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## Make mine a Double!



At the prize-giving ceremony for the Senior International Cup were, from left, John Sutherlin, Kit Woolsey, WBF President José Damiani, Steve Robinson, non-playing captain Betty Ann Kennedy, Bobby Wolff, Dan Morse, John Mohan and Senior Committee Chairman Nissan Rand.

Eight countries managed to qualify teams in both contests: USA, Austria, Norway, England, France, Poland, Sweden and to the delight of the home supporters, our hosts, The Netherlands.

If you were looking for excitement as the Round Robin phase of the Championships ended, then you had to concentrate on Group A of the Open Series. The last round saw Denmark facing Brazil, and with seven boards to go, Denmark were in the box seat, and holding a possible ticket to the quarter-finals. However, a Brazilian counter-attack saw the South Americans rattle up enough IMPs to leave them ahead of the Danes. Meanwhile Belgium faced Ireland in another vital head to head encounter. An I8-I2 VP win for Ireland would have seen both teams qualify, but the Belgians prevailed I9-II VP, leaving them in, and Ireland out.


The Austrian University team
left to right: Bernd Saurer, Arno Lindermann, npc Hamelone Thomasberger, Andreas Glover and Martin Schifko

## TRANSNATIONAL MIXED TEAMS - REGISTRATION

Teams are required to register with Hospitality in order to participate in this event, but they may not do so until they have paid the entry fee.

A member of each team should see Mrs Christine Francin in theWBF Office, Room 2.3 on the Promenade Floor of MECC to pay the entry fee for his team BEFORE registering with Hospitality. Please note that even teams that have already paid must obtain a receipt before registering.

No registration will be accepted from any team without a receipt from Mrs Francin. Mrs Francin will be available from $10.00-12.00$ and $14.30-16.00$ today, $10.00-12.00$ and 14.30-18.00 tomorrow and from 10.00-12.00 on Tuesday.

Maastricht 2000 Bridge olympiad
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## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GROUP A |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |  |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |  | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| Austria | Denmark | 72-38 | 22-8 | 11 | Bangladesh | Netherlands | 15-103 | 0-25 |
| 2 Slovenia | Brazil | 70-63 | 16-14 | 12 | Scotland | Hungary | 53-16 | 23-7 |
| 3 Canada | Spain | 64-24 | 23-7 | 13 | Russia | Chinese Taipei | 41-72 | 9-21 |
| 4 Colombia | Switzerland | 66-38 | 21-9 | 14 | USA | Liechtenstein | 78-11 | 25-2 |
| 5 Hong Kong | Wales | 67-40 | 21-9 | 15 | Guadeloupe | Romania | 43-102 | 4-25 |
| 6 Tanzania | Tunisia | 41-82 | 7-23 |  | Luxemburg | Philippines | $71-52$ | 19-11 |
| 7 Pakistan | Singapore | 52-35 | 19-11 | 17 | Australia | Greece | 80-41 | 23-7 |
| 8 Poland | Belgium | 39-15 | 20-10 | 18 | Portugal | Monaco | 51-85 | 8-22 |
| 9 Ireland | Croatia | 74-37 | 23-7 | 19 | Lebanon | Finland | 74-48 | 20-10 |


| CROUP C |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| 21 | Germany | Mexico | $69-55$ | $18-12$ |
| 22 | England | India | $74-52$ | $20-10$ |
| 23 | Yugoslavia | Malta | $83-10$ | $25-1$ |
| 24 | Indonesia | Venezuela | $111-17$ | $25-0$ |
| 25 | appan | Sweden | $46-74$ | $9-21$ |
| 26 | Cyprus | France | $70-55$ | $18-12$ |
| 27 | Uruguay | San Marino | $65-73$ | $14-16$ |
| 28 | Czech Republic | Latvia | $71-29$ | $24-6$ |
| 29 | Egypt | Israel | $23-69$ | $6-24$ |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ |  |
| $\quad$ Home Team |  |
| 30 | Botswana |
| 31 | Turkey |
| 32 | Thailand |
| 33 | Iceland |
| 344 | South Africa |
| 35 | Morocco |
| 36 | Ukraine |
| 37 | La Reunion |
| 38 | Norway |

## GROUP D

| Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| China | $34-81$ | $6-24$ |
| New Zealand | $55-48$ | $16-14$ |
| Malaysia | $28-66$ | $7-23$ |
| Bulgraia | $59-42$ | $19-11$ |
| Palestine | $137-3$ | $25-0$ |
| Argentina | $27-77$ | $5-25$ |
| Italy | $41-69$ | $9-21$ |
| Bermuda | $53-89$ | $8-22$ |
| Martinique | $107-18$ | $25-0$ |

## ROUND 17

| CROUP A |  |  |  | CROUP $B$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |  | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| I Denmark | Brazil | 38-44 | 14-16 | 11 | Netherlands | Hungary | 41-32 | 17-13 |
| 2 Austria | Canada | 55-45 | 17-13 | 12 | Bangladesh | Russia | 31-80 | 5-25 |
| 3 Spain | Colombia | 33-56 | 10-20 | 13 | Chinese Taipei | USA | 45-54 | 13-17 |
| 4 Switzerland | Hong Kong | 68-10 | 25-4 | 14 | Liechtenstein | Guadeloupe | 77-33 | 24-6 |
| 5 Wales | Tanzania | 70-18 | 25-5 | 15 | Romania | Luxemburg | 65-54 | $17-13$ |
| 6 Tunisia | Pakistan | 45-43 | 15-15 | 16 | Philippines | Australia | 43-65 | 10-20 |
| 7 Singapore | Poland | 30-79 | 5-25 | 17 | Greece | Portugal | 55-59 | 14-16 |
| 8 Belgium | Ireland | 62-44 | 19-11 | 18 | Monaco | Lebanon | 55-99 | 6-24 |
| 9 Croatia | Slovenia | 84-48 | 22-8 | 19 | Finland | Scotland | 46-27 | 19-11 |
| GROUP C |  |  |  | GROUP D |  |  |  |  |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |  | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| 21 Mexico | India | 55-79 | 10-20 | 31 | China | New Zealand | 49-48 | 15-15 |
| 22 Germany | Yugoslavia | 84-52 | 22-8 | 32 | Botswana | Thailand | 16-57 | 7-23 |
| 23 Malta | Indonesia | 23-92 | 2-25 | 33 | Malaysia | Iceland | 21-84 | 3-25 |
| 24 Venezuela | Japan | 31-51 | 11-19 | 34 | Bulgaria | South Africa | 25-56 | 9-21 |
| 25 Sweden | Cyprus | 53-70 | 11-19 | 35 | Palestine | Morocco | ? - ? | ? - ? |
| 26 France | Uruguay | 72-48 | 20-10 | 36 | Argentina | Ukraine | 85-28 | 25-4 |
| 27 San Marino | Czech Republic | ? - ? | ? - ? | 37 | Italy | La Reunion | 97-29 | 25-2 |
| 28 Latvia | Egypt | 22-75 | 5-25 | 38 | Bermuda | Norway | 77-79 | 15-15 |
| 29 Israel | England | 51-54 | 14-16 | 39 | Martinique | Turkey | 44-36 | 16-14 |


|  | ROUND 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
|  | 71 France | Latvia | 64-2 | 25-0 |
|  | 72 Ireland | Netherlands | 11-66 | 2-25 |
|  | 73 Hong Kong | Yugoslavia | 41-4 | 25-5 |
|  | 74 Botswana | Italy | 6-72 | 0-25 |
|  | 75 Czech Republic | England | 30-32 | 14-16 |
|  | 76 Poland | Norway | 22-42 | 10-20 |
|  | 77 Belgium | Singapore | 34-15 | 20-10 |
|  | 78 USA | Chinese Taipei | 36-41 | 14-16 |
|  | 79 Germany | China | 28-18 | 18-12 |
|  | 80 Indonesia | Japan | 57-6 | 25-2 |
|  | 81Austria | Denmark | 33-10 | 21-9 |

# WOMEN's TEAMS RESULTS 

## ROUND 18

| GROUP A |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| 41 Austria | Hong Kong | 81-41 | 23-7 | 51 China | Czech Republic | 39-29 | 17-13 |
| 42 Finland | Italy | 20-79 | 4-25 | 52 France | Pakistan | 106-45 | 25-3 |
| 43 Norway | Denmark | 24-65 | 7-23 | 53 Wales | Egypt | 99-57 | 24-6 |
| 44 Indonesia | Sweden | 24-99 | 1-25 | 54 Argentina | Mexico | 69-37 | 22-8 |
| 45 Jamaica | Spain | 16-146 | 0-25 | 55 Germany | Scotland | 70-45 | 20-10 |
| 46 Ireland | Brazil | 24-78 | 4-25 | 56 Australia | South Africa | 21-37 | 12-18 |
| 47 Croatia | USA | 22-123 | 0-25 | 57 Canada | Morocco | 64-42 | 20-10 |
| 48 New Zealand | England | 29-67 | 7-23 | 58 Poland | Greece | 21-32 | 13-17 |
| 49 Chinese Taipei | Israel | 81-64 | 19-11 | 59 Netherlands | Venezuela | 86-39 | 24-6 |
| 50 Russia | Japan | 39-32 | 16-14 | 60 Turkey | India | 66-46 | 19-11 |

## ROUND 19

| GROUP A |  |  |  | GROUP B |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's | Home Team | Visiting Team | Imp's | VP's |
| 41 Hong Kong | Italy | 37-86 | 5-25 | 51 Czech Republic | Pakistan | 53-23 | 21-9 |
| 42 Austria | Norway | 37-59 | 10-20 | 52 China | Wales | 44-60 | 12-18 |
| 43 Denmark | Indonesia | 54-33 | 19-11 | 53 Egypt | Argentina | 24-67 | 6-24 |
| 44 Sweden | Jamaica | 71-35 | 22-8 | 54 Mexico | Germany | 19-69 | 5-25 |
| 45 Spain | Ireland | 46-84 | 7-23 | 55 Scotland | Australia | 87-53 | 22-8 |
| 46 Brazil | Croatia | 39-61 | 10-20 | 56 South Africa | Canada | 36-70 | 8-22 |
| 47 USA | New Zealand | 75-19 | 25-4 | 57 Morocco | Poland | 46-65 | 11-19 |
| 48 England | Chinese Taipei | 65-43 | 20-10 | 58 Greece | Netherlands | 16-52 | 8-22 |
| 49 Israel | Russia | 95-22 | 25-1 | 59 Venezuela | Turkey | 33-48 | 12-18 |
| 50 Japan | Finland | 90-70 | 19-11 | 60 India | France | 31-31 | 15-15 |

## Minibridge

Saturday 2nd September saw a Mini-Bridge tournament staged in the foyer just outside the main playing area. 32 players, 16 youth and 16 Mini-bridge, the youngest being ten year old Bob Herminez, played for several hours. The winners and runners-up had the honour to be presented with medals by Berry Westra who was in the team which won the Bermuda Bowl for Holland in Santiago in 1993.


Esther and Ferd - Winners of the Youth Bridge


Clemens and Ivo - Winners of the Mini-Bridge

# OPEN RANKINGS 

| GROUP A |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| POLAND | 339.00 |
| 2 AUSTRIA | 324.50 |
| 3 BELGIUM | 316.00 |
| 4 BRAZIL | 303.00 |
| 5 DENMARK | 296.50 |
| IRELAND | 296.50 |
| 7 CROATIA | 284.00 |
| 8 SPAIN | 266.00 |
| 9 HONG KONG | 261.50 |
| 10 SLOVENIA | 256.00 |
| II CANADA | 249.00 |
| 12 SWITZERLAND | 243.00 |
| 13 WALES | 229.00 |
| 14 PAKISTAN | 208.50 |
| 15 COLOMBIA | 204.00 |
| 16 TUNISIA | 181.00 |
| 17 SINGAPORE | 155.00 |
| 18 TANZANIA | 109.00 |


| GROUP B |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I USA 346.00 <br> 2 NETHERLANDS 327.00 <br> 3 RUSSIA 309.00 <br> 4 AUSTRALIA 305.00 <br> 5 HUNGARY 283.00 <br> 6 GREECE 274.00 <br> 7 CHINESE TAIPEI 271.00 <br> 8 FINLAND 261.00 <br>  SCOTLAND 261.00 <br>  PORTUGAL 261.00 <br> II LEBANON 245.00  <br>  LIECHTENSTEIN 245.00 <br> I3 ROMANIA 244.00  <br> I4 LUXEMBOURG 211.00 <br> I5 GUADELOUPE 209.00 <br> I6 MONACO 204.00 <br> I7 BANGLADESH 165.00 <br> I8 PHILIPPINES 109.00 |  |  |

## WOMEN's RANKINGS

 Final Standings| GROUP A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I USA | 359.60 |
| 2 AUSTRIA | 356.00 |
| 3 NORWAY | 352.00 |
| 4 ENGLAND | 347.00 |
| 5 CHINESE TAIPEI | 333.25 |
| 6 ISRAEL | 316.50 |
| 7 JAPAN | 308.00 |
| 8 SWEDEN | 306.00 |
| 9 DENMARK | 301.50 |
| IO ITALY | 294.50 |
| II FINLAND | 291.00 |
| I2 SPAIN | 259.00 |
| I3 BRAZIL | 256.00 |
| I4 INDONESIA | 254.00 |
| NEW ZEALAND | 254.00 |
| I6 CROATIA | 242.25 |
| I7 RUSSIA | 232.40 |
| I8 HONG KONG | 217.00 |
| I9 IRELAND | 211.00 |
| 20 JAMAICA | 138.00 |


| GROUP B |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| GERMANY | 373.00 |
| FRANCE | 368.00 |
| CANADA | 356.00 |
| NETHERLANDS | 344.00 |
| POLAND | 329.00 |
| CHINA | 319.00 |
| SCOTLAND | 310.00 |
| 8 SOUTHAFRICA | 299.00 |
| GREECE | 293.00 |
| 10 CZECH REPUBLIC | 278.00 |
| II ARGENTINA | 270.00 |
| 12 MEXICO | 264.00 |
| 13 AUSTRALIA | 259.00 |
| 14 VENEZUELA | 252.00 |
| 15 MOROCCO | 245.00 |
| 16 EGYPT | 242.00 |
| 17 WALES | 234.00 |
| 18 TURKEY | 227.00 |
| 19 INDIA | 193.00 |
| 20 PAKISTAN | 188.00 |


| GROUP C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ENGLAND | 350.00 |
| 2 | INDONESIA | 334.50 |
| 3 | SWEDEN | 320.00 |
| 4 | FRANCE | 311.00 |
| 5 | ISRAEL | 303.00 |
| 6 | GERMANY | 299.00 |
| 7 | JAPAN | 294.00 |
| 8 | INDIA | 281.00 |
| 9 | YUGOSLAVIA | 256.00 |
| 10 | EGYPT | 246.50 |
| 11 | CZECH REPUBLIC | 235.00 |
| 12 | MEXICO | 217.00 |
| 13 | LATVIA | 187.00 |
| 14 | VENEZUELA | 182.50 |
| 15 | URUGUAY | 179.00 |
| 16 | CYPRUS | 177.50 |
| 17 | SAN MARINO | 161.50 |
| 18 | MALTA | 121.00 |


| GROUP D |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 ITALY | 358.00 |
| 2 ARGENTINA | 335.00 |
| 3 NORWAY | 325.00 |
| 4 ICELAND | 313.00 |
| 5 NEW ZEALAND | 301.00 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 301.00 |
| 7 CHINA | 293.00 |
| 8 BULGARIA | 270.25 |
| 9 TURKEY | 262.00 |
| 10 MOROCCO | 244.00 |
| II LA REUNION | 234.25 |
| 12 MALAYSIA | 227.00 |
| 13 BERMUDA | 217.00 |
| 14 THAILAND | 216.50 |
| 15 MARTINIQUE | 193.00 |
| 16 UKRAINE | 187.00 |
| 17 BOTSWANA | 105.00 |
| 18 PALESTINE | 66.00 |

## PROGRAM

## Final Standings

| I AUSTRIA | 435 | OPEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ITALY | 430 | I Italy | Australia |
| 3 DENMARK | 377 | 2 Indonesia | Brazil |
| 4 NETHERLANDS | 376 | 3 Norway | Russia |
| 5 CZECH REPUBLIC | 375 | 4 England | Belgium |
| 6 FRANCE | 373 | 5 Netherlands | Iceland |
| 7 GERMANY | 371 | 5 Netherlands | Frand |
| 8 POLAND | 349.5 | 6 Poland | France |
| 9 NORWAY | 348 | 7 Austria | Sweden |
| 10 INDONESIA | 347.5 | 8 USA | Argentina |
| II USA | 328 |  |  |
| 12 CHINESE TAIPEI | 320 | WOMEN |  |
| 13 CHINA | 290.25 |  |  |
| 14 JAPAN | 289 | 9 Germany | Japan |
| 15 BELGIUM | 277 | 10 England | China |
| 16 SINGAPORE | 272 | II Austria | South Africa |
| 17 ENGLAND | 271 | 12 Canada | Sweden |
| 18 HONG KONG | 267 |  |  |
| 19 YUGOSLAVIA | 199 | 13 Norway | Poland |
| 20 IRELAND | 166.5 | 14 France | Chinese Taipei |
| 21 BOTSWANA | 152 | 15 Netherlands | Israel |
| 22 LATVIA | 152 | 16 USA | Scotland |

# Botswana Outbid England 

By Modisaotsile Dikgang (Botswana) (Call me Mod for short!)

Five years ago, at theWorld Junior Pairs and EBL Junior Camp in Ghent, a group from Botswana, all competing for the first time outside their home country, proved the hit of the week, with nothing removing the smile from their faces at the great experience. Two of that group, Mod Dikgang \& Galtshetse Mokone, have now returned, thanks to a grant from the Botswana Sports Council, to compete in the University event in Maastricht. The highspot of their week was the win over England. "Mod" reports a well-bid slam from that match:

This hand came in Round 4 of the University Teams when Botswana met England. This is the auction from the Closed Room:

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

- A Q 1063
$A 864$
$\diamond$ Q 98
- 2
- 982
$\bigcirc 1053$
$\diamond 72$
- 18743

819
$\triangleleft K 106543$
\& AK 105
- K 754
- KQ 72
$\diamond A J$
2 Q 96

| West <br> Corser | North <br> Dikgang | East <br> Stockdale <br> I | South <br> Mokone |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INT |  |  |  |

The INT overcall was strong, $2 \triangleleft$ was Stayman, and the $2 \wedge$ continuation natural and forcing. Once South raised, I could see the possibility that my spades might take care of a diamond loser, provided we played in the 4-4 heart fit.

That proved so as we had nine winners in the majors, two in diamonds and, with hearts as trumps, the diamond ruff was the twelfth trick. East made his ace of clubs at trick one, but that was all.The nine-card spade fit only gives twelve tricks when declarer can ruff two clubs (impossible when his shape is 4-4-3-2).

At the other table England had reached Four Hearts, making 12 tricks. This gave Botswana II IMPs. Botswana went on to win the match 23-7, registering their first victory.

## Sponsors Corner

The Israeli Delegation: Open, Ladies and Seniors teams, wish to thank PIATNIK Playing Card Manufacturers from Austria for their kind sponsorship.

## Confident captain:



## Betty Amn Kennedy

From the moment she accepted the job as non-playing captain of the USA team in the Ist Senior International Cup, Betty Ann Kennedy knew how the event was going to turn out.
"I knew we were going to win," she says.
That may sound like the kind of thing an npc is supposed to say, but Kennedy swears she really believed it.

Of course, her position was vindicated by the impressive victory posted by her squad - Bobby Wolff, Dan Morse, John Sutherlin, John Mohan, Kit Woolsey and Steve Robinson.
"I've known all these players for many years," Kennedy says, "and I know their abilities. They are all true champions."

Kennedy, who was recruited in mid-July after the Americans had won the Senior trials in Las Vegas, says she had a master plan for her squad: "Ideally, it was to start slowly and peak each day. That's what we did."

Indeed, the team was 10th after the first day, and third after II matches, nearly halfway through the event. USA moved into first after 15 matches and stayed there, finishing 21 Victory Points ahead of runner-up France.

Says Mohan: "Betty Ann is the reason we won. She kept us all together and was always positive."

Kennedy has vast experience in World Championships she has won two Venice Cups, a Women's Pairs and a Women's Olympiad, along with three silver medals - so she knows how important an npc can be to a team's performance. "I have always depended on the captain to keep things running smoothly so that the players didn't have to worry about the details."

She was especially complimentary of Mohan and Sutherlin - "The two Johns. They were a work of art, but Kit and Steve were brilliant and Bobby and Dan were just rocks."

Kennedy says a side benefit of the job is what she learned from observing her team at the table: "I have a few ideas to take back to my own team."

Round thirteen saw England in sixth place in Group A take on runaway leaders Norway. England got off to a poor start when the wrong part-score on Board I cost them 6 IMPs and systemic differences effectively cost them a combined 13 IMPs on Boards 5 and 6. In between these losses both teams had misdefended 3NT on Board 4, but the same pairs then redeemed themselves by reaching the grand slam on Board 7.All of which left England trailing 22-0 when Board 10 arrived.

## Board IO. Dealer East. Both Vul.

© K 86
$\checkmark$ Q 9
$\diamond 1097$
\% 109762


At both tables, South played in 3NT. In the Open Room, Sandra Penfold, for England, received the lead of the $\vee 7$ and decided to play on clubs. She won the first trick in hand and played two rounds of clubs. Aase Langeland won the second and third rounds of clubs and continued hearts each time. Declarer was up to eight tricks by now, and since the hearts seemed to be 4-4 she established her ninth trick by knocking out the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$.

In the Closed Room, Solvi Remen, for Norway, received the lead of the 83 and decided to play on diamonds. She won the first trick in dummy and ran the $\diamond 7$ to Michelle Brunner's Jack. Brunner continued hearts and Remen continued diamonds. When Brunner won the third round of diamonds with the ace and exited with a third heart, Remen was also up to eight tricks and had to decide on the best way to establish a third spade trick. With hearts seemingly $4-4$ at this table as well, ace, king and a third spade seems best, but declarer crossed to the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and finessed the $\boldsymbol{\rho}$. .That route led


Nevena Senior, England
to one down and England had recovered 12 IMPs.
Both teams missed an easy $6 \triangleright$ on Board II and then came the fireworks of Board 12 .

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


This hand caused large swings around the room and this match was no exception.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Langeland | Senior | Heitmann | Penfold |
| Pass | 12 | $1 \diamond$ | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 2. | 3\% | 5 | 68 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

In the Open Room, England were allowed to play in $6{ }^{\circ}$ doubled.There was no way to stop declarer making all thirteen tricks and England scored 1740.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brunner | Thoresen <br> Goldenfield | Remen |  |
| $2 \boldsymbol{3 \&}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

In the Closed Room, South's raise to $4 \boldsymbol{2}$ seems somewhat conservative and North's double of $5 \diamond$ seems overly reliant on her partner finding a spade lead. Possibly South, holding two aces herself, should find the spade lead, but when she actually tried the \&A, England made an overtrick in this room as well for +650 and a 20 IMP gain that put them in the lead by 32-22.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- 6532
$\checkmark$ A Q 8
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}_{4}$

2) 1098

- Q 10
$\bigcirc$ J 2
$\diamond$ J 109752
\& 753

$\checkmark 10965$
$\checkmark$ AK 8
\& A 64


Michelle Brunner, England

In both rooms, East opened $1>$, South overcalled INT and was raised to 3 NT by North. Both Wests led the $\diamond$ J, won on the table with the queen, but then the play diverged.

In the Open Room, Penfold played a spade to the jack and queen at trick two and could no longer make the contract. Langeland continued diamonds and Penfold won and finessed the $>8$ losing to the king. Eva Lund Heitmann now played ace and another spade and had a good spade to cash when she later won her club trick.

In the Closed Room, Remen played a club at trick two covered by the queen and ace and Rhona Goldenfield won the next round of the suit and exited with a diamond. A heart to the eight followed and when this drew the king declarer had no further problems; 10 IMPs to Norway.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - AJ 985 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |  |
|  | -K98542 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K Q } 72 \\ & >94 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - 3 |
|  | W E |  | QJ 876 |
| $\stackrel{\text { Q } 10873}{ }$ |  |  |  |
|  | S |  |  |
|  | 4. 1064 |  |  |
|  | PK103 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ942 |  |  |
|  | - 16 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Langeland | Senior | Heitmann | Penfold |
|  | 14 | 28 | 2. |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

After the aggressive 1s opening by North, Langeland played in 3NT on the lead of the 5 . She won the queen and finessed the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ losing to Penfold's king. A club continuation will defeat the contract, but Penfold switched to the $\$ 6$ and declarer now
had nine tricks.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brunner | Thoresen | Goldenfield | Remen |
|  | 14 | 28 | 24 |
| 3NT | 4* | 48 | 4. |
| 4NT | Pass | Pass | Dble |

After the same start to the auction in the Closed Room, Siv Thoresen was not finished and came again with 4e over 3NT. Goldenfield naturally competed with $4\ulcorner$ and Remen bid 4 4 . That contract would probably fail by two tricks, but Brunner decided to try 4NT rather than double and Remen felt she had enough to double that at her turn. Brunner won the club lead with her queen and finessed the $\upharpoonright \mathrm{J}$, and hope seemed to dawn when it held the trick. However, when the king failed to drop when she cashed the ace she knew she was doomed. She played a third heart and Remen won and played a second club, so Brunner was two down. +300 and 12 IMPs to Norway putting them ahead 46-32.

Board 20. Dealer West. Both Vul.
© K 9643
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond 832$
\& Q 84

| ¢ 852 | N | - Q 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 542$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 10876 |  |
| $\diamond$ A Q 1054 |  | E $\quad \checkmark 6$ |  |
| ¢ 53 | S | \% 10972 |  |
|  | - AJ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{KJ}$ |  |  |
| \& AK 6 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brunner | Thoresen | Goldenfield | Remen |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Dble | 34 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Remen overcalled the weak $2 \triangleleft$ with 2 NT and Thoresen transferred to spades. Goldenfield doubled the 3 bid to show a good suit and although this gave Remen some extra options Norway still stopped in 34. Brunner led a heart and Remen duly made her contract for the loss of three diamonds and a heart; +140 to Norway.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Langeland | Senior | Heitmann <br> Penfold |  |
| Pass | Pass | $1 »$ | Dble |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In the other room, Heitmann opened $I \oslash$ and Penfold doubled and then jumped to 3NT over Nevena Senior's 24 response. Langeland led the $\diamond 4$ and Penfold came to nine tricks for +600 and 10 IMPs to England. This was enough for England to earn a 15-I5VPs draw as it left the final score 46-44 in Norway's favour.

## Good Teammate

The format of the Ist Senior International Teams was a complete round-robin, winner take all, so every match was important. Teams never knew when an IMP here or there could have extra significance.

Thus, Dan Morse's teammates were pleased that he saved the squad from a loss on this deal from the 16th round against Germany. Morse was a member of the USA team which ended up winning the event. His teammates were Bobby Wolff, John Mohan, John Sutherlin, Kit Woolsey and Steve Robinson.

Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- A 95

QQ74
$\diamond$ J 1093
-K 95

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } 876432 \quad \mathrm{~N} \\ & \bigcirc 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\wedge}{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K 752 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond 84 \\ & \& \text { AJ } 832 \end{aligned}$ |
| - 10 | $S$ |  |  |
|  | - K 10 |  |  |
|  | PA65 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 6 |  |  |
|  | 2 Q 764 |  |  |
| West | North | East | t South |
| Mohan |  | Sutherlin |  |
|  |  |  | INT |
| 34 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Mohan went down three for minus 500 and was concerned that it was a loss because it didn't appear that North/South could make a game.

Morse, however, took advantage of the lie of the cards to take nine tricks in 3NT. He had to play carefully, though.

| West | North | East | South <br> INT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2每 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) One-suited hand |  |  |  |

Wolff's jump to 3NT is a sound move in practice, keeping the opponents from possibly finding a fit and a cheap save at favorable vulnerability.

West led a low spade to the jack and king. Morse, aiming to maintain a fluid position, played the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$. West would have been better placed to duck, but it would not have made any difference. Morse would simply have had to go about taking his nine tricks a different way. As it was, West won the $\checkmark K$ and played the $\bigcirc$ 8. Morse played low in dummy, East played low, and so did Morse.

West exited with a spade, which Morse ran to his ten. He followed with a club to the ten, king and ace, and West exited with a diamond. Morse then played a club to the nine and East's jack, and Morse was able to finesse against the 8 for his ninth trick.

## What does the Appeals Committee mean?

By David Stevenson, England

In Appeal No. 5 (Norway v Latvia) the Committee's decision was described as:

Score adjusted to
$50 \%$ of $2 \mathrm{~F}=$ by North, NS +110,
$20 \%$ of $24+2, N / S-170$,
$30 \%$ of $4=$, N/S -620 to both sides.
Enough people have suggested I learn the English language that I think I had better explain a bit better! First of all, let me express it more clearly: it would be clearer (I hope) if I had written:

## Score adjusted to:

## Both sides receive:

$50 \%$ of 2 making by North ( $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}+110$ ), plus
$20 \%$ of 2 plus two by West (N/S - 170 ), plus
$30 \%$ of 4 making by West (N/S -620).
The first thing to note is that an Appeals Committee does not always give the same score to each side, but here the 'Both sides receive' indicates this is not one of those cases.

The Appeals Committee has 'done equity' (as the Law book puts it) by considering what would have happened without the infraction. In this case they believe that North would be left in $2 \checkmark$ (which makes) about one time in two, and the rest of the time West would bid 24. They also think that when West bids 24 they will reach game about three times in five. Note that these percentages include a slight bias towards the non-offenders to make sure that they do not suffer from any doubt but they do not include any punitive element.

Why do the Appeals Committee not issue a figure calculated from the above, for example saying their decision is to give a score of N/S - 165 (ie N/S + II $0 \times 0.5-170 \times 0.2-620 \times 0.3$ )? Because that is not the way the decision is applied. Each score is imped against the score in the other room, and then the percentage (the "weighting") applied to those IMPs. Appeals Committees are not there to do such calculations, which are the province of the Chief TD.

However, to see how the procedure works, let us calculate this weighting. In fact the score in the other room was 4s making by West (N/S -620). So we can calculate the final score for North/South in this room thus:

| This room | Other room | IMPs | Weighting | Nett IMPs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/S +110 | N/S -620 | +12 | $50 \%$ | +6.0 |
| N/S -170 | N/S -620 | +10 | $20 \%$ | +2.0 |
| N/S -620 | N/S -620 | 0 | $30 \%$ | +0.0 |
|  |  |  | TOTAL | $+\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |

This compares with the score obtained at the table of $2 \otimes$ making by North (N/S +1IO) which resulted in +12 IMPs.

# The WBF Teaching Program 

During the WBF Congress held on Wednesday morning, the President arranged for a demonstration of the teaching program being developed by theWorld Bridge Federation for use by teachers in NBOs all over the world. This material can be used for teaching bridge in schools or at bridge clubs or private classes.

This is a long term project, the first stage of which is to provide a program that can be used by teachers and aspiring teachers who need detailed information about how to teach bridge to young people. The initial program contains 25 lessons, each giving deals.

The program is based on the Minibridge System developed by the French Bridge Federation, and instructions are currently available in both French and English. It is intended that other languages should be added at a later date.
Each lesson is divided into its various components, with an overall view of the Lesson of the Day being given in each case, together with at least one Illustration Hand, some Advice of the Day, Definitions of bridge terms and any other information the teacher may need. In addition, material is provided that can be printed and given to the students and there is also test material for the students to use.

The aim of this first stage is to provide all the printed material that the teacher will need in order to set up a class. Examples of posters will be available, together with such things as advice on how to advertise classes to attract interest, lists of books that the teacher or students might wish to purchase, and various wall charts of information that can be put up in the class room. Advice will be given on how to obtain materials such as boards and cards, bridge tables and cloths.

Development on the program is continuing and additional material will be added over the course of the next few months.

Once the first stage is completed, development of the second stage, which will be a program for the students, giving them the

opportunity to learn more, will begin. It is also envisaged that material for teachers wishing to start more advanced classes will also be developed and provided. The program is available to all NBOs free of charge on Internet in English and in French at the moment (full details are given below). The Colombian Bridge Federation has very generously offered to translate the material into Spanish, and we will also include this on the site as soon as it becomes available, together with translations into other languages.
If your NBO wishes to trans-
late the material into your own language, we invite you to make it available to all other countries by sending the translation to us for inclusion on the web site.

New material will frequently appear on the web site, so please check back regularly to see what has been done.

In due course the WBF will also arrange for CDs to be cut and distributed free to all NBOs.

The teaching program is currently available on Internet at: www.worldbridge.org/teaching.

For further information, please contact:
Anna Gudge,
The Old Railway Station
Long Melford, Sudbury, Suffolk, England COIO 9HN
Tel: +44 I787 88।920; fax: +44 1787 88।339
Email: wbf@ecats.co.uk
Anna is also here and can be found in the WBF office, room 2.3 on the Promenade Floor, if you wish to talk to her about this project. If you wish to be kept updated about the progress of the teaching program, please email Anna when you return home or ensure that you give her your email address and other contact details while you are here so that she can keep in touch with you on a regular basis.

## World Championship Book 2000

Order the Official book of these Championships while here in Maastricht and save money! On publication, the price will be US\$29.95, but here the price is just \$25 or $\mathbf{6 0}$ Guilders. Please see Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room on the bottom floor of the MECC.
The World Championship Book will be edited by Brian Senior. Principle analysts will be Brian Senior, Eric Kokish and Barry Rigal. There will also be guest writers from around the world.

The book will include coverage of all the best of the action from all the events being held in Maastricht, including every deal of the finals and semi-finals. There will be a complete listing of all participants, all the final rankings and statistics, and many photographs.

Estimated publication date is late February 2001.

This Round 15 encounter featured two of the teams contesting the four qualifying places.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.
, 765
$\checkmark$ AK 6
$\diamond 94$
2 A 7432

| - AK 1093 | N | - 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 875$ | $W^{\text {c }}$ | 8 J 109 |
| $\diamond$ A 852 | W E | $\checkmark$ KQ 106 |
| - 1 | S | 2KQ K 1098 |
|  | - QJ 42 |  |
|  | QQ432 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 73 |  |
|  | \& 65 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle |
|  | I $\diamond$ | Pass | $1\rangle$ |
| IS | Pass | INT | All Pass |

South led the six of clubs, and when the defenders did not cash their heart tricks, declarer emerged with +180 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Branco |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | $1 母$ | Pass |
| $1 \otimes$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

Once North had passed and East opened the bidding, it was unlikely that East/West would avoid game. West's response to the opening bid promised a spade suit, and in due course the Austrians arrived in 3NT. Branco led the two of hearts, and Chagas took the ace and king, thought for around a second, cashed the ace of clubs, and went back to hearts. A fast one down, and 6 IMPs to Brazil.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- A 103
©K987
$\diamond 10654$
- A 3
- 9754
- J 54
$\diamond 32$
\& Q J 96

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& A 103 \\ & \& K 987 \\ & \diamond 10654 \\ & \& A 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 9754 | N | - 2 |
| ¢J54 | W E | $\bigcirc 102$ |
| $\checkmark 32$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AKQ 987 |
| - QJ96 | S | ¢ K 542 |
|  | - KQJ86 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 63 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J |  |
|  | c 1087 |  |



The excellent barrage put up by the Austrian pair made life difficult for North/South.

If Branco had been 5-5 in the majors, Six Hearts would have been perfectly playable but, as it was, there was no way declarer could produce a twelfth trick on this layout; 13 IMPs for Austria.

Their lead did not survive the next deal.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- A 6

ンK 105
$\diamond$ Q 987
\& K Q 75

- J 8742
- J 2
$\diamond$ J 532
\& A 10

Open Room

| West | North <br> Campos | East <br> Kriftner | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Wernle |

There was nothing to the play, declarer collecting eleven tricks for +650 .

## Closed Room <br> Closed Room

| West <br> Simon | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Branco |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | 12 |
| $4 \%$ | $4 \diamond$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  |  | All Pass |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle |
|  |  |  | 12 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3NT |

As the Austrians were using standard Blue Club, the response to One Club promised four controls, and Two Clubs was Stayman. On this layout there were twelve easy tricks; +490 .


Josef Simon, Austria

## Closed Room

| West <br> Simon | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Branco <br> 180 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \mathbf{2 N}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $6 \%$ | All Pass |  |

The slam depended on the location of the ace of clubs and the division of that suit. It was inferior to 6 NT , as that contract might survive even if the clubs were not behaving, but that did not matter here; IO IMPs to Brazil.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle |
|  | Pass | 18 | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

This was very tough for East/West, as presumably East's king of clubs could just as easily have been the ace. Declarer won the opening lead of the ten of spades with the ace, and ran the diamonds. North knew enough to hold on to four hearts, so the contract was one down; -50.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simon | Chagas | Terraneo | Branco |
|  | Pass | 18 | $3 \%$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $6 N T$ | All Pass |

Was East expecting his partner to deliver more for his Three Diamond bid? This time South led the queen of spades, and the play went along similar lines, except declarer went for a very, very small and unlikely chance, and in the ending did not cash out, but played a club towards his king, going three down, and thereby losing 3 IMPs.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
$\triangle 9$

- Q 1086
-J542
Q 965

Open Room

| West <br> Campos | North <br> Kriftner | East <br> Villas-Boas | South <br> Wernle <br> 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dble | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Once South had opened One Spade, East/West were never going to play in that suit, preferring to attempt the nine-trick game. North led a club, for the ten, king and ace. Declarer now played on spades, cashing the ace and continuing with the jack. South ducked that, but took the next spade and returned a club for the jack and queen. At this point, the play record stops. Declarer made ten tricks, so one assumes that North misread the club position, and cashed the nine of clubs.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Simon | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Branco <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

One Heart was a transfer to spades, so it was perfectly reasonable for West to jump to game. North led the two of diamonds, and West ducked the queen. He took the next diamond, played three rounds of hearts ruffing in hand, (It looks slightly better to start the cross ruff by playing ace of clubs, ruff a club, which will leave no guess in the endgame.), cashed the ace of clubs and ruffed a club. He played another heart, and ruffed as South discarded the king of clubs. He played a club, and when North produced the nine, he could have got home by ruffing high in the dummy. However, he discarded the remaining diamond and was one down. 12 IMPs to Brazil.

At this point, Brazil led 32-I5, but from here on, it was Austria who were in control.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- QJ 109543
© A 982
$\diamond A$
9


| N | - |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\begin{aligned} & \vee Q 754 \\ & \diamond O 8764 \end{aligned}$ |
| S | - AKQ6 |
| - K 876 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 6$ |  |
| $\diamond 1095$ |  |
| ¢ J 1032 |  |


| Open Room | J J 1032 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle <br> Pass |
| Pass | 49 | Dble | All Pass |

East has perfect distribution for a double, but should he do it facing a passed partner? An alternative that gives up on a possible penalty is to bid 4NT. For many partnerships that would simply promise at least two places to play.

Another reason why double may not turn out well is that, if partner passes, your clubs may be of little worth in defence. That was the case here, and declarer had no trouble making an overtrick; +690.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Simon | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Terraneo | South <br> Branco <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $4 \infty$ | All Pass |  |

This time East went quietly, and who is to say he was wrong? Notice by the way that perfect defence will collect the first six tricks against Five Clubs doubled. Whatever, Austria added another 6 IMPs to their total.

## The Heat is On

Spotting Sabine Auken in a delightful, but rather thin, outfit, the Editor suggested she might find it a little cool in the playing room. 'Not in the heat of battle', she replied.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - K Q 93 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 107532$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 963$ |  |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |  |
| - A 64 | $N$ - 10 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ 9 | W E |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ 752 |  |  |  |
| \& Q 2 | S AJ9743 |  |  |
| ¢ J 8752 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 104 |  |  |  |
| * K 865 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle |
| INT | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Although East managed to show his suits, the first via a transfer, it proved impossible to avoid the no-trump game. It was clear to lead a spade, and that meant that the contract went two down, as declarer went for his only real chance in the club suit.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Simon | Chagas | Terraneo | Branco |
| INT | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 49 | Dble | 5\% | All Pass |

Two Clubs was Stayman, and Three Clubs natural.When West showed his diamond suit, perhaps suggesting he was weak in one major, East decided to emphasise his clubs, thereby avoiding the doomed nine-trick game. Well done, and plus 400.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.


Both tables saw North declaring 3NT. East led a heart, and the play diverged. In the Open Room, North played dummy's nine and took West's ten with the jack. Although the contract can al-
ways be made, it is not so easy for declarer to find a winning line, and he drifted two down.

At the other table, Chagas put up dummy's queen of hearts at trick one and when it held, he played a spade. West went in with the ace to play a second heart. Declarer ducked to East's king, and won the heart return. If he now takes a winning view in spades, East will be squeezed by the fourth round of the suit, but Chagas played on clubs at once, and when the suit failed to divide 3-3 he could no longer get home.

Some declarer's were successful, and you can read an account elsewhere in this bulletin.

As an aside, in the Women's match between Ireland and the USA, when this deal was played, dummy appeared to look to declarer like this:

- K Q 105
- J 2
$\diamond$ AK Q 7
- K 32

The opening lead of the ten of hearts was covered by the jack and king, and declarer won the next trick with the nine of hearts. She then played the four of diamonds to dummy's ace, and East's ace! Only now did everyone realise that North's red ace was round not pointed!

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | - 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 73 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 7 |  |  |
|  | * A QJIO854 |  |  |
| -1085 | N |  | A AK962 |
| -KJ98 |  |  | PQ652 |
| $\checkmark 8532$ | S | $\mathrm{E} \quad \diamond \mathrm{A}$ | $\checkmark$ AJ 64 |
| -K7 |  | QJ74 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 104$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 109 |  |  |
|  | +9632 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Campos | Kriftner | Villas-Boas | Wernle |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 24 | 3\% | $4{ }^{1}$ | All Pass |

Four Spades looks reasonable, but was doomed by the bad breaks. A club went to the king and ace, and declarer ruffed. He cashed the ace of spades, and then played a heart. North took the ace, and played the king of diamonds. When that held he continued with the seven of diamonds, taken by the ace. Declarer now cashed the king of spades, and finished three down; -I50.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simon | Chagas | Terraneo | Branco |
|  |  | 14, | Pass |
| 24 | 3\% | 49 | Pass |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Chagas took some time before flying solo with his bid of Five Clubs. He may have been thinking about a double that would certainly have been a winner this time.

Five Clubs had to go one down, so 6 IMPs were added to the Austrian total.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | - 64 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q Q 8652 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K |  |
|  | \& KJ1054 |  |
| - J 1053 | N | - K 82 |
| ¢K1093 |  | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| $\diamond$ QJ 52 |  | $\checkmark$ A 763 |
| -2 | S | - AQ863 |
|  | - AQ97 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 4 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10984$ |  |
|  | -97 |  |

## Open Room

West

Campos \begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Kriftner

$\quad$

East <br>
Villas-Boas

 

South <br>
Wernle <br>
Is
\end{tabular}

South led the ten of diamonds, covered all around, and declarer played a heart, putting up the king when South played low. He showed South the error of his ways by ruffing a heart, and played a diamond to the queen, ruffed by North. Back came a spade to South's queen, and it looks as if he then cashed two diamonds, and played the ace of hearts, that meant that declarer could escape for two down; - 100 .

## Closed Room

West

Simon \begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Chagas

$\quad$

East <br>
Terraneo

 

South <br>
Branco <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

The audience were very surprised that Chagas did not mention his hearts, but all became clear when it was revealed that West's One Diamond showed that suit!

Branco led a high diamond for the queen, king and ace, and declarer played a heart. South went up with the ace, and played another diamond, covered by the jack and ruffed by North. He switched to a spade, and South won and cashed his diamond winners, before continuing with spades, North ruffing the third round. He could exit with a heart, forcing declarer, who was down to just trumps, to ruff. He had to lose two more trump tricks, down four. It cost 3 IMPs.

Austria picked up a few bits and pieces in the remaining boards, to win 54-35 IMPs, I9-II VPs, good news for them, and for Denmark, who remained in fourth place despite losing by a similar score to Spain.

Two rounds to go in the Open qualifying series and the battle for a spot in the top four of Group A is really hotting up. In Round 16, the third- and fourth-placed teams, Austria and Denmark met on vugraph.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
-

$$
81652
$$

$$
\diamond 109732
$$

$$
\text { \& K Q } 53
$$



## Closed Room

| West <br> Barnay | North <br> Raulund | East <br> Terraneo <br> Pass | South <br> Pedersen <br> INT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apen Room <br> West | North | East <br> Auken | Simon |
| 49 | All Pass | Pass | South <br> Strafner <br> I $\diamond$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Denmark picked up a game swing when Karsten Pedersen out-defended Michael Strafner. Both Ole Raulund and Josef


Ole Raulund, Denmark

Simon led a club honour against 44. Pedersen prevented Michael Barnay from reaching the dummy to take the trump finesse, by ducking the first round of hearts, cashing his winners and exiting passively with a club.

Jens Auken played a low diamond at trick two and Strafner won the king and could surely have simply cashed the other diamond winner before playing a club back. However, after some thought, he cashed the ace of hearts instead, allowing Auken to unblock his king and create the necessary dummy entry. Now Strafner cashed the ace of diamonds before playing a club, but Auken could ruff and cross to the $\vee Q$ and lead the jack of spades off the dummy. When Strafner played low, Auken sat and considered the position for a while. Had Strafner created the dummy entry for him because the spade finesse was about to lose to the bare king, or had he simply misjudged the position? Eventually, Auken got it right, running the spade and picking up 10 IMPs for his side.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Closed Room
West
Barnay

North
East
South
Pedersen
Pass
2*
Open Room
West

Auken $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Simon

$\quad$

East <br>
Koch-P

 

South <br>
Strafner <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

The natural $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ opening in the Closed Room made it normal for North to overcall in hearts and the spade fit was missed. After a low club lead, Raulund made ten tricks for +170 .

In the other room, Simon doubled the one-level opening. Strafner's is response showed values, usually 7-10 or so, and Simon raised him directly to game.Auken led an attacking king of hearts. Strafner won the ace and played the ace of spades, a spade to the king, then a heart to the queen. After ruffing a heart, declarer played a low club from hand and Auken allowed the queen to score - not that it mattered. Strafner could run winning hearts until Dennis Koch-Palmund ruffed in, and lost two spades and a diamond for +420 and 6 IMPs to Austria.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


In the Closed Room, Christian Terraneo, playing a strong club system, had a super-maximum I $\vee$ opening bid, particularly after the Is response. He made a jump rebid and after exploring various possible trump suits, played 4 . After a diamond lead to dummy's ace, he could pitch a spade and take the club finesse. When North covered the club, he gave up a heart and had II tricks; +450 .

Playing natural methods, Koch-Palmund rebid a simple 2\%. When he was given preference to hearts, he had a close decision as to what to do next and again took the slightly cautious route, bidding only 24. That sequence was consistent with a 3-5-1-4 15count, and Auken was not confident that there would be an adequate trump suit for game purposes so, after some consideration, passed out 24. He played carefully to make exactly eight tricks; + 110 but 8 IMPs to Austria.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- A Q 7

PA 106
$\diamond$ Q 654

- KJ7
- 163
$\bigcirc 74$
$\diamond$ K 32
- A 10842

-KQJ853
$\diamond 109$
\& 93


## Closed Room

| West | North | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnay | Raulund | South <br> Terraneo <br> Pass | Pedersen <br> R |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

## Open Room

West
Auken

Pass
Pass
North
Simon

2NT
3NT
East
Koch-P
Pass
Pass
All Pass

Both Norths discovered that they were facing maximum weak two bids and chose to play 3NT. Koch-Palmund selected the passive lead of a heart, perhaps thinking that declarer would not have a heart fit. Simon had nine running tricks and he also came to a club in the endgame for +430 .
In the other room, Terraneo found the killing lead of a low diamond. Barnay won the king and returned a diamond to the jack, and Terraneo promptly switched to a club to get another diamond through; one down for -50 and IO IMPs to Austria, who led 27-IO.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnay | Raulund Pass | Terraneo 18 | Pedersen 3 - |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Auken | Simon | Koch-P | Strafner |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

Life was very easy for Koch-Palmund in the Open Room. Strafner led a low diamond into the ace-jack and declarer just lost one trick in each of the other three suits; +620 .

In the Closed Room, Pedersen led a low club. Running this to the ten would have brought the contract home because now there would have been a pitch on the 2 Q for the diamond loser.


Christian Terraneo, Austria
However, Terraneo did not know that he could afford a club loser. If he had two trumps to lose, he would need to find a different line and losing a club at the start would almost certainly mean no recovery.Terraneo put in dummy's queen and there was no longer any way to avoid the loss of one trick in each suit; down one for - 100 and 12 IMPs to Denmark.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnay | Raulund | Terraneo | Pedersen |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 14 | 3 - | 49 |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | 54 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## Open Room

| West <br> Auken | North <br> Simon | East <br> Koch-P | South <br> Strafner <br> 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

A great hand for the Austrian methods in the Open Room. Two Spades was weak with spades and a minor, and it was routine for Simon to raise to game, shutting East/West out completely. Auken led the king of clubs and Strafner had II tricks for +450 .

In the Closed Room, Pedersen did not have an opening on the South cards, so the Austrians found the diamond fit and bid up to game. The favourable heart position meant that $5 \triangleleft$ was going to make, so Pedersen did the right thing when he went on to 54. Now it was important that the defence take their three winners immediately, as otherwise the clubs would provide all the discards Raulund needed. A low diamond lead would have been fatal to the defence, but Terraneo found the perfect solution, leading the $\forall K$ to retain the lead. He duly switched to a heart at trick two and that was one down; -50 and II IMPs to Austria.

The lead was back up to 40-23.

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 765
) Q J 5
$\diamond 1054$
2 7643

$$
10
$$

$\ominus$ AK 109742
$\diamond$ Q 732
$\& K$

-K Q 9832
$\bigcirc 6$
$\diamond$ J 96
\& A Q 10
© AJ 4
$\bigcirc 83$
$\diamond$ AK 8
\& 19852
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnay | Raulund | Terraneo | Pedersen |
| $4 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Simon | Koch-P | Strafner |
| 18 | Pass | 1. | Pass |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room, Raulund found a spade lead and Pedersen had an easy time, winning the ace and cashing two diamond winners; one down for - 100 .

It was more difficult for Strafner in the Open Room, where Simon led the four of diamonds. Strafner won the king and cashed the diamond ace, getting the five from partner. There seems to be no way that South can know the position of the queen of diamonds here, and if declarer holds that card a third diamond could let the contract through. Equally, attempting to cash the ace of spades could be wrong if declarer is void and that gives him two pitches for losing diamonds. Strafner got it right, cashing the ace of spades next before playing the third diamond, so the contract was one down; -50 but 2 IMPs to Denmark.

Board I 4. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | - K 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{AJ}$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K J | 6542 |  |
|  | 9 73 |  |  |
| ¢ J 83 | N | 4 | 107652 |
| $\bigcirc$ K 10654 |  | - $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond 73$ |  | E |  |
| \% 965 | S | $\%$ | Q 2 |
|  | - A 9 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 9 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 10$ |  |  |
|  | \& AJ | 84 |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Barnay | Raulund | Terraneo | Pedersen |
|  |  | 14 | 20 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | All Pass |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Auken | Simon | Koch-P | Strafner |
|  |  | 14 | Dble |
| 24 | 3NT | All Pass |  |

In both rooms, a spade lead and continuation when in with the queen of diamonds held declarer to seven tricks; 2 IMPs to Denmark

Roman Smolski, representing Bermuda against La Reunion, also played 3NT after West had been silent in the auction. Like our other declarers, Smolski won the spade lead in dummy and passed the ten of diamonds. The East player for La Reunion now found a very good play of the queen of spades. Think about it for a moment and you will see that a low spade continuation is not good enough if West has jack doubleton, as declarer can simply duck, and East can not get the spades going. How many defenders found this play?

Alas, West was not alive to the situation and failed to throw the jack under the queen. Smolski won the second spade and played on diamonds and the spade blockage meant that the contract made. A sad reward for East's enterprise.

Board I 7. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢ J 82 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 953$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 865 |  |
|  | 9 A 52 |  |
| Q - | N | ¢ K 9765 |
| $\bigcirc$ KQJIO 876 |  | $\bigcirc$ A |
| $\diamond$ J 3 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 1094 |
| \& K 763 | S | ¢ J 104 |
|  | ¢ A Q 1043 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 42$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 72 |  |
|  | \% Q 98 |  |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Barnay | Raulund Pass | Terraneo Pass | Pedersen |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Auken | Simon | Koch-P | Strafner |
|  | Pass | Pass | 14 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

In the Open Room, Simon led a low spade to the queen, ruffed. Auken crossed to the ace of hearts and played the $\diamond 10$. Simon thought for a while but then won his ace and returned a diamond to the king. Strafner exited with a trump and there was no way to avoid two club losers; one down for -50 .

In the Closed Room, Raulund tried the effect of a low diamond lead away from the ace. This was not the time for such imagination. The effect was that Barnay put in dummy's ten and Pedersen ducked - as who would not? Barnay had only one diamond and two clubs to lose now; +420 and II IMPs to Austria.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 105
$\bigcirc 94$
$\checkmark$ A 9652
- 10654
- KJ873
- J 752
$\triangleleft$ K 103
- 9

| N | - Q 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\begin{aligned} & \vee \mathrm{K} Q 10863 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| S | ¢ 82 |
| - A 92 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  |
| $\diamond$ J 8 |  |
| \& AKQJ |  |

Closed Room

| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnay | East <br> Raulund | South <br> Terraneo | Sodersen <br> Ped <br> Dble |
| $4 \diamond$ | Dble | $4 \curvearrowright$ | $5 \%$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{6 \%}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

All Pass
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Simon | Koch-P | Strafner |
|  |  | $2 \bigcirc$ | Dble |
| $4{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pas |  |

Even 5 is beatable if the defence establishes a spade winner before the diamonds are set up. In the Closed Room, Barnay led a heart and Pedersen won, played ace and another club, then tried a diamond to the seven, eight and ten. Back came another heart. Pedersen ruffed and ran the jack of diamonds. He was one down for - 100 .

The play was identical in the Open Room up to the point at
which declarer led the jack of diamonds. A low-flying cow going by must have distracted Jens Auken because he covered the jack with the king - an error he would not repeat if he had the problem a thousand times - and the slam was home for +1370 and 16 IMPs to Austria.

## Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ J 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 965$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 2 |  |
|  | \% A 9762 |  |
| - A 103 | N | ¢ K Q 8764 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 1074 |  | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| $\diamond$ AJ 85 |  | $\diamond$ K 93 |
| ¢ K 5 | S | 2843 |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 32 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10764$ |  |
|  | 2 Q J 10 |  |

Barnay/Terraneo stopped in 3s in the Closed Room, making exactly for +140 . Koch-Palmund was playing for higher stakes in the Open Room as he was in game. Strafner led a top heart and switched to the queen of clubs. Declarer covered with the king and Simon won the ace and returned a club to the ten. Strafner played his last club for dummy to ruff. Koch-Palmund ruffed a heart then played a spade to dummy's ace and ruffed another heart. He ran the trumps and South was caught in a show-up squeeze so that there was no diamond guess. Koch-Palmund duly dropped the diamond offside and had ten tricks for +620; 10 IMPs to Denmark.

No doubt there will be many stories on Board 20, but in Austria v Denmark both East/West pairs played in game - how dull!

Austria won the match by $72-38 \mathrm{IMPs}, 22-8 \mathrm{VPs}$. They had assured themselves of a place in the knock-out stages, while Denmark were down to sixth and would need a good win in the final round if they were to qualify.

## A Collection of Tournament Directors



We are all familiar with collective nouns, such as a herd of cows, a flock of sheep, a plague of locusts, etc. but what does one call a collection of tournament directors (as per the attached picture)? Send your replies to the Bulletin room and we will publish the best (non-derogatory) responses in a later edition.

## Well Read

Anatol Filip of the Czech Republic showed his card-reading skill on this deal from his match against Israel in the 15th round of the Olympiad Open series. The deal was reported by Jiri Stulc, non-playing captain of the Czech team.

Board 13. Dealer North. Both Vul.

- K Q 105
©AJ2
$\diamond$ K Q 7
- K 32


Filip played 3NT from the South chair and received the lead of the $\rangle$ J. He went up with the queen, taken by East with the ace. A heart was returned to the nine, ten and jack.

Filip played a club to the jack and ran the $\$ 9$ to East's jack. The 6 went to the eight, ten and king, and Filip drove out West's A by playing the king. In again, West returned a heart.

Filip went up with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and, reading the cards perfectly, cashed his spades, leaving this ending.

4-
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond$ Q 7
\& 3


On the $\diamond 7$, East could pitch a low heart, but on the forced diamond return, East was finished, as he could not keep guards in both his suits. A well-earned plus for the Czech Republic.

## Football logic

In Olympiad Open series match between Slovenia and Colombia, one of the Slovenian players turned to his opponent and asked, "So, where is Asprilla?"

That was a reference to the well-known fooball player from South America.
"We have three Asprillas," was the reply. "They play bridge with their legs and and not their heads."

## MSN Gaming Zone World Computer Bridge Championship

By AI Levy

The MSN Gaming Zone World Computer Bridge Championship began Friday, with nine programs from around the world vying for the title. This is the 4th annual World Computer Bridge Championship conducted by the American Contract Bridge League. The contestants greeted each other warmly and were all ready to do battle in the friendliest of manners. The human operators seem always to be in good spirits, probably because they can always blame their bad results on some computer glitch.

The format is a complete round-robin with the top four programs going on to the semi-finals. The Maastricht World Computer Bridge Championship is the first major event at which computer bridge programs will be playing against each other completely automatically and without human intervention.

The standings after the fifth session of the round-robin:

| Jack | 85 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Meadowlark Bridge | 84 |
| Winbridge 5 | 81 |
| Blue Chip Bridge | 80 |
| Oxford Bridge | 78 |
| Q-Plus Bridge | 74 |
| Bridge Buff | 71 |
| Micro Bridge | 62 |
| Bridge Baron | 55 |

An interesting difference between human play and computer play almost came into play.

Blue Chip Bridge was in a good 6NT that failed at the other table.

Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | ¢ KJ 42 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 5 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 82 |  |  |
|  | \& $A$ Q 9 |  |  |
| ¢ 1075 | N |  | 4 Q 93 |
| $\bigcirc 1064$ |  |  | ¢K987 |
| $\diamond$ J 54 |  | E $\diamond$ | $\diamond 973$ |
| \& 8764 | - J103 |  |  |
|  | - A 86 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 32 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 106 |  |  |
|  | \& K 52 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  |  | INT |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

The $\diamond 4$ was led. Declarer won in hand and led a heart to the queen and East's king. East returned a diamond. Declarer than ran diamonds and clubs, forcing East to make a critical discard. In human play, the tempo of East's discards may influence declarer to play for the drop of the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$ offside. How will the computer handle the problem? In actual play, East made the bad discard of a heart, and 12 tricks were scored. Now we can do something that can't happen in human play - go back and have East discard a spade and see what South does. In the replay, South took the percentage play in spades and went down one.

## Bridge is an Easy Game?!

By Arifin Halim

n the 16th round match between Indonesia and Brazil in the Olympiad Women's series, this deal came up.

Look first at the East/West hands and the auction.

| $\begin{aligned} & 1086 \\ & \vee \mathrm{AQJ} 64 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - KJ4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10$ |  |
| $\diamond$ K |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 654 |  |
| * KJ93 | S | \& A 106 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | 2. | 2NT | Pass |
| $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |

Declarer, 21-year-old Irne Korenkeng (possibly the youngest competitor in the Women's series), won the diamond lead with her king and immediately played the $\$ 10$, low, low, queen. Her reasoning was as follows: "I will make the contract if North holds both spade honours but, even if South wins the $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$, she has no more spades and I will place North with the A and and play accordingly."

This is a very reasonable line of play, but look at the entire deal:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- A 97532
$\bigcirc 83$
$\checkmark 83$

4. 852


So Irne, placing North with the Q , went one down. Rather unlucky, I would say. Is there a better line of play?

What about this: after winning the $\triangleleft K$, play a low spade to the king. If it wins and South plays low, play a club to the king and a low club to the ten. Even if South wins, she has no more spades and the slam is made - one spade, five hearts, three diamonds and three clubs. In the actual case, the TK drops the queen and you do not need the club finesse - just develop two spade tricks. In the unlucky case that South has the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ singleton, you still have chances if you can guess the club suit correctly for four tricks.

Bridge is an easy game, isn't it?

| OPEN Round-Robin <br> Final Butler Standings (first 70 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nr Pair | Team | Boards | IMPs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMPs/ } \\ & \text { Board } \end{aligned}$ |
| Norberto Bocchi - Giorgio Duboin | Italy | 359 | 240 | 1.50 |
| 2 Colin Simpson - Gunnar Hallberg | England | 268 | 200 | 1.34 |
| 3 Georg Kriftner - Sascha Wernle | Austria | 210 | 160 | 1.31 |
| 4 Henky Lasut - Eddy Manoppo | Indonesia | 357 | 280 | 1.28 |
| 5 Ralph Katz-George Jacobs | USA | 167 | 140 | 1.19 |
| 6 Neville Eber - Bernard Donde | South Africa | 141 | 120 | 1.18 |
| 7 Throstur Ingimarsson - Magnus Magnusson | Iceland | 326 | 280 | 1.16 |
| 8 Larry Cohen - David Berkowitz | USA | 300 | 260 | 1.15 |
| 9 Lorenzo Lauria - Alfredo Versace | Italy | 250 | 220 | 1.14 |
| 10 Cezary Balicki - Adam Zmudzinski | Poland | 215 | 200 | 1.08 |
| II Magnus Lindkvist - Peter Fredin | Sweden | 236 | 220 | 1.07 |
| 12 Chakib Tazi - Guy Cambournac | Morocco | 127 | 120 | 1.06 |
| 13 Tor Helness - Jon-Egil Furunes | Norway | 252 | 240 | 1.05 |
| 14 Denny Sacul - Franky Karwur | Indonesia | 271 | 260 | 1.04 |
| I5 Guy van Middelem - Zvi Engel | Belgium | 245 | 240 | 1.02 |
| 16 Kauko Koistinen - Osmo Kiema | Finland | 319 | 320 | 1.00 |
| 17 Christian Terraneo - Michael Barnay | Austria | 218 | 220 | 0.99 |
| 18 Guillermo Mooney - Martin Monsegur | Argentina | 193 | 200 | 0.97 |
| 19 Dano de Falco - Guido Ferraro | Italy | 154 | 160 | 0.96 |
| 20 Anton Maas -Vincent Ramondt | Netherlands | 240 | 260 | 0.92 |
| 21 Gabriel Chagas - Diego Brenner | Brazil | 163 | 180 | 0.91 |
| 22 Mikhail Krasnosselski - Alexander Dubini | Russia | 180 | 200 | 0.90 |
| 23 Pablo Lambardi - Carlos Lucena | Argentina | 179 | 200 | 0.90 |
| 24 David Burn - Brian Callaghan | England | 205 | 240 | 0.85 |
| 25 Ivica Miladin - Dubrakko Diklic | Croatia | 186 | 220 | 0.85 |
| 26 Erik Saelensminde - Boye Brogeland | Norway | 168 | 200 | 0.84 |
| 27 Glyn Liggins - Joe Fawcett | England | 184 | 220 | 0.84 |
| 28 Reiner Marsal - Dirk Schroeder | Germany | 128 | 160 | 0.80 |
| 29 Huub Bertens - Bart Nab | Netherlands | 140 | 180 | 0.78 |
| 30 Philippe Coenraedts - Frans Jeunen | Belgium | 179 | 240 | 0.75 |
| 31 Erik Austerg - Geir Helgemo | Norway | 163 | 220 | 0.74 |
| 32 Craig Gower - Henry Mansell | South Africa | 231 | 320 | 0.72 |
| 33 Marcello Amaral - Marcelo Branco | Brazil | 98 | 140 | 0.70 |
| 34 Piotr Tuszynski - Krzysztof Jassem | Poland | 136 | 200 | 0.68 |
| 35 Leonid Podgur - Avi Kalish | Israel | 163 | 240 | 0.68 |
| 36 Wubbo de Boer - Bauke Muller | Netherlands | 146 | 220 | 0.66 |
| 37 Howard Weinstein - Steve Garner | USA | 144 | 220 | 0.65 |
| 38 Bjorn Fallenius - Mats Nilsland | Sweden | 157 | 240 | 0.65 |
| 39 Ole Raulund - Karsten Pedersen | Denmark | 140 | 220 | 0.64 |
| 40 Doron Yadlin - Israe Yadlin | Israel | 165 | 260 | 0.63 |
| 41 David Ackerley - Scott Smith | New Zealand | 101 | 160 | 0.63 |
| 42 Regis Levy - Firinde Teylouni | Switzerland | 163 | 260 | 0.63 |
| 43 Federico Goded - Luis Lantaron | Spain | 148 | 240 | 0.62 |
| 44 Alejandro Bianchedi - Leonardo Rizzo | Argentina | 147 | 240 | 0.61 |
| 45 Guido Hopenheit - Ralf Retzaff | Germany | 147 | 240 | 0.61 |
| 46 Maurice Salama - Herve Mouiel | France | 134 | 220 | 0.61 |
| 47 Les Steel -Willie Whittaker | Scotland | 146 | 240 | 0.61 |
| 48 Peter Newell - Martin Reid | New Zealand | 156 | 260 | 0.60 |
| 49 Gary Keaveney - Rory Timlin | Ireland | 107 | 180 | 0.59 |
| 50 Stephen Burgess - Paul Marston | Australia | 190 | 320 | 0.59 |
| 51 Patrick Allegrini - Jean-Jacques Palau | France | 111 | 200 | 0.56 |
| 52 Branco Vlajnic - Dimitraki Zipovski | Yugoslavia | 146 | 280 | 0.52 |
| 53 Kenji Miyakuni - Yoshiyuki Nakamura | Japan | 112 | 220 | 0.51 |
| 54 Chuan Cheng ju - Fu Zhong | China | 120 | 240 | 0.50 |
| 55 Takis Kannavos - Costas Kapayannides | Greece | 115 | 240 | 0.48 |
| 56 Branko Spilijak - Zoran Vukelic | Croatia | 111 | 240 | 0.46 |
| 57 Thorlakur Jonsson - Matthias Thorvaldsso | Iceland | 120 | 260 | 0.46 |
| 58 Miguel Villas-Boas - Joao-Paulo Campos | Brazil | 83 | 180 | 0.46 |
| 59 Rajesh Dalal - Subhash Gupta | India | 100 | 220 | 0.45 |
| 60 Robert Lebi - Nader Hanna | Canada | 108 | 240 | 0.45 |
| 61 Enrico Pagani - Moises Ades | Mexico | 54 | 120 | 0.45 |
| 62 Weimin Wang - Zejun Zhuang | China | 95 | 220 | 0.43 |
| 63 Vladimir Rekunov-Yuri Khoklov | Russia | 112 | 260 | 0.43 |
| 64 Bogdan Marina - Lucian Taciuc | Romania | 93 | 220 | 0.42 |
| 65 Laslo Honti - Tamas Szalka | Hungary | 76 | 180 | 0.42 |
| 66 Santje Panelewen - Robert Tobing | Indonesia | 59 | 140 | 0.42 |
| 67 N.K. Gupta - Rajesh Tiwari | India | 85 | 220 | 0.39 |
| 68 Rory Boland - Niall Toibin | Ireland | 68 | 180 | 0.38 |
| 69 Jacek Pszczola - Michal Kwiecien | Poland | 74 | 200 | 0.37 |
| 70 Matija Senk - Metod Gantar | Slovenia | 78 | 220 | 0.35 |


| WOMEN Round=Robin |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Final Butler Standings (first 70 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| Nr Pair | Team | Boards | IMPs | IMPs/ Board |
| I Veronique Bessis - Catherine d'Ovidio | France | 280 | 240 | 1.17 |
| 2 Pony Nehmert - Andrea Rauscheid | Germany | 267 | 240 | 1.11 |
| 3 Maria Erhart - Jovanka Smederevac | Austria | 309 | 300 | 1.03 |
| 4 Ulla Koch - Christina Klemmensen | Denmark | 232 | 240 | 0.97 |
| 5 Mildred Breed - Shawn Quinn | USA | 283 | 300 | 0.94 |
| 6 Anne-lill Hellemann - Anna Malinowski | Norway | 261 | 280 | 0.93 |
| 7 Martine Lacroix - Francine Cimon | Canada | 242 | 260 | 0.93 |
| 8 Honglin Wang - Ming Sun | China | 221 | 260 | 0.85 |
| 9 Sabine Auken - Daniela von Arnim | Germany | 231 | 280 | 0.83 |
| 10 Ewa Miszewska - Jolanta Sendacka | Poland | 188 | 240 | 0.78 |
| II Doris Fischer - Gabriele Bamberger | Austria | 228 | 300 | 0.76 |
| 12 BepVriend - Marijke van der Pas | Netherlands | 194 | 280 | 0.69 |
| 13 Gong Fang Wen - Hu Sheau-Fong | Chinese Taipei | 179 | 280 | 0.64 |
| 14 Malgorzata Jeleniewska - Ewa Kater | Poland | 164 | 260 | 0.63 |
| 15 Ana Alonso - Beatriz Lazzeretti | Argentina | 156 | 260 | 0.60 |
| 16 Rhona Goldenfield - Michelle Brunner | England | 103 | 180 | 0.57 |
| 17 Migry Zur-Campanila - Ruth Levit-Porat | Israel | 213 | 380 | 0.56 |
| 18 Catherine Fishpool - Elisabeth Hugon | France | 143 | 260 | 0.55 |
| 19 Peggy Sutherlin - Petra Hamman | USA | 97 | 180 | 0.54 |
| 20 Nevena Senior - Sandra Penfold | England | 129 | 240 | 0.54 |
| 21 Heather Dhondy - Nicola Smith | England | 156 | 300 | 0.52 |
| 22 Joan Jackson - Robin Klar | USA | 124 | 240 | 0.52 |
| 23 Paula Leslie - Suzanne Cohen | Scotland | 142 | 280 | 0.51 |
| 24 Kyoko Shimamura - Shoko Fukuda | Japan | 140 | 280 | 0.50 |
| 25 Gabriella Olivieri - Gianna Arrigoni | Italy | 154 | 340 | 0.45 |
| 26 Rhoda Habert - Beverly Kraft | Canada | 104 | 240 | 0.43 |
| 27 Benedicte Cronier - Sylvie Willard | France | 112 | 260 | 0.43 |
| 28 Wenfei Wang - Yu Zhang | China | 103 | 240 | 0.43 |
| 29 Jet Pasman - Anneke Simons | Netherlands | 101 | 240 | 0.42 |
| 30 Siv Thoresen - Solvi Remen | Norway | 101 | 240 | 0.42 |
| 31 Ulla-Brit Goldberg - Madeleine Swanstrom | Sweden | 117 | 280 | 0.42 |
| 32 Liz McGowan - Fiona McQuaker | Scotland | 110 | 300 | 0.37 |
| 33 Eva Bahnikova - Milena Lancova | Czech Republic | 82 | 240 | 0.34 |
| 34 Lusje Bojoh - Elita Syofian | Indonesia | 128 | 380 | 0.34 |
| 35 Barbara Stawowy - Katrin Farwig | Germany | 79 | 240 | 0.33 |
| 36 Karen Cumpstone - Jilian Hay | New Zealand | 90 | 280 | 0.32 |
| 37 Catherine Thorpe - Dianna Gordon | Canada | 83 | 260 | 0.32 |
| 38 A. Kaliakmani - L. Mamidaki | Greece | 94 | 300 | 0.31 |
| 39 Nancy Gerson - Miriam Rosenberg | Mexico | 59 | 200 | 0.30 |
| 40 Hanita Melech - Nurit Naveh | Israel | 52 | 180 | 0.29 |
| 4I Violet Liu - Yvonne Wu | Chinese Taipei | 79 | 280 | 0.28 |
| 42 Lorna Ichilcik - Judy Osie | South Africa | 62 | 220 | 0.28 |
| 43 Ana Palou - Carmen Viola | Spain | 70 | 260 | 0.27 |
| 44 Dietlinde Kellner - Alice Redermeier | Austria | 36 | 140 | 0.26 |
| 45 Pirkko Savolainen - Birgit Barlund | Finland | 69 | 280 | 0.25 |
| 46 Ivy Smith - Elisabeth Rabicew | Venezuela | 48 | 240 | 0.20 |
| 47 Cindy Chang - Gloria Meng | Chinese Taipei | 39 | 200 | 0.20 |
| 48 Marilina Vanuzzi - Antonella Ini | Italy | 47 | 280 | 0.17 |
| 49 Marta Barbosa de Oliviera - Lucia Meneze | Brazil | 39 | 240 | 0.16 |
| 50 Miho Sekizawa - Ayakao Amano | Japan | 32 | 240 | 0.13 |
| 51 Leila Kabbaj - Cristina Raffali | Morocco | 29 | 220 | 0.13 |
| 52 Ase Langeland - Eva Lund Heitmann | Norway | 30 | 240 | 0.13 |
| 53 Hilde Bernhardt - Silvia Boldt | Argentina | 32 | 280 | 0.11 |
| 54 Pia Andersson - Catharina Midskog | Sweden | 28 | 280 | 0.10 |
| 55 Margaret Homsy - Samia Shatila | Egypt | 19 | 200 | 0.10 |
| 56 Martine Verbeek - Wietske van Zwol | Netherlands | 22 | 240 | 0.09 |
| 57 Raija Koistinen - Sari Kulmala | Finland | 23 | 260 | 0.09 |
| 58 Iva Juirisis - Renata Muller | Croatia | 21 | 260 | 0.08 |
| 59 N. Kiriakidou - S. Lambrinou | Greece | 15 | 200 | 0.08 |
| 60 Elena Maitova - Oxana Kulitchkova | Russia | 11 | 200 | 0.06 |
| 61 Diana Smart - Felicity Beale | Australia | 7 | 280 | 0.03 |
| 62 Chafika Taktak - Marie-Claire Ohana | Morocco | 4 | 280 | 0.01 |
| 63 Merle Modlin - Petra Mansell | South Africa | -1 | 240 | 0.00 |
| 64 M. Carmen Babot-Cristina Bordallo | Spain | -2 | 260 | -0.01 |
| 65 Pat McMahon - Pat Ryan | Ireland | -10 | 260 | -0.04 |
| 66 Daniela Birman - Ruth Lieberman | Israel | -14 | 200 | -0.07 |
| 67 Maureen Holroyd - Val Bloom | South Africa | -15 | 200 | -0.08 |
| 68 Maria Gronkvist - Catharina Forsberg | Sweden | -23 | 200 | -0.12 |
| 69 Marina Pilipovic - Tihana Brkljacic | Croatia | -37 | 300 | -0.12 |
| 70 Sue Lusk - Therese Tully | Australia | -38 | 300 | -0.13 |

Playing schedule OPEN


Playing schedule WOMEN


## Appeal No. 7

## Hungary v Finland

## Appeals Committee:

Bobby Wolff (Chairman, USA), David Stevenson (Scribe, England), Ernesto d'Orsi (Brazil), Joan Gerard (USA), Jeffrey Polisner (USA).

## Open Teams - Round 8

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.


## Comments:

${ }^{(1)}$ 4+ spades
(2) $5+$ hearts, $10+$ points
${ }^{(3)} 5+$ spades, $4+$ clubs, $17+$ points
${ }^{(4)}$ Fourth suit forcing, extra strength (West said "or natural")
${ }^{(5)} 2+$ hearts
${ }^{(6)}$ Poor hand, diamond stop
${ }^{(7)}$ Asking hearts or clubs
${ }^{(8)} 3+$ clubs

## Contract:

Six clubs, played by East.

## Result:

12 tricks, N/S -1370.

## TD's statement of Facts:

All the players at the table agreed that there had been a one minute pause before both the 3 NT bid and the $5 \%$ bid. East explained that his partner was a pensive player.

## The Director:

The hand was discussed by several Directors, and expert players were consulted, and unanimously offered that:
I. 5\% was stronger than $4 \bigcirc$ and could not be passed with this hand,
2. passing was not a logical alternative to them and,
3. the slowness of the $5 \%$ bid was as likely to be not knowing what to show and therefore not forward going, as showing extra or useful values.

## Ruling:

Result Stands.

## Relevant Laws:

Law 16.

## North/South appealed.

## Present:

All players.

## The Players:

Hungary said (referring to the Director's I, 2, 3 above):
I. Why is 5 is stronger than 4 ? ? Why not $4 \diamond$ ?
2. This is not true because partner may have a worse heart suit.
3. The only meaning of the long think would be that he had extra values.
3* showed extra values, 4\% showed more extra values and the exact distribution and strength, thus the other hand should make the final decision.

At the Committee, East/West said they bid $6 \boldsymbol{2}$ because they found a fit: North/South said he had already found a fit previously: East/West said that $6 \vee$ (even $7 \vee$ ) might be better without the fit.

## The Committee:

Pass is not a logical alternative to $6 \%$. Pass is not suggested by tempo breaks. It was felt that East would be closer to bidding 7\% than passing $5 \%$.

## The Committee's decision:

Director's ruling upheld.

## Deposit:

Returned.

## Limerick Update (in Dutch!)

Limericks are beginning to be sent by email. Thanks to all of you who are so doing, Unfortunately, I do not have the time to reply to each individually. I have even received a limerick in Dutch from Mr Matthiasen Ans Hautvast. It is published below, however, I take no responsibilty as to its suitability but I have been assured by various native Dutch speakers that it does not overstep the bounds of decency.

> Een jonge dame in Maastricht Lette nooit op haar gewicht Ze hield veel van kaarten
> Maar nog meer van taarten
> Ze groeide uiteindelyk dicht

Remember, for your fifteen minutes of fame, send your efforts to the Bulletin room or email to 'twaylfm@hotmail.com'.

## Our sponsors



## Maastricht, the art of fine living

The province of Limburg, the municipality of Maastricht and the VVV Maastricht (Tourist Office) are really proud to welcome the 1500 bridge players and visitors of the Bridge Olympiad from more than 70 countries. These three organizations support the Bridge Olympiad in many ways, in particular where it comes to hospitality.

Hospitality is one of the things that make Maastricht so popular. Together with its history and culture and excellent cafés and restaurants, where you can enjoy good food and drinks, Maastricht really proves the claim 'Maastricht, the art of fine living'.

VVV Maastricht informs tourists about this beautiful city and everything that you can do to make your stay in the Netherlands unforgettable.

VVV Maastricht is situated at Kleine Staat I, Maastricht. Telephone: (043) 325 2I2I, e-mail: info@vvvmaastricht.nl, Internet: www.vvvmaastricht.nl


The Annual General Meeting and Awards Ceremony takes place on Wednesday, 6th September at 10 a.m. in room 2.1 on the top floor of MECC (the WBF Meeting Room). The AGM will be followed by a lunch hosted by the Dutch Bridge Federation, to be held in the Golden Tulip Hotel (next door to MECC, the Garden Restaurant of Barbizon Room) at I p.m. All IBPA members are cordially invited and must register in the Press Room if they wish to attend.
The next Meeting of the IBPA Executive will take place at 10 a.m. on Monday, 4th Septem-

## VUGRAPH

## Auditorium I

(Dutch commentary)
The Netherlands v Iceland
(OPEN)
Belgium v England
(OPEN)
To be decided

To be decided

## Time

11.00

Boards I-I6
14.00

Boards 17-32
17.00

Boards 33-48

Auditorium II
(English commentary)
Indonesia v Brazil (OPEN)

Poland v France
(OPEN)
To be decided

To be decided
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## Ed's Column door Ed Hoogenkamp

## Twintig jaar later

Precies twintig jaar geleden speelde Anton Maas zijn eerste Olympiade slechts enkele kilometers van hier. Nederland organiseerde in 1980 de Olympiade in Valkenburg. Met partner René Zwaan debuteerde Maas in het Nederlands team. Zij golden als exponenten van de aanstormende jeugd die met fantasierijk en agressief bridge de gevestigde orde belaagde.

Torenhoge slems (vrijwel altijd gemaakt, konden vrijwel allemaal down) waren hun handelsmerk en zij scoorden erg veel punten voor Nederland.

Nu , twintig jaar later, staat Maas bekend als een bedachtzame en rustige speler die weinig fouten maakt. Al jaren staat hij als hoogste Nederlandse speler genoteerd op de wereldranglijst. Spelend met Vincent Ramondt liet hij in de voorronden zeer gedegen bridge zien.

In de wedstrijd tegen Griekenland een fraai staaltje tegenspel van Maas: (het spel is een kwart slag gedraaid voor het gemak van de lezer)

## N/Allen

| N/Allen |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A 9 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{H}^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ HBIO5 3 |  |  |
|  | * HV8 5 |  |
| - 83 | N | -10765 |
| $\bigcirc$ AVB 87 |  | $\bigcirc 9$ |
| $\checkmark$ V842 | w O | $\checkmark$ A 9 |
| \& 72 | Z | 2 A 109643 |

. HVB4 2
ค106543
$\diamond 76$
\& B

| West <br> Ramondt | Noord | Oost | Zuid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ISA | Maas | pas |
| doublet | pas | pas | $2 \curlyvee$ |
|  | pas |  |  |

Zuid, op jacht naar een grote plusscore, gokte een pas op $2 \triangleleft$ (oorspronkelijk bedoeld als transferbieding). Ramondt kwam uit met $\mathbf{7}$ en Mas won de slag met Na enige minuten in de denkkamer te hebben verkeerd kwam hij met de winnende verdediging op de proppen. Hij incasseerde $\diamond$ A! Bepaald niet makkelijk te zien met deze dummy. Maas beredeneerde dat zuid vrijwel zeker tien kaarten in de hoge kleuren moest hebben en dat de ruitenverliezers weg konden op en K . Makkelijk wellicht met open kaarten, maar je moet het maar doen aan tafel en op het gegeven moment de enige manier om het contract nog down te spelen. Het eindigde inderdaad in $2 \triangleleft-1$.

## Poor Part-score

During the Round 16 match in the Women's series between Turkey and the Netherlands, the Turkish ladies reached a contract of 34 on board seven. Wietske van Zwol wrote down on her pad, 'The first part-score!'

After seeing dummy, her screen-mate Eren Ozan wrote, 'but the worst', as she went on to record eleven tricks.

## Cultural market in Maastricht

This weekend the Parcours will be held. This yearly cultural market takes place in the city of Maastricht. Just follow the red carpet and you can enjoy performances of artists and watch the stands of several cultural organizations. Maastricht will be a big theatre full of musicians, dancers, actors and other artists.

## To the opera

Tonight and on 8 and 9 September, Giuseppe Verdi's opera Aida will be played in the MECC. The opera starts at 20.00 daily (Sunday 16.00). Entrance: Dfl. 60130. Reservation at the Uitbalie Maastricht: (043) 3505555.

