finalists defeated American opponents in hard fought semi-finals so we already know that the bronze medals will go to the United States in the guise of either Wood or Truscott.

Both finalists are playing four-handed so it remains to be seen if fatigue will play any part in deciding who will emerge with the title and the Gold medals.

## Dramatic last board win for Lindkvist

The Vivendi Rosenblum is down to just four teams, all from different countries. Chagas v Lindkvist and Bramley v Angelini is how they line up for today's semi-finals. Brazil v Sweden and USA v Italy.

The closest of the quarter-finals was the match involving the team from Sweden and the Hackett team from Great Britain. Everything hinged on the very last board and the Swedish declarer was left with an awkward guess in a two-card ending. A place in the last four depended on his decision and after what doubtless seemed to be an eternity he got it right to end British hopes.

## USA and Germany lead the qualifiers

The Open and Women's Pairs events have now reached the Semi-final stage.

Leading the way in the Open were a pair from the United States, the redoubtable Jeff Meckstroth this time playing with Perry Johnson whilst the Women were headed by Germany's Pony Nehmert and Wiesa Miroslaw.

## Starting times

All pair events will start today at II.00. The Vivendi Rosenblum semifinals and the Louis Vuitton McConnell final will start at 10.00.

Competitors in the pair consolations must pick up their starting assignments - the assignments will NOT be posted.

## VIVENDI ROSENBLUM CUP

(Knockout Phase of 8)

| 1 | HACKETT | GBR | LINDKVIST | SWE | 38 | 33 | 29 | 22 | 20 | 39 | 34 | 35 | 121 | 129 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | CHAGAS | BRA | SUNDELIN | SWE | 28 | 24 | 15 | 27 | 43 | 29 | 61 | 41 | 147 | 121 |
| 3 | BRAMLEY | USA | MUNKSGAARD | DNK | 71 | 6 | 53 | 12 | 21 | 27 | 28 | 61 | 173 | 106 |
| 4 | ANGELINI | ITA | MAAS | NLD | 37 | 40 | 19 | 38 | 41 | 32 | 72 | 8 | 169 | 118 |

## X LOUIS VUITTON McCONNELL CUP

(Knockout Phase of 4)

| 1 | ERHART | AUT | TRUSCOTT | USA | 23 |  | 29 | 7 | 14 | 35 | 39 | 31 | 105 | 89 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | AUKEN | DEU | WOOD | USA | 17 | 38 | 16 | 6 | 31 | 8 |  | 10 | 112 | 62 |


|  |  | ELF SENIORS TEAMS <br> (Final and 3rd place play-off) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{PO} \end{gathered}$ | SZENBERG | POL | ROHAN | AUT | 0 | 62 | Withdrew | 0 | 62 |
|  | ORLOW | POL | ROSEN | USA | 29 | 10 | 2219 | 51 | 29 |

## LOUIS VUITTON WOMEN PAIRS

(Qualifying stage - Final Results)

| I NEHMERT B | MIROSLAW W | DEU | 228.24 | 41 LACROIXE | POULAIN C | FRA | 208.04 | 81 MISZEWSKA E | SOBOLEWSKA E | POL | 194.46 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 MENLL R | PIGEAUD F | FRA | 227.73 | 42 BLOOMV | HOLROYD M | ZAF | 207.48 | 82 Flesichauer L | STOECKMANNI | GER | 193.57 |
| 3 SUTHERLIN P | HAMMAN P | USA | 226.94 | 43 LESGUILLIER N | DAS M | FRA | 206.94 | 83 DAMAYANTIF | LIEML | IDN | 193.25 |
| 4 AXELROD B | HAMMERSCHLAG U | ZAF | 226.08 | 44 LISEC | BLOUQUIT C | FRA | 206.75 | 84 delbees | ROTH M | FRA | 191.86 |
| 5 WEBERE | VECHIATTO C | GER | 223.42 | 45 KITA M | KROGULSKA | POL | 206.46 | 85 UZEL C | CARRE R | FRA | 19.39 |
| 6 MATHESONP | PATRICK K | GBR | 221.67 | 46 MARECHAL N | PETTT F | BEL | 205.95 | 86 KURATANIT | HIRAMORIT | IPN | 191.05 |
| 7 CAPODANNOL | DANDREA M | ITA | 221.43 | 47 BLONDELC | WICKERS | FRA | 204.21 | 87 WADDINGTONS | SALT G | GBR | 190.90 |
| 8 PINCUS C | ROGERS B | USA | 221.11 | 48 FOSTER B | VAN RENSBURG N | ZAF | 204.14 | 88 KHAHDWALAV | VED J | IND | 190.63 |
| 9 RIBEROLC | RICARD C | FRA | 219.80 | 49 DOEDENS P | WIMAA | NLD | 203.98 | 89 MITALSHIE | YOSHMMORIY | JAP | 190.54 |
| 10 KERLERO P | TIB-DESBOIS C | FRA | 219.40 | 50 JONESS | REED B | USA | 203.53 | 90 SEKIYAAM H | JANSSEN H | JPN | 189.33 |
| II EYTHORSDOTTTR H | RADINJ | USA | 219.28 | 51 BAKC | HOOGWEG F | NLD | 203.19 | 91 CASPANIM | Vanuzzim | ITA | 189.30 |
| 12 GIANARDIC | ROVERA L | ITA | 218.36 | 52 OLIIERIG | GOLIN C | ITA | 202.59 | 92 IWAKURAE | SHIMAMURA K | JPN | 188.61 |
| 13 CLEMENTM | DUMONS | FRA | 217.93 | 53 MANDELOTA | NOGUERA H | BRA | 202.42 | 93 BROMBERGA | COLIN C | USA | 188.39 |
| 14 MIDSKOG K | MELLSTR ${ }^{\text {M }}$ MJ | SWE | 217.89 | 54 CHLEWINSKA Z | TOMASZEWSKAW | POL | 202.40 | 94 SHAW B | HYATTI | USA | 188.19 |
| 15 LANGERD | GERSTELM | CHE | 217.73 | 55 HUGONE | VARENNEM | FRA | 202.22 | 95 DE GUlLLEBON C | COCHETA | FRA | 188.08 |
| 16 MODLINM | MANSELL P | ZAF | 215.61 | 56 HARASIMOWICZ E | HOCHEKER D | POL | 201.99 | 96 RAYMOND H | THADANIS | IND | 188.05 |
| 17 TESSAROT | BARRETTEA | USA | 215.30 | 57 ISOARD M | RENOUXM | FRA | 201.84 | 97 EISTONN | GARYN F | USA | 187.19 |
| 18 LOTTEM | COUSSON M | FRA | 215.26 | 58 POWIDZERS | PAIN L | BRA | 201.84 | 98 DEORA H | LAKHANI G | IND | 187.03 |
| 19 VALENSIO | DELORE | FRA | 215.24 | 59 MONSEGUR L | SAFRAC | ARG | 201.80 | 99 NAAR N | REYM | FRA | 186.93 |
| 20 HAVASE | CORMACK | AUS | 214.72 | 60 FLAMANT | ClaUSS | FRA | 201.63 | 100 AMOUKALM | SITTONJ | FRA | 186.34 |
| 21 ZHOVM | PIPERY |  | 214.57 | 61 TANM | KAIR | JPN | 201.30 | 101 ADAM $E E F$ | RASHIDS | PAK | 185.91 |
| 22 DEWASNEI | VANDENBOSSCHE C | BEL | 213.95 | 62 THEVENONS | DUCAN | FRA | 200.30 | 102 CORTES M | GARCIA C | BRA | 185.85 |
| 23 VRIEMD B 24 MORETTIR | ARNOLDS C KREMER C | NLD | 213.94 213.63 | 63 BACO L | CURETTIN GNOMOVAV | FRA RUS | 200.26 19875 | 103 LOSLEVERA | VAN SICHELENS | FRA | 185.59 |
| 24 MORETTIR | KREMER C REESSV | FRA | ${ }_{213.41}^{213.63}$ | 64 VOLINAV 65 WEXLERS | GNOMOVAV GWOZDZINSKY M | RUS | 198.75 198.62 | 104 SYVERTSENG | THORENV | NOR | 185.59 |
| 26 BAKERL | MC CALLUM K | USA | 213.03 | 66 SIMON C | MUNSON K | USA | 198.55 | 105 KLEMMENSENC | KOCHU | DNK | 184.02 |
| 27 LEMAITREE | JEANNIN-NALTET D | FRA | 212.52 | 67 SHATLAS | HOMSY M | EGY | 198.13 | 106 O'ROURKEL | SEIN | USA | 183.38 |
| 28 FAVASA | DE HEREDIA B | FRA | 211.84 | 68 HEINRICHS G | WENNING K | GER | 197.65 | 107 BlEHAUT | Flautrem | FRA | 183.24 |
| 29 NAKAOT | NISHIDA | JPN | 21.16 | 69 CULP-BRANDLM | WYNSTON L | CAN | 197.34 | 108 BLOMBERGC | STRTMM E | SWE | 181.37 |
| 30 LESURS | VERGEN | FRA | 210.97 | 70 LORMANTK | HENAFFJ | FRA | 196.86 | 109 SIX D | MALTOT C | FRA | 181.12 |
| 31 CASTELSL | LEONP | ESP | 210.74 | 71 BOLLACKL | REGENBERG L | BEL | 196.84 | 110 VAN GLABBEEK H | HOFLAND M | NLD | 180.61 |
| 32 BIRR B | GROMANN I | DEU | 210.48 | 72 ZENKEVITCHS | KARPENKO N | RUS | 196.78 | III BERNAZZANIM | BIRNBAUMS | VEN | 179.05 |
| 33 LAMOUR B | SCHURER $N$ | FRA | 210.38 | 73 MAUD K | LIIY K | EGY | 196.65 | 112 KRAUS E | DUBUS M | FRA | 177.22 |
| 34 CONTARINI K | JETZ M | LUX | 210.27 | 74 KAPLAN R | BALKUN D | ZAF | 196.16 | 113 TOUKANL | TOUKANW | JOR | 175.50 |
| 35 SPEELMAN B | DEBETS M | NLD | 210.14 | 75 BOJOHL | MANDOLANG | IDN | 196.04 | 114 NEESEN R | PORSTEINSDOTTIR | ISL | 174.69 |
| 36 ZUR-ALBO | LEVIT.PORAT R | ISR | 20.59 | 76 ADRAIND | HULETTM | ZAF | 195.94 | 115 SPENCERA | HARTLEY A | GRB | 172.56 |
| 37 BURGERS | SIONB | USA | 209.32 | 77 O'NEILLP | MEEHAN P | IRL | 195.89 | 116 DELCAMBREC | ARNOULD M | FRA | 170.80 |
| 38 PONOMAREVAT | MAITOVA E | RUS | 208.97 | 78 LECORREJ | PREVOTEAU C | FRA | 195.30 | 117 RENUA PATEL | SITTA S | IND | 169.25 |
| 39 MARCHESEA | MATIENZO M | ARG | 208.96 | 79 HAEMMERLIC | NIKITINE | CHE | 194.72 | 118 DESHAYSV | AMSELLEK C | FRA | 167.17 |
| 40 GARDY U | PASTERNAK M | POL | 208.56 | 80 DESERAFINIL | DEIPOLIM | ITA | 194.53 | 119 KURDIS | ZIYADEH Z | JOR | 106.91 |

# Vivendi Rosenblum Cup 

## Sundelin vs. Zakrzewski

The first set of deals was remarkably flat. Eleven of the 14 were played in the same contract at both tables, but the Swedish team captained by P.O. Sundelin made two huge gains during the first quarter of their vugraph match against the Polish team captained by A. Zakrzewski.

Board 3. E/W Vul. Dealer South.


Open Room
West

Sylvan \begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Martens

$\quad$

East <br>
Sundelin

 

South <br>
Szymanowski
\end{tabular}

South started with the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ and shifted to a spade to the queen and ace. P.O. Sundelin of Sweden crossed to the $\diamond K$ and cashed three clubs, ending in his hand. Then he finessed in


Cezary Balicki (Poland)
diamonds, and now he had 10 tricks - plus 630.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North |  |  |
| Zmudzinski | Larsson | East <br> Balicki | South <br> Lindquist |
| $2 \diamond$ | 4Q | All Pass |  |

Here Arne Larsson managed to find a way to take 10 tricks on a crossruff - plus 420. Even though the defence could prevail, it cost the Poles only an IMP or two. So the double-game swing provided 14 IMPs for Sweden.


The auction was the same in both rooms:

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | 32 | Pass |
| $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |  |

Arne Larsson led the e K in the Closed Room. Adam Zmudzinski won this but misguessed in diamonds and went down a trick. In the Open Room North led a heart to the king and ace. When Sylvan led a club, Krzysztof Martens put up the queen and was allowed to hold the trick. Sylvan also ducked the $\vee J$, but he won the heart continuation with the nine. He led a spade to the queen, then finessed for the jack on the way back. North won the jack, cashed the ace and got out of his hand with a heart to Sylvan's queen. He led a diamond to the king and cashed the 9 A , and the moment of truth had arrived. Sylvan had noticed that South had not discarded a single diamond, so he drew the conclusion that South must be guarding the suit, a view backed by the percentages. He backed his thought by finessing the $\diamond I O$ to make his contract and gain 12 IMPs.

Board I7. Love All. Dealer North.

```
4 AKQ 9
\vee107632
\diamond1063
&
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & - 3 \\
\hline  & \(\bigcirc\) KQ 4 \\
\hline & \(\checkmark\) AK 9 \\
\hline S & *KQ8642 \\
\hline -10652 & \\
\hline \(\bigcirc 19\) & \\
\hline \(\diamond\) Q 75 & \\
\hline 2 A 1075 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

- 1874
คA85
$\diamond 1842$
- 19


## Open Room

| West <br> Sylvan | North <br> Balicki | East <br> Sundelin | South <br> Zmudzinski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | 10 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $2 \%$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Sundelin judged well to run from 3NT - he knew he was going to find at least a partial club fit in dummy, and no more than a 7-count. He ruffed the second spade and immediately took a finesse to the 9 . As a result he lost only one spade, one diamond and one trump for plus 130.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Szymanowski | Astrom | Martens | Lindquist |
|  | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 29 | Pass |
| $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

Bjorn Astrom started with a high spade but shifted to a heart. Declarer had to go after clubs, and when he did Lindqvist took his ace and fired back a spade to defeat the contract.

Board 25. E/W Vul. Dealer North.

|  | - - |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - A95 3 |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ9432 |
|  | \& A Q 5 |
| , Q 1084 | N A AK963 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 84 | W - ¢K1076 |
| $\checkmark 6$ | W E $\mathrm{W}^{\text {Q }} 75$ |
| d 7642 | S 2 |
|  | 1 1752 |
|  | $\bigcirc{ }^{\text {J } 2}$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 108 |
|  | - K 1098 |

Sweden played in 5 - making by ruffing two hearts. The Poles bid it this way:

| West | North <br> Sylvan <br> Balicki | East <br> Sundelin | South <br> Zmudzinski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\& | 1\& | INT |  |
| All Pass |  | Dble | 3NT |

West led a spade to the king, and a spade back guaranteed five spade winners for the defense - 3NT down one.

Board 26. Game All. Dealer East.


## Closed Room

| West <br> Szymanowski | North <br> Astrom | East <br> Martens | South <br> Lindquist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| $3 \&$ | All Pass |  |  |

Marek Szymanowski had no trouble making his contract with an overtrick - plus 130.

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sylvan | Balicki | Sundelin <br> Zmudzinski |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ | $\mathrm{I} \oslash$ |
| INT | Dble | $3 N T$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Cezary Balicki led the 96 , his partnership's usual lead from such a holding. Adam Zmudzinski won the ace but then made the fatal play of returning a heart, a mistake which, it seemed to the commentators, could have been avoided (this almost has to be the layout in hearts). Now declarer can play two rounds of clubs, both of which must be ducked, and then set up diamonds with the \$K still in dummy as an entry to the good diamonds. But declarer also erred, throwing a diamond on his heart trick instead of a spade. Now he had only one spade, one heart (he couldn't cash that other heart while the opponents still had the $\uparrow$ ), two clubs and four diamonds. Down one, minus 200 and 8 IMPs to Zakrzewski.

## Decisions, decisions! Where would you like to be?

by Dr. Subir Roy

After two passes you find yourself looking at the following hand:

- AK 10853
- A 64
$\diamond-$
- 8642

Naturally you open 14. From there on the bidding seems to acquire a life of its own.

West \begin{tabular}{cccc}
North <br>
Agrawal

$\quad$ East $\quad$

South <br>
Vaidya
\end{tabular}

It's a crowded house. Although you signed off in game, the other players still have plenty to say. When the tray comes back to you, the bidding is up to the six level. Would you defend?

You have II HCP and partner is a passed hand. Opponents keep pushing on as does partner. Have you made up your mind?

Here's the entire hand.
Board I2. N/S Vul. Dealer West.
Q QJ 94

- K Q 72
$\diamond 9862$
- 5

| $\begin{aligned} & \& 762 \\ & \diamond 105 \\ & \diamond A K Q 104 \\ & \& K 107 \end{aligned}$ | N | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢1983 |
|  | W E | $\diamond$ J 753 |
|  | S | \& AQJ9 3 |
|  | - AK 108 | 53 |
|  | PA 64 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ - |  |
|  | \$8642 |  |

You bid on aiming for the moon and guess what? You manage to grasp it by your fingertips. Partner gives you (God bless his soul) a 'purrrfect' dummy. Funny hand isn't it? With II opposite 8 you are cold for the little slam. (A trump lead stops you from ruffing three clubs in dummy but East will eventually be squeezed in hearts and clubs.

If you defend 6$\rangle$ and partner leads a spade... Oops! Only a heart lead defeats your opponents' six-banger.

This was the only Indian team to make it to the Round of 64 in the Vivendi Rosenblum from an 18-team Indian contingent.

## Eight to late

by Patrick Jourdain (GB)
British teams met in the knock-out stage of the Vivendi Rosenblum Teams both in the Round of 64 and the Round of 32 . The good news here is that one must go through. In the Round of 32 Hackett beatArmstrong 135-1I9. In the final quarter Les Steel had narrowed the gap with this defence against Hackett pére:

E/W Game. Dealer East.

|  | - 53$$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4984753 | N |  | KQJ 1042 |
|  | W E |  | 10 |
| $\checkmark 10852$ |  |  |  |
| ¢ 10642 | S |  |  |
|  | - 76 |  |  |
|  | 『19842 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 64 |  |  |
|  | \& AK 8 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| King | Forrester | Steel | Hackett |
|  |  | 14 | Dble |
| Pass | 24 | 34 | Pass |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Both tables reached $4 \checkmark$ and West led the nine of spades. At the other table the Hackett twins tried three rounds of spades. South ruffed the third spade in his own hand with the eight. When this held, he cleared the trump ace, and was safe for ten tricks.

The trump promotion requires West to hold the jack. Steel spotted a defence which works when West has a singleton spade or the doubleton 98 , whatever his trumps. He would rely on the eight later. He overtook the spade lead with the king and switched to his singleton diamond. Declarer won in dummy and led a trump. East won the first trump, and then tried the four of spades. West, Philip King, was a little surprised to find himself on lead with the eight of spades, but knew what he had to do. A diamond ruff defeated the game.

Of course, if West has a singleton spade, he will be forced to ruff the second spade, and play a diamond. Steel's defence is only wrong when South has doubleton eight of spades and West the trump jack, which was very unlikely on the bidding.



## Wood (USA) v Bessis (FRA)

T
he strong French team captained by Véronique Bessis was favoured to overcome the American team of Nadine Wood in the Louis Vuitton McConnell Cup quarterfinal, but at the three-quarter mark it was WOOD who led by $86-76$. Could the French, among the favourites for the competition, turn the match around?

Board I7. Nil Vul. Dealer North.

The very first board of the set saw the lead change hands. Tornay/Michaels bid the East/West cards INT - 3NT and Bessis led the obvious low spade to take the first six tricks for -100 .

It would not have been surprising to see the auction duplicated in the other room, but Bénédicte Cronier opened $I \nabla$. From that point there was no danger of reaching the wrong game.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Wood | Cronier | Kivel |
|  | Pass | 18 | 19 |
| Dble | $3 \stackrel{1}{2}$ | Dble | Pass |
| $4 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |  |

$4 \checkmark$ just had two aces to lose; +450 and II IMPs to BESSIS, ahead by I IMP.

Board I9. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

|  | - AKQ 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8742$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J |  |
|  | - A 654 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \& 872 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 5 \\ & \diamond \text { K Q } 8732 \\ & \& K J \end{aligned}$ | N | - J96 |
|  |  | ¢AK 63 |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ A 105 |
|  | S | ¢ Q 83 |
|  | -1053 |  |
|  | 8J109 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 964$ |  |
|  | -10972 |  |

Would you open the West hand, second in hand at adverse vulnerability? It is easy to find reasons not to, but if your style is to open everything possible then you have to stick
with it and accept the bad results when they happen. Terry Michaels opened $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ and her side was always going to get to game now.

| West <br> Michaels | North <br> D'Ovidio | East <br> Tornay | South <br> Bessis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Rdbl | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Had Claire Tornay bid 3NT a round earlier, without mentioning her hearts, she might well have got away with it, as the South hand has quite an attractive heart lead. Alas, Tornay thought that $5 \diamond$ could still be the correct spot, so she tried to explore the hand further. Now it didn't matter which black suit Bessis led, 3NT was always going to lose the first five tricks.

Bessis actually chose the $\$ 10$ and Tornay put up the king in the forlorn hope that Catherine D'Ovidio would not have an automatic spade switch and might play for her to have misguessed the clubs if also missing the queen. Of course, D'Ovidio cashed her spades; -100.

Sylvie Willard did not open the West hand. That allowed Nadine Wood to open le as North and that ran back around to Willard who overcalled $1 \diamond$. Cronier responded 2NT and Willard raised to 3 NT. Now what should Mickie Kivel lead from the South hand? As already mentioned, a heart lead looks attractive if the suit has not been mentioned, and that is what she chose. Cronier had nine top tricks, and when Wood pitched a heart on the run of the diamonds, that number went up to ten; +630 and 12 IMPs to BESSIS, ahead now by 13 .

Board 24. Nil Vul. Dealer West.

```
                                    Q -
                                8 J106432
                                A985
                &KQ 5
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge K Q J I O 5 \\
& \vee- \\
& \diamond 642 \\
& \& 8764
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & வ 98763 \\
\hline W E & \(\bigcirc\) KQ 9 \\
\hline & \(\diamond\) K Q \\
\hline S & - 4109 \\
\hline - A 42 & \\
\hline PA875 & \\
\hline \(\diamond\) J 1073 & \\
\hline \& 32 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

In the Open Room, the West hand looked like a weak 21 opener to Michaels. D'Ovidio passed and Tornay raised to 4t. On a slightly different layout, that might easily have made. As it was, D'Ovidio did something very good for her side when she led the K. Michaels won the ace and played a trump. Bessis rose with the ace to return a club to collect her
ruff; one down for -50.
In the Closed Room, Willard did not open the West hand, which of course is entirely in keeping with the French style. This led to an entirely different auction.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Wood | Cronier | Kivel |
| Pass | 18 | 14 | 24. |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 | Dble | All Pass |

Wood opened I $\oslash$ and Cronier overcalled in her 'beautiful' spade suit. Now Kivel showed a constructive heart raise, Willard splintered in support of spades, and Wood took the push to 5 8, quickly doubled by Cronier.

Though Wood picked the diamond position correctly, there was no way to avoid two trump losers plus a club so she was two down; +300 and 8 IMPs to the French, whose lead was up to 18.

But the Americans tightened the match on the next deal.

Board 25. E/W Vul. Dealer North.

- 185
$\bigcirc 42$
$\diamond 10753$
29874

| 4 AKIO42 | N 976 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 975$ | w E ¢ K Q J 106 |
| $\checkmark$ A 2 | W E $\stackrel{\text { Q } 98}{ }$ |
| - AQ | S K 5 |
|  | $\triangle Q$ |
|  | $\bigcirc \text { A } 83$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 64 |
|  | \& J 10632 |
| West | East |
| Michaels | Tornay |
|  | $2 \checkmark$ |
| 2NT | 3\% |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |

Tornay opened an off-centre weak two-bid and Michaels enquired. 3e showed the ace or king of clubs and a good hand and Michaels settled for 4 . Bessis led a diamond and Tornay finessed. Then she played on trumps for II tricks; +650.

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Cronier |
|  | Pass |
| 14. | 2 |
| 3NT | 498080 |
| $4 \checkmark$ | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 4. | 4NT |
| 5\% | 6NT |
| Pass |  |

Cronier did not open the East hand - after all, though the main suit is good, it is a 3-5-3-2 II-count with no aces, second in hand vulnerable against not. So pass is normal in a sound opening style. After Willard's 3NT rebid (18+), however, Cronier visualised a possible slam and made a try with $4 \%$. When Willard was willing to co-operate, Cronier eventually jumped to slam, only to find that it was a very poor contract and had to fail by a trick; 13 IMPs to WOOD and the deficit was down to 5 IMPs.

The French lead went back up to double figures when Bessis made a game which failed at the other table.WOOD still trailed as the Closed Room, the only table remaining in play in either event, came to the final two deals.

Board 30. Nil Vul. Dealer East.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Michaels | D'Ovidio | Tornay <br>  <br>  <br> Bessis | 18 <br> Pass |
| All Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

Catherine D'Ovidio did not look happy when the 3 NT bid came through the screen. She had an unbid five-card major and didn't know whether it was right to introduce it. Finally, she decided to pass, playing her partner for length and strength in her short suits. Michaels led a heart to the ace and Tornay played back the $\wp J$. Bessis won that and cashed two top clubs, then played the $\checkmark \mathbf{Q}$, overtaking in dummy. Tornay won that and cleared the hearts. Bessis won the fourth heart and cashed the remaining top club, keeping just diamonds and the A in dummy. She played a spade, then tried to cash the diamonds, but when they did not behave Tornay had the last two tricks for down one; -50.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Wood | Cronier | Kivel |
|  |  | 18 | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

In the same position as D'Ovidio had been, Wood went on with 4s over 3NT. That proved to be the winning decision. There were
two aces and a trump to lose but that was all; +420 and 10 IMPs to WOOD.

Going into the final deal, BESSIS led by I IMP.The boards had been coming out of order so the final one was Board 26, and it produced a dramatic finish to the match.

Board 26. All Vul. Dealer East.

- 106

PAJ943
$\diamond$ J 875

- 85

| - K 952 | N A Q 43 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ¢K72 | $w^{\text {N }} \times$ Q 10 |
| $\diamond 6$ | W E $\diamond$ AK 42 |
| \& Q 9432 | S $\quad A K$ |
|  | - 87 |
|  | $\bigcirc 865$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 1093 |
|  | - J 1076 |
| West | East |
| Michaels | Tornay |
|  | 20 |
| 2 | 24 |
| 34 | 49 |
| 4NT | 54 |
| 64 | Pass |

The Americans bid smoothly to the cold slam. $2 \%$ was their big bid and $2 \checkmark$ a negative. When her spades were raised, Tornay felt that she had nothing to spare for her opening so simply reraised to game, but now Michaels went on. 54 showed three aces and Michaels bid the slam. A trump lead gave Tornay time to establish the long club to pitch her hearts; +1460 .

| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Cronier |
|  | $2 \%$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \Phi$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \varrho$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $4 \varrho$ |
| Pass |  |

This time $2 \diamond$ was the negative. Again spades were agreed. Cronier cuebid $4 \%$ over 34 but then, with no heart control, had no option but to sign off in 44. Willard thought a long time but finally passed. A heart lead held Cronier to 12 tricks but that didn't matter. WOOD had gained 13 IMPs and taken the match by 12. The final score was 129-1I7 for WOOD, who advanced to the semifinals of the Louis Vuitton McConnell Cup.

And if the French had bid the slam? Well, then the opening lead would have been very important. On a non-heart lead, declarer can make 13 tricks, flatten the board, and win the match by I IMP. A heart lead wins I IMP for WOOD and now the sides are level and looking forward to extra boards. Of course the term 'looking forward' is being used in its loosest possible sense.

## The dangers of protecting

by Stuart McPhee
There is always some risk involved in keeping the bidding alive in fourth position. Maybe the opponents will find a better partscore. Once they bid game after I protected in fourth seat.

This week for the first time, a new danger became apparent.THEY MIGHT BID A SLAM. This hand occurred in an early round of the Vivendi Rosenblum qualifiers,

N/S Vul. Dealer South.

|  | - 98 <br> $\checkmark$ KQ 54 <br> $\diamond$ KQ 1087 <br> \& Q 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| -1032 | N AJ76 |
| $\bigcirc 32$ | $w \quad \bigcirc 98$ |
| $\checkmark 1643$ | W E $\diamond 2$ |
| - AJ 109 | S K 76543 |
|  | - KQ 54 |
|  | QAJ 1076 |
|  | $\diamond$ A 95 |
|  | -2 |

Closed Room

| West <br> Ash | North | East <br> McPhee | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass!! | Double! | 18 Pass |
| 29 | 4 C ! | 5¢! | 5 |
| Pass | 68 ! | All Pass |  |

Presumably, North missed her partner's bid on the first round, maybe a cow flew by. Having protected on the first round, I suffered the ignominy of having to take the sacrifice on the next round of bidding as North caught up. Against six hearts, partner led the ace of clubs and I played a spectacular (but risky) 2 K to ensure a spade switch. Just the sort of hand to give you nightmares.


Vivendi sponsors the Vivendi Rosenblum Cup

## OFFICIAL ENCYCLOPEDIA OF BRIDGE

Work is beginning on the next edition of the Encyclopedia of Bridge. Some NCBOs already have the forms which are to be completed, giving information. If your NCBO has not yet got them, please apply to Alan Truscott in the Press Room. Suggestions for improving the Encyclopedia are welcome.

## Elf Senior Teams

## Rohan v Szenberg

The final of the Elf Senior Teams was contested between a Polish team Szenberg, Wilkosz, Klukowski and Jezioro - and a multi-national squad from Austria, Bulgaria and Israel - Rohan, Baratta, Drumev, Katz and Rand.There were to be two 14-board stanzas to decide the Seniors Champions of the World!

Board 3. E/W Vul. Dealer South.

|  | ¢ KJ 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 17$ |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{J} 87$ |
|  | 2K Q 1076 |
| - Q 107 | $N$ A 2 |
| $\bigcirc 986$ | N $\odot 1042$ |
| $\diamond$ K Q 943 | W E $\diamond 652$ |
| \& 95 | S AJ843 |
|  | . 98543 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 53 |
|  | $\diamond$ A 10 |
|  | -2 |

ROHAN had already picked up a couple of minor swings when this board came along. Both North/South pairs, Klukowski/Jezioro and Rand/Katz, bid freely to 4s and both West players, Drumev and Wilkosz, led the $\diamond$ K.

Klukowski played in straightforward fashion, winning the ace of diamonds and leading low to the jack of spades. He lost two spades, a diamond and a club for down one; -50. Probably he thought nothing about the deal because his line of play appeared to be the normal one, but in the other room Nissan Rand brought home his game. He also won the diamond lead but then advanced the $\$ 8$. When Wilkosz followed with the seven, Rand played low from dummy and had restricted his trump losers to one. There were no further problems to be overcome; +420 and 10 IMPs to ROHAN.

Board 7.All Vul. Dealer South.

| -102 | N | - 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -A952 | $W^{N}$ | $\bigcirc 8764$ |
| $\diamond$ J983 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 6 |
| - Q J 9 | S | ck 10753 |
|  | , KQ 85 | 3 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 10 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 72$ |  |
|  | 9 A 642 |  |


| North | South |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 |
| 2 - | 24. |
| 480 | 4 |

Pass
Jezioro bid his diamonds then splintered but Klukowski was not impressed. When he signed off in 4@, Jezioro decided to call it a day and the good slam had been missed; +680 .

| North | South <br> Rand |
| :---: | :---: |
| Katz | 14 |
| $4 \mathbf{e}$ | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ |
| $4 N T$ | $5 \varphi$ |
| 64 | Pass |

Moshe Katz took a different approach to the North hand. He splintered immediately and went on with Roman Key Card Blackwood over Rand's sign-off.That got the Israelis to slam very easily; +1430 and 13 IMPs to ROHAN.

Board 8. Nil Vul. Dealer West.


Katz led the Q and Wilkosz ducked. Katz switched to the queen of diamonds and declarer won the king. He then took the spade finesse and led the $\triangleleft Q$ to the king and ace. He could not make nine tricks from here and eventually drifted one off; - 100 .

| West | North | East | South <br> Drumer <br> Jezioro <br> Baratta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dblukwski |  |

Klukowski's more gentle pre-emptive response of $2 \checkmark$ gave Christo Drumev room to
bid only 2NT, where Wilkosz had been bullied into bidding game in the other room. That looked as though it would be worth a partscore swing to ROHAN but Baratta drove aggressively to game.

Drumev received the same Q lead as in the other room but he won in hand with the king. He played a spade to the queen then a low club for the nine and ten. Jezioro tried the © K and, when that was ducked, switched to his heart. The heart went to the queen, king and ace. Drumev finessed the 8 then cashed the ace of spades. Prospects were a little rosier when the spades divided. Drumev cashed the I3th spade then the Finally, he cashed the 8 J and Jezioro suffered a one-suit squeeze. Down to nothing but diamonds, if he kept the AQJ Drumev would simply lead dummy's diamond and duck it to the jack. Jezioro would now have to give him the $\triangleleft K$ at the end. But when Jezioro threw the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, coming down to the AJ8, Drumev still played the diamond. Klukowski had to put in the ten, which would have defeated the contract had the eight and nine been switched round, but Drumev covered with the king and had to make his nine on the last trick.A fine +600 and 12 more IMPs to ROHAN.

| Board IO. All Vul. Dealer East. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - 3 <br> 62 <br> J 97542 <br> AJ 73 | - A 42 |
|  | ¢K954 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 1063 |
|  | \& 86 |
|  | N K K J 65 |
|  | $w-\bigcirc Q J$ |
|  | W E $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | S * K Q 1052 |
|  | - 10987 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 10873 |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 8 |
|  | \& 94 |
| West | East |
| Wilkosz | Szenberg |
|  | 19 |
| INT | 3NT |
| Pass |  |

A somewhat agricultural sequence. Direct bidding can have its triumphs but this was not to be one of them. Katz led a low heart and the defence soon had six tricks; - 200 .

| West | East <br> Daratta |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 母$ |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 母$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \AA$ |
| $4 \&$ | Pass |

120 was strong and $I \diamond$ a negative. It was pretty clear that 5 was going to have too many top losers and Baratta judged correctly
to stop in 4\%, which made exactly for +130 and 8 IMPs to ROHAN.

Board I2. N/S Vul. Dealer West.


55 IMPs down and with the match almost half over, SZENBERG desperately needed to stop the bleeding.A chance came along on this board when Katz/Rand stopped in INT and made it exactly for +90 . Klukowski/Jezioro were more aggressive in the other room.

| West | North | East | South <br> Baratta |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jezioro | Drumev <br> Klukowski |  |  |
| Pass | I $\diamond$ | Dble | Rdbl |
| Pass | Pass | IQ | Pass |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Klukowski made the aggressive raise and now it was up to his partner to find nine tricks. The lead was a low spade. Jezioro put in the ten from dummy and the king forced his ace. He played on clubs but Baratta could win and play the 1 d to clear the suit. 3NT was down two for - 200 and a further 7 IMPs to ROHAN.

Had declarer played either the $\$ 8$ from dummy at trick one it would still have served to force West's king. Having won the ace, declarer could have played up to the clubs and established three tricks. If East established his spades he would give declarer three spade tricks and nine in all, while if he did not do so declarer would have time to develop a diamond for his ninth trick.

No doubt, had 3NT been made and SZENBERG picked up II IMPs instead of losing 7 IMPs, they would have played on. Down by 62-0 at the half, against opponents who were clearly on hot form, they decided to concede. Karl Rohan and Franz Baratta of Austria, Christo Drumev of Bulgaria, and Nissan Rand and Moshe Katz of Israel, are the new Elf World Senior Teams Champions.

J.M. Weston sponsors the J.M. Weston Mixed Pairs.

Weston in Lille:
34-36 rue Grande, Chaussée, Lille

The Aberlour VIP Club News


Sheena Rayner, the President of the Bermuda Bridge Federation is visiting Lille to promote the 50th Anniversary Bermuda Bowl Championships which will be held in Bermuda in January 2000.

## The Book of the Par Contest

## All the Problems All the Solutions



Available NOW from Jannersten's shop or the Le Bridgeur shop in the main hall.

Price US\$ 7 or 40 FF.


## Annual General Meeting

On Tuesday September Ist 1998, 10.00 a.m.

In "Eurotop" room 3rd floor, Starting with the presentation of the Annual IBPA Awards

Ever ruffed a singleton?
by Tim and Rien Verbeek, Netherlands

Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.

- A Q 107
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond$ QJ98532
\& 10
- J 953
-A 10987
$\diamond-$
~ 9764

Against two French players whose names were not recorded, we took out their $5 \checkmark$ to 51 - which was doubled of course. After cashing the K and 8 K , East set the contract by leading his singleton diamond - which West ruffed!

## 1997 World Championship Book



The book of the Hammamet World Championships is on sale at the Le Bridgeur shop in the main hall.

Edited by Brian Senior, the 304 page book includes coverage of all three teams championships, a full results service and listing of all participants. There is also cross-imping of both round robins and many photographs.

The main analysts are Eric Kokish, Brian Senior and Barry Rigal, with sections by Alan Truscott, Kit Woolsey, Walter Walvick, Geir Helgemo and Alain Levy.

As always, the best value bridge book of the year!

## Belgian Grand

There has been a long-running joke going around about Irish slams for as long as I can remember - something on the lines of cashing your two aces against an Irish slam, then looking around to see which ace partner is likely to hold. After this hand from the first session of the Société Générale Open Pairs qualifying stage, we may have to start talking about Belgian Grand Slams in a similar fashion. Mind you, inasmuch as the contract made, we had better impress on everyone the importance of cashing the defensive ace before anything bad can happen.

Board 9. E/W Vul. Dealer North.


## Sports(wo)manlike behaviour

Your screenmate bids 7NT. You look at the tray and discover you are on lead. You look at your hand and see the \$A. What do you do?
Of course this is pairs, so like a true sportsman you let the bid go by without a double. Amazingly the tray comes back, with a double by partner. She was not as sporting - she held two aces of her own!


## IBPA 40th anniversary dinner

The World Bridge Federation is kindly sponsoring tonight's International Bridge Press Association dinner at which the 40th anniversary of the founding of the IBPA will be celebrated. The dinner will take place at 20:45 at La Laiterie.

The restaurant is located at some distance from the Grand Palais, so a bus, supplied by the WBF, will be available to transport journalists who do not have cars.

## $\theta \otimes \mathrm{X}+\stackrel{\otimes}{ }$

## LOUIS VUITTON

## Official sponsor

of the World Bridge Championships

## Wednesday $26^{\text {th }}$ August

and Tuesday ${ }^{\text {st }}$ September
Exceptional opening of our shop
from 7 pm to 9 pm

## Champagne

will be offered to contestants

29, rue de la Grande Chaussée, Lille

## Avoiding the Lead <br> by Patrick Jourdain (GB)

Micke Melander reported this deal from the match in which Team Magic of Sweden eliminated the USA's top team called Walvick.

## Nil Vul. Dealer South.

- K 9853
-8654
$\triangleleft 1053$
\& A
- A Q 106

○J1072
$\checkmark$ K 72

- 74


| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Endersson | South <br> Soloway | Soullberg <br> Guth |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 1 NT |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 Q$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South opened a Strong Diamond (17+ any shape). The response of $I \oslash$ was a negative. South rebid a natural INT, North used Stayman and then showed five spades in an invitational hand. South accepted.

Against 3NT, Hamman led a low heart to the king and ace. Gullberg tried the j , covered by the queen and king. He then led a diamond to the jack and king. Hamman exited with a club to dummy.

Gullberg now led a low spade to the 7 . Hamman won this with the 10 and switched to a diamond which went to the 8 and ace. Gullberg began cashing his clubs but West showed out on the third round. Now Gullberg cashed his $\vee Q$ and exited with a diamond to the 10 and queen. Soloway could cash his last diamond but then had to lead away from the $\$ 10$ to give declarer his ninth trick.

Have you spotted a couple of errors? If East lets the $\triangleleft 10$ win, declarer is stuck in dummy and has to concede the rest to West. To counter this Gullberg should dispose of the $\diamond 10$ either on the second round of the suit or when he cashes the clubs. But if declarer does this we have to go back earlier and suggest that East play his middle diamond on the first round, keeping the 6. Then, provided West retains his 7, the defence can avoid having East thrown in for the endplay.

## Semi-final

# Louis Vuitton McConnell Cup 

## Austria v USA

The first quarter featured a large number of quiet no swing boards both at the beginning of the session and towards the end. It was in the middle part of the session that most of the action took place. Both teams reached a good slam on this deal.

Board 4. All Vul. Dealer West.

- 876

$$
\mathrm{A} 5
$$

$\diamond \mathrm{AQ} 94$
$\diamond \mathrm{AQ} 843$

2 K J

- K 873
$\diamond J 52$
* Q 93

- Q 103
(
- KJ942
- J 105
$\diamond$ K 109
\& A 6

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sokolow | Fischer | Meyers | Weigkricht |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |

The Austrians play Blue Club and while that is a well known system in Europe it has clearly not made its way over to America as Sokolow was finding it necessary to ask questions almost every time it was her turn to bid.


Carol Sanders (USA)

After the Strong Club start INT promised 4 controls and the rest of the auction was natural. Fischer had promised extras with her waiting bid of 2 NT so it was clear to Weigkricht to go on over 3NT.

| West | North <br> Erhart <br> Truscott | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Sanders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \$$ |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

There was nothing to the play and both declarers recorded 13 tricks.

As so often happens the following board had slam potential.

Board 5. N/S Vul. Dealer North.

|  | - 8753 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 43 |  |  |
|  | 2 10875 |  |  |
| - AK | N |  | QJ 62 |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 5 |  | E | AJ 64 |
| $\diamond 108$ |  |  | 765 |
| \& K Q J 6 | 43 S |  |  |
|  | -1094 |  |  |
|  | -108732 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ2 |  |  |
|  | \& 92 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sokolow | Fischer | Meyers | Weigkricht |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |

Four Clubs was asking for aces and the reply showed two. Knowing that one ace was missing West bid what she hoped her partner could make. If South had found the diamond lead she would have undoubtedly have won an award for the greatest play of this or indeed any other World Championship but when she understandably led a heart declarer quickly claimed all the tricks.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erhart | Truscott | Terraneo | Sanders |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 3s | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 64 | Pass | 6NT |  |

Remember that East's 3s bid might have been a canapé, hence West's offer of a choice of slams with her bid of 64 .

Here it was a little easier for North to find the diamond lead but Truscott still deserves full marks for putting the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ on the table. The diamond blockage meant the contract was only two down but it translated to 16 IMPs to the USA. Incredibly these proved to be the only IMPs the USA team scored in the first quarter!

Austria hit back immediately.
Board 6. E/W Vul. Dealer East.

-K 1097
$\diamond \mathrm{A} Q 8$
98542


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sokolow | Fischer | Meyers | Weigkricht |
|  |  | I 18 | 14 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Weigkricht, understandably slightly annoyed by the previous board did not bother to invite game.

East led the $\odot 3$ which declarer ran to her seven. She played the jack of spades. East does best to duck but declarer can still prevail thanks to the fortunate positions in both minors. As it was East won and switched to the K. Declarer ducked and East exited with the 4 . Fischer was at the crossroads. If West had four spades she could afford the time to establish a second heart trick but if East had four then she needed to set up her ninth trick in spades. Reflecting that East was clearly short in clubs she eventually came to the winning decision, claiming nine tricks when East won the fourth round of spades. +400 .

| West | North <br> Erhart | East <br> Truscott <br> Terraneo | South <br> Sanders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IS | All Pass |

The knowledge that East may have been about to canapé into a longer suit may have influenced North's decision but nevertheless it seems excessively timid to not reopen with a double. 20 cost 200 but that still meant 5 IMPs for Austria.


Board 8. Love All. Dealer West.

- A Q 8
$\stackrel{\circ}{ } \mathrm{K}$ Q 4
$\diamond$ A Q 86
- K 105

| - 6542 |  | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1752$ |  | E $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\checkmark 7542$ | W | E | 1093 |
| $\stackrel{Q}{4}$ |  | 2 | 98642 |
|  |  | 107 |  |
|  |  | 10963 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sokolow | Fischer | Meyers | Weigkricht |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 7NT | All Pass |  |

This time the response to the opening promised six controls. It was not long before the excellent grand slam was reached.

Declarer won the opening club lead in hand and cashed the $\odot \mathrm{KQ}$, hoping to be able to claim. The 4-I break on the wrong side was a blow, but the contract still had chances - for instance the $\diamond 109$ might have come down. The contract can be made as the cards lie by unblocking the $\triangleleft K$ at trick two and then cashing one top heart (in case they are $5-0$ ) and the winning spades, discarding a club from hand. Then two rounds of hearts ending in the North hand will be more than East can bear. As it was nothing worked now and the contract was one down.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Erhart | Truscott | Terraneo | Sanders |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3\% |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | Pass | 48 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | Pass | 6NT | Pass |
| Pass | 78 | All Pass |  |

A good auction where South showed 49 and $5 \triangleleft$ led to the unlucky slam. Both teams were probably relieved to discover it was a flat board.

The last significant swing of the quarter went to Austria.

Board 9. E/W Vul. Dealer North.

- 10654

863
$\diamond$ AJ8 4
\& 762

$$
98
$$

$\diamond K 975$
$\diamond 532$
$\& K J 104$


$$
\text { Q }
$$

$\diamond$ AQJ 842
$\diamond$ K 109

- AKJ 732
$\checkmark 10$
$\diamond$ Q 76
A Q 5
USA reached a spade part score on the North-South cards and recorded 10 tricks for +170 .

| West | North | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sokolow | Fischer | Meyers | Weigkricht |

West led the king of hearts and East overtook it with the ace. Switching to the 29 would have been a good idea, leading to the defeat of the contract, but East continued with a second heart and a good guess in diamonds subsequently produced +620 and 10 IMPs .

At the end of the session it was Erhart 23 Truscott 16.


Rendezvous with the World Bridge Championships very day at 18:40 on Radio France Frequence Nord 94.7 or in Lille 87.8

## No need to force

This interesting deal cropped up in the Vivendi Rosenblum Round Robin in the match between Innocentini and Schwartz. It is set as a problem for the player in the South seat should he adopt a forcing defence or not?

Board I5. N/S Vul. Dealer South.


After the lead of the $\Delta 8$, South won the first trick with the ace. He then played the only card to defeat the contract, the 10 .This had the effect of irreparably cutting declarer's communications.

If West wins in hand, ruffs a diamond, returns to hand with another spade and ruffs another diamond he sets up three more tricks for the defence. After a lot of thought West took the trick with the SA and played the $\cap J^{J}$ to the queen and king, and South decided not to win with the ace, following with the six. This move, although quite good, was not decisive. West can't allow the trumps in dummy to be eliminated because when South wins the ek he can play a diamond. Coming to hand with a spade to ruff a diamond clearly has no future.

After long thought West played the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and a small spade from the dummy. South was not sure of the spade position so he declined to ruff, but this also was not important.

The play continued with a diamond ruff and the ruffed and overruffed with declarer's PIO. Now the dummy was down to only clubs and North's 89 was the setting trick.

At the other table the contract and opening lead were the same, but South played another diamond at trick two, ruffed in dummy. That was followed by a spade to the ace and another diamond ruff. Then the 9 K collected the ace from South and the nine from North. South continued with another diamond, but West simply ruffed it low. Although North could score his master trump, the losing club could be discarded on the fourth round of spades.

# Société Générale Group 

## International and Finance


#### Abstract

Société Générale's International and Finance arm offers its clientele of multinational corporations, institutional investors, local authorities and financial institutions a coordinated service approach involving teams from the different business lines, all of whom are renowned for the quality of their specialist skills.


Its capital markets expertise, skills in the use of hedging techniques and advisory experience represent a valuable complement to its lending capability.

## INTERNATIONAL NETWORK

A regionally-focused marketing approach
In Europe, Société Générale aims to offer a full range of services in the commercial banking, investment banking and capital markets sectors.

In the United States, Société Générale significantly strengthened its investment banking capability in targeted high-potential niches, in particular through the early 1998 acquisition of Cowen \& Co, complementing commercial banking services.

In Asia, especially Japan, Société Générale's capital markets and investment banking businesses have expanded rapidly. The recent deregulation of the financial services industry has also created opportunities to extend the influence of Société Générale's brokerage business, which has been Japan's leading European equities dealer for many years now.
In Southeast Asia, where all the business lines are now represented, the capital markets business achieved a breakthrough in the equities, interest rate and currency derivatives markets through positions taken to meet customers' hedging needs. Société Générale's local investment banking capability - built around SG Asia and SG Crosby which is now wholly-owned - was significantly enhanced during 1997.

## Americas Region

Regional Chief Executive :
Jean Huet
2,800 staff,
offices in 27 cities.

## Europe Region

Regional Chief Executive :
Jean-Pierre Lesage
3,900 staff,
offices in 61 cities.
The Europe regional division covers Western
and Eastern Europe, some African countries,
the Near and Middle East and Central Asia.

■ Asia / Australasia Region
Regional Chief Executive : Gilbert Pla
2,700 staff, offices in 31 cities.

## A NEW BANNER FOR INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

From the beginning of April 1998, all of Société Générale's international operations are doing business under the SG brand.
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## Société Générale Open Pairs - Ist Qualifying Session

For the first session of the Société Générale Open Pairs we feature the Argentinians, Pablo Lambardi and Hector Camberos. They scored more than $68 \%$ to lead the competition at this early stage.

Board 2. N/S Vul. Dealer East.

$5 \diamond$ is actually a better contract than 5 \& because a heart can be discarded on the long club. However, the problem was how to make 5e. North led two top spades and Lambardi ruffed the second one, drew trumps and cashed the top hearts. Next he played the $\diamond$ K and a low diamond. When South followed with a small diamond, Lambardi had to decide whether to play North for his actual hand, or for a 6-2-3-2 shape. In the latter case, ace and another diamond would put North in and force a ruff and discard to eliminate the heart loser. On the actual layout, the winning play is to duck the second diamond. Again North wins but has to give a ruff and discard for the contract.

After some thought, Lambardi decided to play low on the diamond, thinking that South

## 7th Forbo International Bridge Tournament

The 7th Forbo International Bridge Tournament will take place 27-28 February, 1999, at the Steigenberger Kurhaus, Scheveningen, in The Hague, the Netherlands. This is a tournament you should play in at least once in your life. For additional information contact Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room on the 7th floor.
might have found a spade raise if holding fourcard support. Right he was. North won the $\diamond$ Q but was endplayed. +400 was worth about an $80 \%$ score to the Argentinians.

Board I3. All Vul. Dealer North.

- KJ 83

ค 63
$\diamond$ K 85

- J 1073

4 A 1096
$\bigcirc 72$
$\diamond$ Q 109
\& Q 985


- Q 7
-A9854
$\diamond 7432$
\& K 6
Camberos opened INT (15-17), Lambardi responded 2\&, Stayman, and Camberos bid $2 \nabla$. Now Lambardi made the slightly aggressive bid of 3 NT , thinking that his 10-9 combinations might be valuable. In particular, he thought the opening lead might give away a trick.

The lead was the $\triangleleft 4$ to the queen, king and ace. Camberos led the $9 K$, ducked, and a second heart taken by the ace. South returned a third heart and now a small club went to South's king. South persevered with hearts and Camberos won and led a spade, putting in the ten. That lost to the jack and North returned a club, won by the ace. North had been throwing diamonds on the hearts, but now Camberos cashed the A and then his diamonds to squeeze him in the black suits. The 5 was declarer's 10th trick.

With most pairs not reaching game, the overtrick was just a bonus on what was already a very good board, giving Lambardi/Camberos 562 matchpoints out of a possible 574.

Board I8. N/S Vul. Dealer East.


After a pass from Camberos, East, South opened $3 \backsim$. Lambardi overcalled $4 \checkmark$, showing
spades and a minor and North doubled. That came back to Lambardi who bid 5e. North doubled, and that was that.

The opening lead was a heart, ruffed, and Lambardi played a diamond to North's ace. Back came the $\diamond Q$ to the king. With the $\diamond J$ falling, the diamond position appeared to be clear. Lambardi played on clubs now and, after North had taken two club tricks, declarer was confident that he knew the distribution of the concealed hands. Obviously, the percentage play in spades is to take the finesse, but Lambardi wondered about the bidding. North had a very good hand in support of hearts and, at the prevailing vulnerability, might well have bid on to $5 \triangleleft$ rather than double 5 e. That option would have been even more attractive to North had he held two low spades rather than Qx . The most likely reason for North's decision to penalise East/West was that he had some hopes of collecting 800 on defence. Accordingly, he rated to have the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$.

How sound that reasoning was, we will never know, as we cannot discover North's thought processes, but it worked out for Lambardi. He played spades from the top, dropping the queen, and got out for only one off. -300 would have been a good score, as North/South can always make $5>$ by taking the club finesse to get rid of the losing diamond, but - 100 was even better, scoring almost $95 \%$ of the matchpoints.

## World Championship Book 1998

The book of these championships in Lille is scheduled to appear in January next year. Yes, I know we never seem to achieve our target date, but this time we will really try to do so.

It will be of the same general size and format as the 1997 book, with a minimum of 300 pages.

There will be coverage of all the various championships being played here, with an extensive results service and many photographs.

The major analysts will be Eric Kokish, Rich Colker, Barry Rigal, and Brian Senior, who also edits the book. There will also be several guest writers.

On publication, the cover price will be $\$ 30$ plus postage and packing, but orders paid for in advance here in Lille will cost only the special price of $\$ 25$ including postage.

To place an order, please see Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room.

## SOCIÉTÉ GÉNÉRALE OPEN PAIRS

(Qualifying stage - Leading scores, the first 240 pairs)

| MECKSTROTH J | JOHNSON P | USA 238.65 | 81 KOKSOY E | EKSIOGLU M | TUR | 217.56 | 161 DIDIER M | NOEL | FRA | 211.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 JANSMAJ | VAN CLEEF | NLD 236.84 | 82 SCHNITZERJ | BIEDER W | AUT | 217.51 | 162 SCHERDERSJ | SCHERDERS K | NLD | 211.17 |
| 3 VANHOUTTEF | VANHOUTTE P | FRA 234.83 | 83 ISMIR R | LEWICKIP | FRA | 217.38 | 163 SOLBRAND S | WADELARK 0 | SWE | 21.17 |
| 4 LAMBARDIP | CAMBEROS H | ARG 234.08 | 84 BADIRF | BOLLACK B | BEL | 217.34 | 164 LARAM | CAPUCHO M | PRT | 211.15 |
| 5 NILSSONU | LINDBERG G | SWE 233.60 | 85 AUBYD | NYSTROM F | SWE | 217.24 | 165 BERRADAS | BELKOUCHA | MAR | 211.10 |
| 6 HENRIJ | LABAEREA | BEL 231.66 | 86 CIESLAKJ | MOSZYNSKIJ | POL | 217.04 | 166 LABORDEM | DESAGHER M | FRA | 210.94 |
| 7 LOUCHART P | DUGUET M | FRA 231.12 | 87 PAWLIKA | GWINNER H | DEU | 216.95 | 167 RASMUSSENS | THOEN J | DNK | 210.86 |
| 8 SOLOWAY P | ZOLOTOW S | USA 230.42 | 88 PILOND | FAIGENBAUMA | FRA | 216.94 | 168 ROHOWSKY R | REPS K | DEU | 210.85 |
| 9 BIRMAN D | ZELIGMANS | ISR 230.41 | 89 RAMER R | PAULISSEN G | NLD | 216.82 | 169 KASRADZEA | BERIASHVILI R | GEO | 210.84 |
| 10 ROBINSONS | BOYD P | USA 230.29 | 90 PRABHAKAR B | KRISHNAN R | IND | 216.72 | 170 BODDAERTM | PIERROT G | FRA | 210.61 |
| II CRONIER P | SALAMA M | FRA 230.27 | 91 MAYBACH R | SCHWENKREIST | DEU | 216.52 | 171 SHARMAV | SADHUA | IND | 210.53 |
| 12 BALIAN J | LEGRAS R | FRA 229.94 | 92 KRAUTSAK D | POKLEPOVICS | HRV | 216.45 | 172 HEDWIG) | LENARTJ | NZL | 210.42 |
| 13 IONTZEFF G | LASSERRE G | FRA 229.81 | 93 JUREK P | MODRZEEEWSKIT | POL | 216.43 | 173 WALLISJ | DEL'MONTE I | AUS | 210.40 |
| 14 JASSEM K | TUSZYNSKI P | POL 228.81 | 94 BOMPISM | DE SAINTE MARIET | FRA | 216.43 | 174 ASKGAARD M | MATHIESEN J | DNK | 210.34 |
| 15 SADEK T | NAGUIB S | EGY 228.56 | 95 LEBEL M | SOULET P | FRA | 216.11 | 175 SCHAFFER L | BRUUN M | DNK | 210.31 |
| 16 BOGACKIP | LEPERTELA | FRA 227.78 | 96 WEINSTEINS | ROSENBERG M | USA | 215.91 | 176 FEICHTINGER K | STRAFNER M | AUT | 210.21 |
| 17 WOLPERT D | CZYZOWICZ | CAN 227.69 | 97 GERIND | MATHIEU P | GLP | 215.73 | 177 LARSENC | KIVEL J | USA | 210.14 |
| 18 DEVAALP | VAN EGMOND W | NLD 227.30 | 98 VOERTMANNJ | WEBER F | GER | 215.70 | 178 WOJAS Z | RAZKOT | POL | 210.13 |
| 19 HAMMANR | MAHMOOD Z | USA 227.25 | 99 KWIECIEN M | PSZCZOTA | POL | 215.68 | 179 MAMOU MANIC | CHALIBERT P | FRA | 210.03 |
| 20 GAWRYS P | LESNIEWSKI M | POL 226.84 | 100 GODED F | KNAPA | ESP | 215.54 | 180 FUKUDA S | KAKU H | JPN | 209.98 |
| 21 BAKKERENT | BAKKEREN F | NLD 226.59 | 101 BLUMENTHAL G | STOPPA | FRA | 215.43 | 181 KUTNER R | TERRETTAZ | CHE | 209.93 |
| 22 GEORGEJ | SABIT | IDN 226.41 | 102 HOYLAND S O | HOYLAND J | NOR | 215.34 | 182 SCHICK 0 | BELLEFROID P | FRA | 209.89 |
| 23 KOKKO K | EBENIUS J | SWE 226.18 | 103 MUNAWAR S | BOJOHJ | IND | 214.99 | 183 COPPOLANIM | PEYRONIE C | FRA | 209.85 |
| 24 TOBINGR | POLIII D | IDN 225.93 | 104 HOMONNAY G | LAKAGOS P | HUN | 214.96 | 184 DERIVERYJ | PELLETIER J | GLP | 209.77 |
| 25 PACAULTH | SZWARC H | FRA 2225.91 | 105 LAIR M | SCHWARTZ R | USA | 214.80 | 185 AUKENJ | KOCH PALMUND D | DNK | 209.77 |
| 26 HIRTZ D | KASLER P | FRA 2225.91 | 106 MOLVA MJ | TASMANU | TUR | 214.70 | 186 ROMIEUM | MUS M | FRA | 209.51 |
| 27 PRICED | WRIGHT L | GBR 225.74 | 107 KING P | STEEL L | GBR | 214.59 | 187 MITTELMAN G | GRAVESA | CAN | 209.43 |
| 28 ARTUFFOL | LOMBARDI R | ITA 225.60 | 108 KHANDELWAL R | SHAHJ | IND | 214.52 | 188 FISSOREH | CATELLANI M | MCO | 209.43 |
| 29 OZDILM | ZAREMBA | POL 225.28 | 109 HERBSTI | HERBST 0 | ISR | 214.40 | 189 HAMAOUIS | CAPONI C | VEN | 209.39 |
| 30 KIERZNOWSKIR | TUKASZEWICZ K | POL 225.18 | 110 GILL P | COURTNEY M | AUS | 214.39 | 190 HELLER C | MOHAN L | CAN | 209.37 |
| 31 PARAINY | DUBUS X | FRA 224.33 | III JAFFRAINJ | BRANCHU R | FRA | 214.31 | 191 POLESCHIR | ALUJAS G | ARG | 209.35 |
| 32 GOKULSHING B | DOOMUNE | MUS 224.31 | 112 CUTHBERTSON M | MATHESON J | GBR | 214.30 | 192 GARDYNIK G | WITEK M | POL | 209.34 |
| 33 DE ZURICH C | STUTZ U | CHE 224.23 | 113 NAFTALI G | SHEINMAN R | ISR | 214.30 | 193 ZANDVOORT S | BEYLI | NLD | 209.31 |
| 34 GUILLAUMIN P | KASS H | FRA 223.93 | 114 VAN PROOIJEN R | DEWIISS | NLD | 214.29 | 194 EKEBLAD S | SEAMAN M | USA | 209.22 |
| 35 SIOND | RODWELL E | USA 223.58 | 115 LALANNE B | SALEY C | FRA | 214.29 | 195 DE CHATILLON E | HELLER J | FRA | 208.93 |
| 36 MOUIEL H | POIZAT P | FRA 223.48 | 116 FINGER B | FOLLET D | FRA | 214.10 | 196 COHENM | SPRUNG D | USA | 208.92 |
| 37 FREEDE | PASSELL M | USA 223.44 | 117 REYGADASM | ROSENKRANTZ J | MEX | 214.05 | 197 OHNOK | YAMADAA | JPN | 208.78 |
| 38 CLEMENT P | SENADEDJA | FRA 223.33 | 118 GOTARDT | HOLOWSKIZ | GER | 213.98 | 198 YIANTSISJ | DELIBALTADAKIS N | GRC | 208.72 |
| 39 WINCIOREKT | KWIECINSKI M | POL 222.97 | 119 EKSIOGLUM | ZOBUA | TUR | 213.93 | 199 ELLIAE | LORMANTY | FRA | 208.72 |
| 40 ARGANINIP | PAONCZ P | ITA 222.34 | 120 POLETYLOJ | WOJCICKI M | POL | 213.91 | 200 BIRBEAUJ | DE GRAEVE J | FRA | 208.67 |
| 4I LE PODERJ | SOLARIJC | FRA 222.16 | 121 LETIZIAM | STEWART F | USA | 213.76 | 201 HANTVEITT | BROGELAND B | NOR | 208.63 |
| 42 MILLER B | CHEEK C | USA 222.15 | 122 McGOWANL | BAXTER K | GBR | 213.73 | 202 BARUCCHIC | TARDY S | FRA | 208.62 |
| 43 SAPORTA P | MARLIER J | FRA 221.85 | 123 STRETZ F | TOFFIER P | FRA | 213.72 | 203 TLLMIN R | KEAVENEY G | IRL | 208.50 |
| 44 HAMPSON G | GRECO E | USA 221.73 | 124 SERFM | TINTNER L | FRA | 213.68 | 204 VINAY D | AJAY K | IND | 208.49 |
| 45 OPALINSKIR | PIETRASZEK M | POL 221.49 | 125 NILSSONH | ERIKSSON M | SWE | 213.67 | 205 KOSHIH | NAKAMURAY | JPN | 208.43 |
| 46 BABSCHA | UHAUS P | AUS 221.47 | 126 BOE | BRIEREJ | FRA | 213.64 | 206 DALENOORD H | GADEMANN N | NLD | 208.41 |
| 47 GROMOVA | PETRUNINA | RUS 221.39 | 127 ABECASSIS M | QUANTIN J | FRA | 213.64 | 207 BENSCHOP N | FAASE R | NLD | 208.34 |
| 48 DEBOER W | MULLER B | NLD 221.37 | 128 CZEREPAK M | RAZIKA | POL | 213.61 | 208 HORREAUX B | LANCMAN P | FRA | 208.31 |
| 49 HOOGENKAMPE | VERHEES L | NLD 221.30 | 129 BODSONM | DE GUIDE C | FRA | 213.50 | 209 PIEDRA F | ZIMMERMANN P | CHE | 208.26 |
| 50 OLANSKIW | STARKOWSKIW | POL 221.17 | 130 SORIANO P | GHOZLAN J | FRA | 213.48 | 210 VENTINJ | PONT J | ESP | 208.20 |
| 51 KOWALSKIA | ROMANSKIJ | POL 221.04 | 131 DEBUSE | VANDEREET P | BEL | 213.47 | 211 GITELMANF | SILVER J | CAN | 208.16 |
| 52 CASSAR H | LANGEVINY | FRA 220.83 | 132 LEVYR | TEYLOUNI F | CHE | 213.44 | 212 GHESTEM P | FREMERY J | FRA | 208.04 |
| 53 MORSED | WILDAVSKYA | USA 220.78 | 133 GROMOELLER M | KIREA | GER | 213.42 | 213 DALALR | GUPTA S | IND | 207.94 |
| 54 NAELSP | GRENTHE P | FRA 220.77 | 134 DUPRAZP | SALLIERE G | FRA | 213.39 | 214 YOMTOV B | FOSTER J | USA | 207.90 |
| 55 VAN EIJCK W | ZHAO | NLD 220.75 | 135 AGARWALR | BANDY K | IND | 213.38 | 215 LEDGERJ | THEELKE M | GBR | 207.83 |
| 56 WOOLDRIDGE J | CARMICHAELT | USA 220.68 | 136 LEVINA | ROLL J | ISR | 213.33 | 216 BITRANA | NAHMIASA | FRA | 207.71 |
| 57 HARFOUCHE G | EIDI M | LBN 220.19 | 137 TORNAY G | BECKER R | USA | 213.14 | 217 LARSENK | GLUBOK B | USA | 207.70 |
| 58 MONDIGIR B | ROGIT | IDN 220.18 | 138 VLACHAKIM | MAJ | GRC | 213.07 | 218 BIGAT H | COLLAROS P | CHE | 207.68 |
| 59 TERRANEO F | SIMONJ | AUT 220.02 | 139 GRACIOJ | LOPESA | POR | 212.99 | 219 CAPAYANNIDES C | CAPAYANNIDESA | GRC | 207.61 |
| 60 HAUKSSON B | SIGURDSSONS | ISL 219.99 | 140 GUERRAE | MORITSCH M | ITA | 212.77 | 220 MANFIELDE | WOOLSEY K | USA | 207.42 |
| 61 MAURIND | GIROLLET M | FRA 219.65 | 141 ROJKO S | PALVIN M | SVN | 212.60 | 221 CARCASSONNEV | SPEELERS L | BEL | 207.34 |
| 62 MARSTON P | MAYER M | AUS 219.38 | 142 CIMA L | STOPPINI L | ITA | 212.52 | 222 AGNETTIA | ORMANJ | FRA | 207.26 |
| 63 ALLEGRINIP | PALAUJ | FRA 219.29 | 143 DELORMEJ | SADOUN C | FRA | 212.49 | 223 SINEGREJ | BESNAULTJ | FRA | 207.16 |
| 64 BURND | CALLAGHAN B | GBR 219.25 | 144 STERNT | VAIYAA | CAN | 212.30 | 224 KRISHNA MURTHY | IYENGAR S | IND | 207.12 |
| 65 ROUSSEL N | LAFFINEUR G | FRA 219.25 | 145 DOUSSOT B | HARARI D | FRA | 212.28 | 225 KUSHARIP | RAY D | IND | 207.06 |
| 66 COMBESCUREF | GAUTRET E | FRA 218.96 | 146 SCHMEISSERJ | MODH P | FRA | 212.26 | 226 NORGAARDT | KROJGAARD N | DNK | 207.03 |
| 67 HEGEDUS G | VIKOR D | HUN 218.77 | 147 CRESTEY G | SARIAN F | FRA | 212.07 | 227 OTVOSIE | BOREWICZ M | POL | 207.00 |
| 68 FUZ | JUC | CHN 218.72 | 148 LADYZHENSKYA | PAVLOVA | RUS | 212.06 | 228 JANOWSKIJ | TUTKA A | POL | 207.00 |
| 69 MOLLER M | NIPPGEN G | DEU 218.56 | 149 WOLDE | ZECKHAUSER R | USA | 212.04 | 229 HURE B | BRUNEL P | FRA | 206.98 |
| 70 LAGASM | SCHOLLAARDT M | NLD 218.51 | 150 BEAUMIER D | JEANNETEAUY | FRA | 212.01 | 230 KONOWK | BIARNARSON G | DNK | 206.92 |
| 71 DEWILDEF | TUWANAKOTTA | NLD 218.45 | 151 WEIMINW | ZEDUN Z | CHN | 212.01 | 231 MONACHANI | TOWNSENDT | GBR | 206.76 |
| 72 POLET G | KAPLANA | BEL 218.44 | 152 GUEP | BILSKI G | AUS | 211.99 | 232 LEIBOVITZ G | ZWILLINGER J | ISR | 206.72 |
| 73 CALVOA | VARELA C | PAN 218.33 | 153 ZAWISLAK S | KRUPOWICZ M | POL | 211.93 | 233 SAKAR S | DAS B | IND | 206.70 |
| 74 GELIBTER D | VANDERVORST M | BEL 218.30 | 154 BERGER H | GUTTMANN D | AUT | 211.90 | 234 SHIH | DAI J | CHN | 206.68 |
| 75 TEWARIR | GUPTA N | IND 218.24 | 155 LEVINR | MOSS B | USA | 211.63 | 235 PANELEWENS | KARWUR F | IND | 206.56 |
| 76 FRACTMAN G | CARRASCO G | ESP 218.22 | 156 LAFOURCADE J | MORREN R | BEL | 211.56 | 236 COHNER G | FRECHE P | DEU | 206.55 |
| 77 COHENL | BERKOWITZ D | USA 218.14 | 157 DONKERSLOOT R | VAN DER WILD J | NLD | 211.50 | 237 SUSSELP | LEENHART M | FRA | 206.33 |
| 78 LIX | SUNS | CHN 217.98 | 158 JIALALM | MEYS | NLD | 211.46 | 238 SCHMIDTP | LEVY FORGES G | FRA | 206.29 |
| 79 RUSSYANJ | TURANTW | POL 217.94 | 159 VAN MIDDELEM G | JEUNEN F | BEL | 211.43 | 239 HIRATA M | HANAYAMAT | JPN | 206.23 |
| 80 SCHOU S | RON J | DNK 217.87 | 160 DENNING P | KANE M | GBR | 211.30 | 240 KARDAS D | GASIOROWSKIJ | POL | 206.22 |

