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Today's Programme
Open \& Women's Teams: RR 16 \& 17 (start 10:30) Seniors \& Mixed Teams: RR 21 - 23 (start 10:00)


The 15th World Bridge Games are not yet halfway through in Wroclaw, but avid bridge players might want to start making plans for the next World Bridge Federation championship - the World Bridge Teams Championship in Lyon, France, next year.
 Patrick Bogack The tournament is scheduled for Aug. 12 -26 in France's third-largest city, one that is well known as the gastronomical centre of the country.
At the same tournament, the WBF will conduct the World Open Youth Championships - Aug. 15-24 - and a two-day tournament for players 13 and younger.
There will, of course, be the usual events: Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup, d'Orsi Seniors Trophy and the World Transnational Open Teams, plus side games.
At Thursday's WBF Congress, French Bridge Federation Vice President Patrick Bogacki made a presentation to invite players to enjoy the many amenities of Lyon, from the fine dining - there are more than I,000 restaurants - to opportunities to visit the vineyards of Beaujolais, Cotes du Rhone and Bourgogne, not to mention the historical city of Avignon, just an hour away by train.
Bogacki noted that there are many hotels close to the Convention Center, where the play takes place. The organizers have negotiated discounted rates for players at local hotels. Public transportation in Lyon is efficient and affordable.
Players from North and South America can fly into ParisRoissy Airport and take a relatively short train ride to Lyon.
Said Bogacki,"We hope to see you in Lyon next year to share a good time."
More information is available at www.worldbridge.org.
Check out tourism opportunities at
http://www.en.lyon-france.com/

## Schedule

## Senior Teams

## Mixed Teams

| RR 21 - 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | CHINA | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | HUNGARY | NEW ZEALAND |
| 52 | GERMANY | ISRAEL | 72 | SWEDEN | UAE |
| 53 | EGYPT | TURKEY | 73 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |
| 54 | FRANCE | DENMARK | 74 | USA | JAPAN |
| 55 | CANADA | NORWAY | 75 | ENGLAND | CHINA |
| 56 | POLAND | SPAIN | 76 | DENMARK | GERMANY |
| 57 | CHINA HONG KONG | BRAZIL | 77 | BRAZIL | IRELAND |
| 58 | AUSTRALIA | USA | 78 | INDIA | BULGARIA |
| 59 | INDIA | ENGLAND | 79 | ITALY | AUSTRALIA |
| 60 | MEXICO | JAPAN | 80 | FRANCE | TURKEY |
| 61 | CHINESE TAIPEI | SWEDEN | 81 | ISRAEL | RUSSIA |
| 62 | ITALY | PAKISTAN | 82 | CHINESE TAIPEI | BYE |
| RR 22-12:15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | NEW ZEALAND | SWEDEN | 71 | NEW ZEALAND | TURKEY |
| 52 | JAPAN | PAKISTAN | 72 | AUSTRALIA | RUSSIA |
| 53 | ENGLAND | ITALY | 73 | BULGARIA | ISRAEL |
| 54 | USA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 74 | IRELAND | FRANCE |
| 55 | BRAZIL | MEXICO | 75 | GERMANY | ITALY |
| 56 | SPAIN | INDIA | 76 | CHINA | INDIA |
| 57 | NORWAY | AUSTRALIA | 77 | CHINESE TAIPEI | BRAZIL |
| 58 | DENMARK | CHINA HONG KONG | 78 | JAPAN | DENMARK |
| 59 | TURKEY | POLAND | 79 | POLAND | ENGLAND |
| 60 | ISRAEL | CANADA | 80 | HUNGARY | USA |
| 61 | CHINA | FRANCE | 81 | SWEDEN | NETHERLANDS |
| 62 | GERMANY | EGYPT | 82 | UAE | BYE |
| RR 23 - 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | CANADA | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | ENGLAND | USA |
| 52 | POLAND | FRANCE | 72 | DENMARK | NETHERLANDS |
| 53 | CHINA HONG KONG | EGYPT | 73 | BRAZIL | SWEDEN |
| 54 | AUSTRALIA | GERMANY | 74 | INDIA | HUNGARY |
| 55 | INDIA | CHINA | 75 | ITALY | UAE |
| 56 | MEXICO | ISRAEL | 76 | FRANCE | POLAND |
| 57 | CHINESE TAIPEI | TURKEY | 77 | ISRAEL | JAPAN |
| 58 | ITALY | DENMARK | 78 | RUSSIA | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 59 | PAKISTAN | NORWAY | 79 | TURKEY | CHINA |
| 60 | SWEDEN | SPAIN | 80 | AUSTRALIA | GERMANY |
| 61 | JAPAN | BRAZIL | 81 | BULGARIA | IRELAND |
| 62 | ENGLAND | USA | 82 | NEW ZEALAND | BYE |

## No smoking or drinking

 (2) policyPlease be reminded (1) that smoking and drinking is prohibited at any time and in any place during sessions.

## No cell phones allowed

No cell phones will be allowed in the playing area, but players can leave them at the registration desk.


## Rankings

## Seniors Teams

## After Round 20

| TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 USA | 293.50 |
| 2 CHINA | 256.68 |
| 3 SWEDEN | 241.79 |
| 4 DENMARK | 238.82 |
| 5 FRANCE | 231.88 |
| 6 POLAND | 231.68 |
| 7 TURKEY | 229.45 |
| 8 AUSTRALIA | 220.60 |
| 9 INDIA | 219.65 |
| 10 ISRAEL | 219.13 |
| II CHINESE TAIPEI | 218.94 |
| 12 ITALY | 214.17 |
| 13 EGYPT | 209.30 |
| 14 CANADA | 200.39 |
| 15 CHINA HONG KONG | 200.25 |
| 16 NORWAY | 191.40 |
| 17 BRAZIL | 189.17 |
| 18 GERMANY | 185.11 |
| 19 ENGLAND | 168.54 |
| 20 PAKISTAN | 138.19 |
| 21 NEW ZEALAND | 136.75 |
| 22 SPAIN | 128.09 |
| 23 JAPAN | 124.96 |
| 24 MEXICO | 100.56 |

## Mixed Teams

## After Round 20

| TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: |
| I NETHERLANDS | 267.01 |
| 2 FRANCE | 261.13 |
| 3 RUSSIA | 251.73 |
| 4 DENMARK | 244.12 |
| 5 BULGARIA | 238.94 |
| 6 AUSTRALIA | 230.08 |
| 7 GERMANY | 226.82 |
| 8 POLAND | 220.67 |
| 9 ITALY | 219.17 |
| 10 JAPAN | 211.08 |
| II CHINA | 211.06 |
| 12 ISRAEL | 205.14 |
| 13 TURKEY | 205.10 |
| 14 USA | 201.77 |
| 15 NEW ZEALAND | 193.44 |
| 16 IRELAND | 174.01 |
| 17 ENGLAND | 176.39 |
| 18 INDIA | 173.23 |
| 19 HUNGARY | 172.17 |
| 20 SWEDEN | 156.25 |
| 21 BRAZIL | 151.90 |
| 22 CHINESE TAIPEI | 138.55 |
| 23 UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 107.24 |



| RR 16-10:30 |  |  | $R R 17-14: 00$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Teams Group A |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ESTONIA | SINGAPORE | I SINGAPORE | JORDAN |
| 2 | SWITZERLAND | JORDAN | 2 ESTONIA | AUSTRALIA |
| 3 | AUSTRALIA | GERMANY | 3 GERMANY | RUSSIA |
| 4 | RUSSIA | ITALY | 4 ITALY | FINLAND |
| 5 | FINLAND | BRAZIL | 5 BRAZIL | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 6 | SOUTH AFRICA | INDIA | 6 INDIA | PHILIPPINES |
| 7 | PHILIPPINES | GREECE | 7 GREECE | UKRAINE |
| 8 | UKRAINE | CHINESE TAIPEI | 8 CHINESE TAIPEI | ISRAEL |
| 9 | ISRAEL | FRANCE | 9 FRANCE | SWITZERLAND |
| Open Teams Group B |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | BOSNIA HERZ. | PAKISTAN | II PAKISTAN | KUWAIT |
| 12 | TURKEY | KUWAIT | 12 BOSNIA HERZ. | ICELAND |
| 13 | ICELAND | MONACO | 13 MONACO | JAPAN |
| 14 | JAPAN | POLAND | 14 POLAND | BELGIUM |
| 15 | BELGIUM | LEBANON | 15 LEBANON | TUNISIA |
| 16 | TUNISIA | MEXICO | 16 MEXICO | CHINA HK |
| 17 | CHINA HK | ARGENTINA | 17 ARGENTINA | LATVIA |
| 18 | LATVIA | NORWAY | 18 NORWAY | ENGLAND |
|  | ENGLAND | USA | 19 USA | TURKEY |

## Open Teams Group C

| 21 | NEW ZEALAND | BANGLADESH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | LITHUANIA | GUADELOUPE |
| 23 | UAE | NETHERLANDS |
| 24 | EGYPT | CHINA |
| 25 | IRELAND | HUNGARY |
| 26 | AUSTRIA | CANADA |
| 27 | SCOTLAND | DENMARK |
| 28 | SPAIN | SWEDEN |
| 29 | SAN MARINO | BYE |


| 21 | BANGLADESH | GUADELOUPE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | NEW ZEALAND | UAE |
| 23 | NETHERLANDS | EGYPT |
| 24 | CHINA | IRELAND |
| 25 | HUNGARY | AUSTRIA |
| 26 | SAN MARINO | SCOTLAND |
| 27 | DENMARK | SPAIN |
| 28 | SWEDEN | LITHUANIA |
| 29 | CANADA | BYE |

## Women's Teams Group A

| 31 | PAKISTAN | KOREA | 31 | KOREA | JAPAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | FINLAND | JAPAN | 32 | PAKISTAN | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 33 | SOUTH AFRICA | CHINA | 33 | CHINA | TURKEY |
| 34 | TURKEY | NETHERLANDS | 34 | NETHERLANDS | SAN MARINO |
| 35 | SAN MARINO | NORWAY | 35 | NORWAY | EGYPT |
| 36 | EGYPT | JORDAN | 36 | JORDAN | AUSTRALIA |
| 37 | AUSTRALIA | BRAZIL | 37 | BRAZIL | MEXICO |
| 38 | MEXICO | SPAIN | 38 | SPAIN | SWEDEN |
| 39 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND | 39 | ENGLAND | FINLAND |

## Women's Teams Group B

| 41 | ICELAND | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | CANADA | CHINA HK |
| 43 | GERMANY | POLAND |
| 44 | IRELAND | DENMARK |
| 45 | TUNISIA | PALESTINE |
| 46 | NEW ZEALAND | INDIA |
| 47 | CHILE | SCOTLAND |
| 48 | ITALY | USA |
| 49 | FRANCE | BYE |


| 41 | CHINESE TAIPEI | CHINA HK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | FRANCE | GERMANY |
| 43 | POLAND | IRELAND |
| 44 | DENMARK | TUNISIA |
| 45 | PALESTINE | NEW ZEALAND |
| 46 | INDIA | CHILE |
| 47 | SCOTLAND | ITALY |
| 48 | USA | CANADA |
| 49 | ICELAND | BYE |

## Farewell party

For Patrick Jourdain, Daily Telegraph, missed by I million readers

On Saturday (the 10th) we will gather for a party in the spirit of Patrick at 7 p.m. in the Pergola (i.e. the venue) restaurant. It will be an informal buffet dinner (details available on demand) where we eat, drink and share happy memories and stories.
You are strongly advised to register attendance to be granted a seat, either in the Press Room or at the bookstall, no later than Friday noon.
The cost price for the party (incl. fountain show) is 150 PLN. Everybody welcome.
International Bridge Press Association (IBPA).


## The International Bridge Press Association (IBPA)

The Annual General Meeting on Saturday (the IOth) will start at 09:00 a.m. (precisely) in the Vugraph Theatre (where the Opening Ceremony was).


Championship cards


The championship cards that you play here are for sale for $€ 0.68$ (bulk rate) in the book stall so long as supply lasts.

| Open Teams |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open A atter R 15 |  |  |
|  | TEAM | VP |
| 1 | FRANCE | 225.30 |
| 2 | ITALY | 212.02 |
| 3 | ISRAEL | 198.41 |
| 4 | RUSSIA | 190.04 |
| 5 | SWITZERLAND | 174.27 |
| 6 | INDIA | 171.63 |
| 7 | GERMANY | 166.49 |
| 8 | AUSTRALIA | 162.92 |
| 9 | GREECE | 154.41 |
| 10 | UKRAINE | 139.41 |
| 11 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 130.53 |
| 12 | SINGAPORE | 127.89 |
| 13 | ESTONIA | 118.00 |
| 14 | FINLAND | 111.24 |
| 15 | SOUTH AFRICA | 110.66 |
| 16 | IORDAN | 105.96 |
| 17 | BRAZIL | 104.57 |
| 18 | PHILIPPINES | 86.79 |

## Open B after R I5

|  |  | VP |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| I | TEAM | 218.67 |
| 2 | EOLAND | 209.57 |
| 3 | IAPANAND | 201.99 |
| 4 | USA | 188.66 |
| 5 | MONACO | 182.13 |
| 6 | ICELAND | 170.65 |
| 7 | TURKEY | 170.10 |
| 8 | NORWAY | 169.61 |
| 9 | ARGENTINA | 156.77 |
| 10 | LATVIA | 138.33 |
| 11 | TUNISIA | 137.69 |
| 12 | BELGIUM | 133.62 |
| 13 | PAKISTAN | 127.19 |
| 14 | BOSNIA HERZEGOVINA | 121.69 |
| 15 | LEBANON | 109.75 |
| 16 | MEXICO | 101.96 |
| 17 | CHINA HONG KONG | 82.38 |
| 18 | KUWAIT | 79.24 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Open C after R I5

|  | TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| I | AUSTRIA | 210.06 |
| 2 | NETHERLANDS | 209.22 |
| 3 | SWEDEN | 198.05 |
| 4 | SPAIN | 187.56 |
| 5 | CANADA | 182.26 |
| 6 | IRELAND | 173.77 |
| 7 | DENMARK | 173.50 |
| 8 | NEW ZEALAND | 173.33 |
| 9 | HUNGARY | 160.76 |
| 10 | LITHUANIA | 156.40 |
| 11 | CHINA | 148.99 |
| 12 | EGYPT | 144.05 |
| 13 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 123.14 |
| 14 | SCOTLAND | 111.01 |
| 15 | GUADELOUPE | 107.89 |
| 16 | BANGLADESH | 69.45 |
| 17 | SAN MARINO | 47.06 |



## Women's B after R I5

| TEAM |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| I | FRANCE | VP |
| 2 | GERMANY | 216.1 I |
| 3 | USA | 210.02 |
| 4 | ITALY | 210.01 |
| 5 | POLAND | 200.67 |
| 6 | SCOTLAND | 188.65 |
| 7 | NEW ZEALAND | 173.90 |
| 8 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 170.27 |
| 9 | DENMARK | 164.97 |
| 10 | CHILE | 156.21 |
| 11 | CHINA HONG KONG | 147.57 |
| 12 | CANADA | 140.12 |
| 13 | IRELAND | 134.71 |
| 14 | ICELAND | 124.68 |
| 15 | INDIA | 109.58 |
| 16 | PALESTINE | 88.93 |
| I7 | TUNISIA | 77.64 |

## Badges !!

Players, please note that without a badge you will not be allowed into the playing area. If you lose your badge, replacing it will cost you 5 Euros.

## BBO and OURGAME SCHEDULE

BBO I = VuGraph, BBO 8 is also OURGAME

|  | $\mathbf{1 0 : 3 0}$ | BBO I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OB | England v USA | BBO 2 |
| OA | Russia v Italia | BBO 3 |
| OC | Spain v Sweden | BBO 4 |
| OB | Japan v Poland | BBO 5 |
| OB | Icelad vMonaco | BBO 6 |
| WB | Chile v Scotland | BBO 7 |
| WA | Australia v Brazil | BBO 8 |
| OC | Egypt v China | $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0}$ |
|  | to be decided |  |

## BBOA.

GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL PICTURE SCHEDULE MIXED

Australia II.55
WOMEN

| Australia | 13.35 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Brazil | 13.40 |
| Canada | 16.30 |
| Chile | 16.35 |
| China | 16.40 |
| Tunisia | 10.10 |
| Turkey | 10.15 |
| Jordan | 10.20 |
| OPEN |  |
| Guadaloupe | 13.35 |
| Lithuania | 13.35 |
| New Zealand | 12.50 |

The meeting point is outside the front door.
Thank you very much for your cooperation!

## REVISED SCHEDULE OF PLAY

Note the change of starting time for both Teams and Pairs on Sunday IIth September, caused by an international marathon through Wroclaw when the town will be closed. Play will start at $\mathbf{I} 7.30$ on that day, not in the morning as originally published.

## Playing Area from

 Saturday onPairs will play in the Hala Stulecia,
Teams in the building opposite

## Don't look back

The late, great Satchel Paige, famous baseball player in the USA, used to say, "Don't look back - something might be gaining on you."
For five teams currently in qualifying position in the Open and Women's series at this tournament, it's time to focus. There are five groups - three in the Open, two in the Women's - and the average margin between the teams in fifth and last qualifying spots and the teams next in line in sixth place is 11.17 victory points.
Two of the fifth-place teams Switzerland in Open Group A and England in Women's Group A - have very slim margins: 2.64 and 3.75 respectively.
That means there is little margin for error. None of the contenders can afford losing by a significant margin. In Open B, fifth-place Monaco plays sixth-place Iceland in round 16, the penultimate round. Monaco is 11.48 VPs ahead of Iseland.
On the other hand, teams still in with a chance will be pulling out all stops in hopes that a blitz will propel them into the round of 16 , which starts tomorrow.
It should make for some exciting bridge.

## Taking care of business

One of the duties of World Bridge Federation President Gianarrigo Rona is chairing the WBF Congress meetings. He did so on Thursday at the Hala Stulecia vugraph auditorium, running a low-key session that included a financial report, an invitation to next year's world championships in Lyon, France (see page I) and moments of silence for four friends of bridge who have passed away since the last congress.
Attendees at the congress stood for a few moments to honor the late Patrick Jourdain, bridge journalist and president of the International Bridge Press Association; Goran Grguric, who helped organize the recent Youth tournament in Opatija, Croatia; former WBF President Ernesto d'Orsi and Jean-Claude Beneix, former French Bridge Federation president who was a fixture at major tournaments for decades.
After WBF Treasurer Marc De Pauw described the organization's sound financial picture, Rona put to a vote five amendments to the WBF bylaws agreed to by the organization's executive council. The measures included discipline for unethical behavior. All five amendments were approved unanimously by those assembled.
Jean-Jacques Maartense, vice president of Consumer Products for Cartamundi, described a new, three-year arrangement with the WBF for supplying playing cards that includes payment to the WBF of $3 \%$ of annual sales, plus plans for producing cards with radio frequency identification (RFID) so that play can be viewed without the necessity for operators at computers.


The head table at Thursday's WBF Congress meeting: Simon Fellus, Per Jannersten, David Harris, John Wignall, Mike Joyce (sports coordination manager for the International Olympic Committee), Gianarrigo Rona, José Damiani, Marc De Pauw and Georgia Heth.

## Open Teams, Round 10

Japan v England
By Jos Jacobs
England and Japan started the 4th day of the Open Series in 2nd and 3rd position respectively in their Group B. As it happened, they managed to produce a very lively and entertaining match, at the end of which they still were in 2nd and 3rd position in their group.
Immediately on the first board, the scorers were pressed into action:

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 854

8 K 10632
$\diamond 1096$

- 1932
-9874
$\diamond 82$
- 1063
- 12

| N | - K 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | - |
| S | $\begin{array}{r} \triangle A K Q J \\ \& K O 875 \end{array}$ |
| - A Q 107 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q J 5 |  |
| $\checkmark 754$ |  |
| \& 49 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  | Pass | 1980 | Dble |
| Pass | 18 | $2 \checkmark$ | 28 |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | Dble |
| $4{ }^{2}$ | All Pass |  |  |

In view of the noises produced by South, it was far from obvious for East that any minor suit game would be on. However, with the trumps behaving and with North never able to get the lead for a spade through, II tricks were always there. Playing carefullly, East made just 10 tricks; England +130 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  | Pass | 14 | Dble |
| Pass | 18 | $3 \searrow$ | $3\rangle$ |
| 48 | 48 | 58 | All Pass |

In the other room, South made the same amount of noises but the difference was that Chen was given the chance to voluntarily support clubs whereas Robson only showed preference. This way, Furuta knew enough. Japan +400 and 7 IMPs to open their account.
On the next board, England struck back hard:

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- J 109

QQJ 76
$\checkmark$ A 42

- Q 54

$\bigcirc 842$
$\diamond$ K 97
\& AJ 10963

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  |  | 24 | 3\% |
| 4\% | 5\% | Dble | All Pass |

Well, had South's 4 been a top heart, Kaku's shot at 5 en might have hit the bull's eye but as it was, the sacrifice was ill-timed, since 4s would not have had any play. To make things worse, declarer dropped a trick or two in the play as well. He won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ opening lead and next cashed the A, getting the bad news. A heart then went to dummy's queen and East's king. When a diamond came back, declarer ran this to dummy's ace and advanced the 则, throwing his diamond loser. However, when West produced the queen, the roof fell in. Diamond ruff, heart back to the ace and a heart ruff, with the still to come, suddenly put the contract down four. England +1 100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  |  | $2 \diamond$ | 39 |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

$2 \triangleleft$ was Multi and $4 \checkmark$ was pass or correct. When 4@ ran to Frances Hinden, she took the right view by doubling. As declarer had to ruff his club losers at the cost of his top trumps, there was no way to make the contract. One down, another +100 and I5 IMPs to England.
After two flattish boards, we were treated to an incredible run of six double-figure swings in succession - a rarity at this level of play, one would assume. Of course, here they are in full, with all the (bloody?) details...
The first swing was just a matter of whether to bid a vulnerable game or not.


As East would have to ruff diamonds from his trump length, making the contract was easy enough. Declarer won the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ lead, threw two hearts on top clubs and led a diamond up. When the queen appeared, he could not lose more than two diamonds and a club. In fact, he made an overtrick for +650 to Japan.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  | 19 | Pass | 18 |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | $2 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $3 Q$ | All Pass |  |

In the other room, $I \triangleleft$ showed spades and the double was "support." South refused the invitation but had little trouble in making 10 tricks. England +170 but 10 IMPs to Japan.
The next board was slammish.
Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

|  | -108 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 863 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 42$ |  |
|  | ¢ J 10943 |  |
| ¢ A Q J 764 | N | ¢ K 3 |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJJIO} 2$ |
| $\diamond 8$ |  | $\diamond$ AKQ 1097 |
| * A Q 762 | S | ¢ 8 |
|  | ¢ 952 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 975 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J653 |  |
|  | ¢ K 5 |  |

Clubs were never mentioned and as the hand looked a misfit, E/W settled for 3NT. England +690 on the 2 j lead.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  |  | I $\diamond$ | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |

After the 4th suit, Chen showed a serious spade suit. Furuta happily cuebid $4 \%$ upon which Chen went to the slam when Furuta showed two keycards.
On the lead of the making the slam was a lot easier than it might have been on, say, a diamond lead. With the trumps 3-2 and the 8 K onside, any line would have worked but at the table, one does not know this. Chen won the Q , drew trumps and led a heart to the ten and ace. Japan +1430 and 12 more IMPs to them to lead 30-I5.
On the next board, it was England's turn to score again:
Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0962 |  |
| ¢ 4 |  | $\pm$ |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 10 |  | - $\quad \bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond 765$ |  |  | J1098 |
| \& K |  | - | 743 |
|  |  | 7532 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 61 | All Pass |  |

With South the declarer, the spade slam is quite playable as the hearts are protected. Basically, you will make it if the 2K is onside, a $50 \%$ chance. However, the little extras also matter from time to time - as was shown on this deal when Robson eventually made the right lead: the $\vee A$. When the queen appeared, the contract was one down very
quickly. England +100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
| $2 \varnothing$ | 39 | Pass | 35 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

As South was looking at 8 KJ 4 under the overcall, one can surely understand why he was not interested over partner's $4 \oslash$. When West led a diamond, declarer had all the tricks, of course. England +710 and 13 IMPs back to reduce their deficit to only 28-30.
On the next board, Forrester and Robson reached a Moysian fit:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | ¢ 973 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 4$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 762 |  |
|  | \& Q 92 |  |
| - A 542 | N | $\pm 1$ |
| $\bigcirc$ A 109 | $W^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q 763 |
| $\diamond$ A 943 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 108 |
| * 107 | S | \% AK 543 |
|  | ¢ K Q 1086 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 852 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 5 |  |
|  | 9 86 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
| $1 \$$ | Pass | $1 \diamond(\oslash)$ | 19 |
| Dble | $2 \uparrow$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

A trump lead and continuation upon getting the lead in diamonds might well have been the killing defence but when Takayama led a natural enough top spade, Forrester had a chance. He won the ace and immediately led a low diamond from dummy. North rose with the king and returned the e Q - a trump now would have been too late, already. Forrester won in hand and could simply continue on cross-ruff lines now, ruffing his last club with dummy's ace and scoring a trick from his $\odot$ Q7 when South was forced to ruff the $\diamond A$ in the end. England +420 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | 18 | 18 |
| Dble | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

When the clubs did not break, declarer could make no more than one spade, two hearts, three diamonds and two clubs. One down, England another +50 and 10 more IMPs to them to lead 38-30.

On the next board, seemingly innocuous Japanese intervention led the English astray:

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- K 765

K 92
96
\& 8753

| - 98 | N | - A 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 13$ | W E | $\bigcirc$ A 875 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 853 | W E | $\diamond$ K 72 |
| \% Q 94 | S | \& K J 2 |
|  | Q Q J 32 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1064 |  |
|  | $\diamond 104$ |  |
|  | \& A 106 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  | Pass | INT | $2 \dot{2}$ |
| $2 s$ | $3 s$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |

$5 \triangleleft \quad$ All Pass
2e showed both majors, in aggressive style from 4-4 onwards if not vulnerable, 2 was forcing with diamonds and 3 was a well-timed nuisance bid. As there could well have been slam from East's point of view, he accepted the diamond transfer rather than settling for 3NT. One down, Japan +100 .
It would have been so easy, had the opponents been polite enough to shut up over INT:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

With spades 4-4, there was no way to defeat this contract. Japan another +600 and 12 IMPs to regain the lead: 42-38.
On the last board of this impressive set, again the swing occurred because a vulnerable game was missed and again, England were the team to suffer:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 87652

○K 93
$\diamond$ K 982
$\%$

| N |  | ¢ A 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 742 |
| W | E | $\diamond$ Q 76 |
| S |  | 9 Q 876 |

- Q J 104
- A 8
$\diamond$ A 103
\& A 942

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  |  | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 a}$ |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

Maybe not too scientific an auction, but certainly a successful one. Once again, upgrading a 5-4-3-I distribution a bit proved the right thing to do. Japan an easy +620

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  |  | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

To my surprise, South did not make any forward-going move over partner's transfer so in $2 \Phi$ it rested. England a meagre +170 and another 10 IMPs to Japan: 52-38 now.
Two small swings, one in either direction and then the decisive board:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | - 10952 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QQ 109732 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 73 |  |  |
|  | \& - |  |  |
| ¢ J 7 | N |  | K Q 864 |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 5 |  | - $\bigcirc$ |  |
| $\diamond J 986$ |  | E |  |
| \% AJ 105 |  | $S$ \% | 872 |
|  | Q 3 |  |  |
|  | ®J64 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 105 |  |  |
|  | \& K Q 643 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Robson | Kaku | Forrester | Takayama |
|  | Pass | $2{ }^{2}$ | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

After the natural weak two, South had an easy lead of a top club, which led to a quick three down: two ruffs, a club and two diamonds. Japan +300 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D. Chen | Hinden | Furuta | Osborne |
|  | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

After the Multi, 3NT showed the solid suit, so the 4s contract was played from the other side of the table. Now, the spotlights turned on North. She would have had to find the diamond lead even to set the contract two. When she tried a natural enough heart, declarer had his ten tricks. Japan another +620 for 14 more IMPs.
The final score thus became 72-42 or $16.73-3.27 \mathrm{VP}$. to Japan. As I said at the beginning: still 2nd and 3rd in their group - but now in reverse order.

## The OurGame World Wide Bridge Contest

One of the pairs winning a place in the Final of the 2016 World Wide Bridge Contest is competing here in Wroclaw - Wissem Bellazreg and Anas Mestiri who played at the Sheraton Bridge Club,Tunisia, coming second in the overall ranking on 6th June 2016 and qualifying as the highest ranking pair in Zone 8.
You have already seen them in action here in Wroclaw (Bulletin 2 Pages I5/I6).
On this deal from their heat at the Sheraton Bridge Club in Tunisia they scored $99.78 \%$ across the world:

Board 19. Dealer South. EWVul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta A J 863 \\ & \& A \\ & \diamond K 8 \\ & \& A K 752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } \\ & \& \text { QJ } 109842 \\ & \diamond 743 \\ & \& Q \end{aligned}$ | 2+10752 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
|  |  | $\diamond$ QJ 2 |
|  | S | -10984 |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7653$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 10965 |  |
|  | - J 63 |  |

Not only did they bid 64, but they somehow managed to take all the tricks!
We wish them the best of good fortune as they go to play in the finals in Beijing and hope they will enjoy the Tournament.
Details of the other qualifying pairs can be found at : http://www.worldbridge.org/20 I6-wwbc-winners.aspx and the full results from the six heats are at :
http://www.ecatsbridge.com/sims/results.asp?eventid=003458
The dates for next year's World Wide Contest will be published on the WBF Website in due course.

## Dealing machines and cards

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are sold for 2280EUR. You are strongly advised to order as soon as possible, because they will probably be sold out very quickly. Contact Jannerstens at the bridge stall in the Reception area, or drop a line to per@jannersten.com.
The (new) Wroclaw cards that you are playing with will be sold after usage for l63EUR per 240 decks. Other quantities on request in the book stall.

$\checkmark$ OPEN TEAMS - OPEN PAIRS - WOMEN PAIRS $\checkmark$ special hotel rates for bridge players $\checkmark$ SPECIAL ENTRY FEES FOR JUNIOR TEAMS \& PAIRS
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## Open Teams Group A RR-II



## Germany v Italy



By Ram Soffer
Germany and Italy met in the IIth round of Open Group A.The Italians were comfortably in 2nd place, apparently on course to qualify, while Germany were lying 7th so they needed a good result to boost their hopes of reaching the top five.
However, as early as the first deal, a dubious lead caused them a disaster.


According to Auken-Welland's system, le may be clubs or a balanced hand, including the possibility of a 5 -card major. The 2NT response was two-way: a balanced game force or a club preempt. That explains North's 3 e rebid, catering for the second possibility. After searching for a major-suit fit at the three level, they landed in 3NT, missing the makeable 49.
As South was the declarer, it was Versace on lead and he had no problem selecting a diamond after, which the defence had an easy route to six tricks. Germany - 100.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromöller | D'Avossa | Fritsche | Di Bello |
|  | $1 Q$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \otimes$ | Pass | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

All Pass
The Italian N/S pair also employed a non-standard system.

Their ls opening showed 8-I5 HCP when non-vulnerable. $2 \triangleleft$ was a transfer to hearts, invitational or better. 2 was probably a relay bid. After finding out that there was no eight-card major suit fit, South concluded the bidding with 3NT.
Luckily for him, his partner became the declarer and East had essentially to pick between his three-card unbid minors. It is standard practice in such cases to lead the strongest unbid suit, but for some reason Fritsche selected a club. He was soon to regret this decision, as it helped declarer develop no fewer than three club tricks. By the time the defenders touched diamonds, D'Avossa already had nine top tricks for +400 and a II-0 start.
This lead grew due to an extra undertrick on board 3 and through an unfortunate bidding decision on board 4.
Michael Gromöller was the dealer with both sides vulnerable, his cards were:

```
4 AK62
\otimes94
\diamond 106
* AKJ 8 3
```

He opened is and rebid is after the natural 18 response. His partner rebid INT.This usually showed 6-10 HCP, so Gromöller failed to make another move with his nice 15 -count. Of course partner had the maximum, and 3NT was duly bid and made at the other table for a 9-IMP swing, making it 22-0. Germany got onto the scoreboard two boards later.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.


The Versace-Lauria system does not include inverted minor raises, as they use the $2 \triangleleft$ response to show a weak
hand with a 6-card major (or invitational with 5-5 majors). Instead they use a 24 response as game-force and $3 \%$ was invitational, in both cases with a diamond fit. Lauria had enough to accept the invitation with an unbalanced hand, so he bid $3 \bigcirc$, and now Versace was stuck, unable to bid 3NT without a spade stopper. He chose $4 \%$ instead, but the bidding had already gotten beyond 3NT, demonstrating a shortcoming of their system. In addition, Sabine Auken doubled $4 \boldsymbol{\&}$, ensuring that partner would not make a bad major-suit lead. There was no way to avert the loss of two spades and one heart for - 100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromöller | D'Avossa | Fritsche | Di Bello |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The German East-West system uses $\mathrm{I} \diamond-2 \diamond$ as game force (in diamonds) while reserving 2 for invitational hands with fit (8-II HCP). Apparently 2NT was invitational, and West (being on the top half of his range) raised to game. South led $\$ 2$, and when the diamond finesse succeeded, declarer had few problems getting to ten tricks. Germany +630, cutting Italy's lead to 22-I2.
At that stage the match seemed to be in the balance, even though Gromöller was playing a dangerous game on Board 8 with this hand:

```
Q Q 2
QQ642
A IO 
& AQ95
```

With None Vulnerable he dealt and opened a II-I4 INT. His LHO bid 24 , and the next two players passed. Now he selected a reopening double with doubleton queen in the opponent's suit - a risky venture at IMPs. He ended up


Alfredo Versace, Italy


## Sabine Auken, Germany

playing 3e on a 4-3 fit, which could go as much as four down. Luckily for him, nobody doubled and the Italians missed a trump promotion (after a perfect start of the defense), so Germany escaped for -I50 compared to -IIO at the other room where Italy let their opponents play in 24.
After two relatively quiet boards, the following deal resulted in an unlikely push.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.


Versace-Lauria employed pressure bidding (even though West had legitimate hopes to make $5 \diamond$ ). Sabine Auken may be forgiven for competing at the 6-level, as she had not yet shown her seven-card suit. This was doubled by Versace.As expected, the defence took both major suit aces for +100 .

| West <br> Gromöller | North <br> D'Avossa | East <br> Fritsche | South <br> Di Bello <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \%$ | 3 | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| Rdbl | $5 \vee$ | Pass | $6 \%$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

The replay seemed to be going Italy's way when D'Avossa started with $2 \%$ and then doubled $5 \diamond$, but Gromöller saved the situation with a cheeky redouble. D'Avossa was unable to stand his ground, so this table got to $6 \%$ doubled as well. No swing.
At that stage Germany were still within striking distance, but Italy concluded the match with a series of big swings in their favour.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


Expert bridge players like to "do something" after two passes at favourable vulnerability. Lorenzo Lauria didn't shy away from opening $\mathrm{l} \diamond$ with 3 HCP , and he even added a (preemptive) $3 \diamond$ call at the second round. The Germans repeatedly doubled and then cue-bid before settling for $4 \checkmark$ - a good contract (even though 4s would have been easier to handle).
Versace led a diamond, and Welland finessed trumps, Versace winning the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ at the second round and returning another diamond. This created some problems as declarer would have lost control if he drew trumps immediately. Therefore Welland entered dummy in spades and led a club. He thought Lauria had to have something for his onelevel opening, however light, and misguessed by playing 2 K from his hand.Versace was quick to exploit his opportunity by leading a spade. Now declarer had nothing to do: drawing trumps was still insufficent with clubs not under control, so he played a club and conceded a spade ruff for a cruel - 100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromöller | D'Avossa | Fritsche | Di Bello |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $I \diamond$ | Pass | $3\rangle$ |
| Pass | $4 \searrow$ | All Pass |  |

At the replay the bidding was far more orthodox (North's $\downharpoonleft \diamond$ being a transfer to hearts), and the play to the first four tricks was identical. With his opponents silent during the bidding, Di Bello went for the normal play in clubs (running the 10). He was rewarded with an overtrick and a I3-IMP swing.

| Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ A 1082 |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 75$ |  |  |  |  |
| - A8643 |  |  |  |  |
| - KQ10954 |  | N |  | - A 8 |
| -Q64 |  |  | E | Q J 7 |
| $\checkmark$ K 4 |  |  | E | $\checkmark$ A Q 962 |
| - 102 |  | S |  | Q Q 75 |
| - 732 |  |  |  |  |
| ¢K953 |  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J1083 |  |  |  |  |
| - K 9 |  |  |  |  |
| West | Nor |  | East | South |
| Versace | Auken |  | Lauria | Welland |
|  |  |  | INT | Pass |
| 4 | Pass |  | 4. | All Pass |

Lauria displayed some more creativity by opening a 15-17 balanced (according to system) INT with a 5-4-2-2 I4count. He quickly became declarer in 4s, and despite the friendly $\diamond \mathrm{J}$ lead, permitting a discard, there was no way for ten tricks unless diamonds broke 3-3. Lauria tried his best by drawing trumps in three rounds and then playing three rounds of diamonds, discarding a club, followed by a diamond ruff.
Then he played a heart off the board. Sabine Auken (who had a long think during the previous trick) put up her $\triangle \mathrm{A}$, probably out of fear that declarer has $\vee \mathrm{K}$ as a potential entry to his fifth diamond. Perhaps she was counting points and her conclusion was that East had to have the 8 K for his INT opening, but we have already seen that (at least in this match) Lauria was not to be trusted...

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gromöller | D'Avossa | Fritsche | Di Bello |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 \Phi$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e s}$ | Pass |
| $2 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |  |

At the other table East bid normally and West chose a slight underbid of $2 \boldsymbol{2}$, so the Germans stopped in a partscore, making the expected +140 . Despite doing the right thing in the bidding, they had to concede another 7 IMPs. Little did they realize that a much larger disaster awaited them on the next hand:


North's double showed hearts, and his subsequent bid showed a weak hand with six hearts. That did nothing to excite South, and despite his remarkable distribution he was satisfied with a non-forcing $3 \%$ call. Thus an excellent vulnerable game was missed - Germany +150.
The events in the replay were far more dramatic:
West

Gromöller \begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
D'Avossa

$\quad$

East <br>
Fritsche

$\quad$

South <br>
Di Bello
\end{tabular}

Di Bello-D'Avossa play a natural system when vulnerable and a strong is system when not vulnerable. One may imagine that this creates memory problems. In fact, their 2s opening is the same (Precision) in both systems, and their vulnerable convention card indicates transfers over the opponent's takeout double, yet D'Avossa thought that his $2 \triangleleft$ bid was natural. For Di Bello, $2 \checkmark$ showed spades, and he thought that his opponent's (naturally intended) 2 bid was some sort of a cue-bid or a psych. Therefore he doubled it and followed up by bidding 44.
Gromoller (who received the correct explanation but misinterpreted his partner's bid) saved at $5 \diamond$, and Di Bello happily removed his partner's double, bidding 51! When this was corrected to $6 \%$ by his partner and doubled by West, he realized that something serious had happened.
But this was Italy's match, and even at the height of despair, good things started to happen. First of all, West led a normal $\vee \mathrm{A}$, helping declarer with his future trump coup. Declarer ruffed this, played a diamond to the ace and ran the $\boldsymbol{s}$.

Now Fritsche had to cover with \$K to prevent the trump-coup from operating, but it was a difficult play, as declarer clearly had four spades, and unless West was holding both the ten and nine, covering would have helped declarer to make either his third or fourth spades good. So East played low on the $\mathbf{~ J}$, and now Di Bello envisioned the complete distribution and played perfectly: heart ruff, spade ruff, another heart ruff, spade ruff with e K and a fourth heart ruff, which led to this end position, with South having an equal number of trumps with East.


Declarer drew West's sole trump, cashed the 4 and exited with a diamond. His
\& Q 10 took the final two tricks. What a brilliant way to score +1540 ! Italy took 6 more IMPs on the final board, making the final score 68-12.
(It transpired that there was a problem during the auction, as North had forgotten that 28 was a transfer to spades, which explains East's 2 and South's 4\$/54. The score was adjusted to NS +200 and the final score to 54-12. However, that in no way detracts from South's bravura performance in the play.)

## Robots to vie for bridge championship

The 20th Ourgame World Computer Bridge Championship takes place alongside the human championship, and pits eight of the best robots against each other to see who takes this year's honours.
The event was inaugurated by the American Contract Bridge League in 1997, motivated by a suggestion from the late Alan Truscott, bridge editor of The New York Times. The tournament was co-sponsored by the World Bridge Federation starting in 1999. This year, Ourgame, a leading Internet card and board gaming company in China, is sponsoring the championship.
The six-day bot championship will be in Room 33 on the Hala Stulecia starting Saturday, 10 September. To review the 20 -year history and many featured robot articles, go to computerbridge.com
The event is truly an international championship with these entries: Wbridge5 (France), Shark Bridge (Denmark), Micro Bridge (Japan), RoboBridge (The Netherlands), Q-Plus Bridge (Germany), Xinrui (China), Bridge Baron (USA) and Meadowlark Bridge (USA).

AI Levy


THE $56^{\text {TH }}$ INTERNATIONAL CELEBRATION of BRIDGE

# Cold Coast <br> SAT 18 TH - SAT 25 TH FEBRUARY Gold coast convention centre broadbeach 

ENQUIRIES - KIM ELLAWAY
+61733518602 +61412064903 manager@qldbridge.com q/dbridge.com/gcc


## Round II - Open Group B



## Monaco v Poland



By David Stern
It seems like only a moment ago while commentating on VuGraph during round six that I said that Monaco was surprisingly in 14th position but it was too early to make any predictions or suggest that they may not qualify. Here they are at the end of round ten where they hold the 5th spot, albeit by 0.03 VPs .
Poland, on the other hand, were firmly entrenched in first place, a position that they have held for the previous ten rounds of the qualifying. This is the same team that won the Bermuda Bowl in Chennai, India, last year.
It is more than likely that those who do the form assessment for tournaments such as this would have had these two teams as favourites for the event - I know I certainly did.
Board I was flat when both tables bid to 3NT failing by two tricks holding:

| - AKJ84 | - Q 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 64$ | QKJ953 |
| $\checkmark 1097$ | $\checkmark$ K Q |
| \& Q 104 | - KJ 82 |

The inconvenient diamond lead from $\diamond$ J8653 saw declarer doomed from the outset. Of course it's easy to be clever after the event and seeing all four hands, but with only one diamond stopper and missing two outside aces perhaps 44 (making on this sunny day) could have been considered.
Board 3 saw the first IMPs change hands when Helgemo for Monaco in the closed room tested the Pole's assessment.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalita | Multon | Nowosadzki | Zimmermann |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 14 | 38 | 31 | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Helgemo | Gawrys | Helness | Klukowski |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 19 | 28 | 38 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 4 | 5 | Dble | All Pass |

Helgemo's 44 would possibly have succeeded with either an inspired guess in clubs but more likely by cashing the club ace and an elimination of the red suits followed by a club towards the queen after which South will be forced to concede a ruff and discard for the club loser.
The open room failed by one trick -50.At the other table, E/W were in $5 \vee$ doubled, two down form -300: 5 IMPs to Monaco, ahead 5-0, Monaco gained another 5 IMPs two boards later.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | - Q 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J 53 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 9863$ |  |
|  | ¢ J 53 |  |
| - J 75 | N | , AK 42 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 82 |  | $\bigcirc 1064$ |
| $\diamond \mathrm{J} 10$ |  | $\diamond 542$ |
| \& K 10986 | S | \& Q 72 |
|  | ¢ 863 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 97 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKQ 7 |  |
|  | \% A 4 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita | Multon | Nowosadzki <br> Pass | Pass |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Rooman |  |  |  |

Zimmerman, South for Monaco in the Open Room, opened $\mathbf{I} \diamond$, intending to rebid 2NT over partner's
response. Fortunately for him, Multon does not subscribe to the theory that you must respond to every opening, so Zimmermann played it in $\mathbf{I} \diamond$. In the Closed Room Gawrys bid $\mathrm{l} \diamond$ showing 0-6 over South's opening and the INT rebid showed $18-20$. As in many cases, it isn't best to play partner for a maximum when they hold the bulk of the points and so it was here. The Open Room made nine tricks in diamonds while INT failed by a trick after the club lead and the result was 5 IMPs to Monaco, now up 10-0.
It was not going to be Monaco all the way when Poland gained a solitary IMP but the deal has some real chances for a game swing when they bid and made a "superior" 3NT in the Closed Room and Monaco bid a tenuous $5 \diamond$ at the other table.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


In the Open Room Kalita ducked the 2 Q lead and allowed the heart switch to run to his jack. With the favourable lie of the doubleton 10 and the diamond king onside declarer was not tested making two spades two hearts, four diamonds and two clubs.
It appears that $5 \diamond$ can be made the same way in the closed room but, on a club or a trump lead things become problematic where you may reach this position with West to play having just unblocked the $8 \mathrm{~J} .:$

|  | - 105 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ - |  |
|  | Q Q 96 |  |
| ¢ 875 | N | ¢ KJ9 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q |
| $\diamond$ Q 10 |  | $\diamond$ J |
| \& K 7 | S | 9 - |
|  | - A Q 62 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 96$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 8$ |  |
|  | 2- |  |

When you cross to table with a club ruff, South will shed a heart, preventing you from discarding your second spade. If you cross with a trump you will be stranded with a club loser.
This was rather moot however when Klukowski led a heart, giving declarer no problems. Declarer could draw trumps and ruff two hearts on the table. Monaco 10 -

Poland I.
Subsequent to the completion of the match, the score from the closed room on this board was changed to $5 \triangleleft$ by East down one resulting in 12 IMPs to Poland. All future references to scores reflects this change.
Perhaps inspired by finally getting on the scoreboard, Poland commenced a run of scoring boards starting with Board 8.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
上AK 864
$\bigcirc$ A 8
$\diamond K 2$
\& 10843

| ¢ Q 2 | N | ¢ 」1053 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q Q 642 |  | ¢ 173 |
| $\checkmark$ A 108 | W E | $\diamond 965$ |
| \& A Q 95 | S | 9J62 |
|  | - 97 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1095$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J 743 |  |
|  | \% K 7 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita | Multon | Nowosadzki | Zimmermann |
| Is | Is | Pass | INT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Gawrys | Helness | Klukowski |
| I\& | Is | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
In the Open Room Zimmermann won the heart lead, forced out the $\diamond A$, cashed his winners and attempted to endplay West in spades, thereby restricting himself to two spades, two hearts and four diamonds.
Some players like to push the boat out when they know where the points are located because of an opening bid or overcall and this appeared to be the case in the closed room, where Gawrys could certainly have had a touch more for his 2NT invitation.


Michal Klukowski, Poland

The play was interesting. Klukowski won the opening lead with the $\vee A$, played the $\diamond K$ and when that was ducked, and with no entries to dummy, he cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ before turning his attention back to the diamond suit. On winning the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, West played the $\diamond I 0$ and declarer cashed his remaining two diamonds to reach this position.


Reading the ending perfectly, Klukowski exited with the ek. West won and continued hearts to the jack and king, thereby allowing declarer to promote an extra heart winner for his ninth trick. Well played indeed. Monaco -400 in this room and +I 20 in the other and losing 7 IMPs and the match by $10-19$.
On this deal, Monaco were certainly wearing their rosecolored glasses.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- 7543
-A 105
$\diamond$ Q 983
\& K 6


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita | Multon | Nowosadzki | Zimmermannn |
|  | Pass | 18 | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | 2NT | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Gawrys | Helness | Klukowski |
|  | Pass | $1 \$$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \Delta$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| $3 \$$ | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Klukowski in the closed room lost a spade and a heart and played diamonds for one loser by playing low to the queen and later finessing against East's jack..
It isn't clear whether Multon's 2NT was a diamond raise (we suspect so) or a serious attempt but in either event Zimmerman's 3NT was ill-advised for a number of reasons, not the least of which is the lack of points opposite his passed partner but also the poor quality of the diamond suit combined with the lack of spot cards generally. Down three, -I50 combined with -I30 in the closed room was another 7 IMPs which saw Poland increase their lead Monaco IO, Poland 26.
There was more bad news for Monaco on this board.
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
, K 4
®K 1053
$\diamond-$
\& AKQ6432

| - A953 | N | - J 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢AQJ 7 |  | $\bigcirc 964$ |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 764 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 10532 |
| - - | S | - J 9 |
|  | - Q 872 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 82$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 98 |  |
|  | - 10875 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita |  |  |  |$\quad$ Multon $\quad$ Nowosadzki | Zimmermann |
| :--- |

Closed Room

| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Gawrys | East <br> Helness | South <br> Klukowski |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{9}$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Both rooms lost the obvious tricks, which allowed Poland to go +200 in total and score 5 more IMPs with the scores now Monaco 10 - Poland 34 .
Poland picked up 8 undertrick/overtrick IMPs in four of the last five boards to win the match 42-I0 leaving them 12 VPs ahead of second-place Japan. Monaco slipped to 8th place, just 9 VPs from the certain qualifying fifth place.

## Mission impossible? (Open - RRII)



France v Russia
By Micke Melander
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | $\pm$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ¢ KJ875 |  | 4 | 64 |
| $\bigcirc 10$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A J 2 |  | E $\diamond$ | 94 |
| \& 432 |  |  | Q 876 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 862 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Khiuppenen | Bessis | Bavchine | Volcker |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 14 | 38 | 34 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lorenzini | Vorobei | Quantin | Sliva |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 24 | 38 | 34 | 3NT |

## All Pass

In the Open Room Bessis kicked off with the king of hearts, which held the trick. Bessis then shifted to a very helpful six of diamonds for declarer which went to the nine, queen and declarer's ace. Khiuppenen then played as if he could see all four hands. A club to the ace and a spade towards his hand. South went in with the ace of trumps and returned the ten of diamonds. Declarer won with the king, pulled all the trumps and finally put a club on the table. The ten appeared from North and declarer went in to the tank... after a while calling for a low one from dummy. When South now produced the king - declarer could claim his ten tricks. Very well played by Khiuppenen.
One accident rarely comes alone, Sliva not only managed to buy 3NT in the other room but was also allowed to make it in the other direction. With only eight available tricks on a diamond or club lead that really looked like Mission Impossible but with some help from the defenders you never know what might happen.
What happened was that Lorenzini led the ace of diamonds and decided to continue with the jack when
partner played the nine, (a club shift was essential an trick two as the cards lay). East won with the king of diamonds and returned the ten of spades. Declarer now tried the finesse, which lost to West's king but it was all too late. Declarer had one spade, six hearts and when diamonds was 3-3 he got two tricks from that suit! I4 IMPs to Russia.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 1983
$\bigcirc$ Q 103
$\diamond$ K 987
52
- AK 62
$\bigcirc 94$
$\diamond 106$
\& AKJ 83

| N | Q Q 104 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ A 875 |
| W E | $\checkmark$ A 542 |
| S | - 74 |
| ¢ 75 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ} 62$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q J 3 |  |
| \& Q 1096 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Khiuppenen | Bessis | Bavchine | Volcker |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Vorobei | Quantin | Sliva |
| 1e | Pass | I $\$$ | Pass |
| 1s | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

One of the weakest links when deciding to play precision comes when you have to open Two Clubs for systemic reasons. Here Bavchine asked for distribution with Two Diamonds and quite understandably decided to pass out Two Spades when that came back. 2NT might have been on the menu, but will very often not be a successful move.
Bessis led the three of spades, declarer went up with the queen, cashed ace and king of clubs and ruffed a club. So far all was okay, since North pitched a diamond and declarer ruffed in dummy. Khiuppenen then called for a low diamond from dummy, South went up with the queen which held, to return a spade. Declarer went up with the ace and cashed the king of spades to realize that the suit didn't break when South discarded a heart. A heart to the ace, the ace of diamonds and a diamond ruff followed for nine tricks. +140 .
In the Closed Room, a natural auction brought the French pair up to 3NT. Sliva kicked off with the queen of diamonds, Quantin captured the first trick with the ace and immediately finessed in clubs. When the jack hold he simply
cashed the ace and king of clubs and exited with a third round setting up his fifth club in dummy. When the defense couldn't cash more than three diamonds and a club declarer had succeeded to bring home the nine tricks he needed. +600 gave the French team the swing to even the match again.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Khiuppenen | Bessis | Bavchine | Volcker |
| lo | Is | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2NT | All Pass |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lorenzini | Vorobei | Quantin | Sliva |
| IS | IS | Pass | INT |

## All Pass

Bessis was right when he thought they could make game and made an invitational inquiry with 2NT. South who couldn't see any extras passed it out. Khiuppenen led the way with a very aggressive queen of spades, declarer won with the ace in dummy and immediately called for the king of diamonds, which was allowed to hold the trick. Another round of diamonds followed to the five, queen and ace where upon West continued with his last spade. Declarer who probably believed that he had led from queen, jack, ten called low from dummy. East won and played a club through to West queen who now turned his eyes to the heart suit. Declarer went up with the ace of hearts, cashed the king of spades, played a heart to the king and when diamonds broke 3-3 he could claim his eight tricks which could have been nine if he wouldn't have taken that spade finesse...
Sliva was punished by the defenders after to a serious mistake when West led the two of hearts. Declarer called low from dummy and cashed in his "free finesse" in hearts. But when the jack brought the king from declarer and the defense meanly refused to win the first round of diamonds declarer had no way to get back to his hand to cash his later established diamonds. West won the second diamond to continue with a heart to dummy's ace. Sliva then tried a low spade, but Quantin went up with the ten to play a club through declarer to West's queen. Lorenzini correctly
cashed out the ace of clubs and played a low to partner's jack. The defense then exited with a spade to dummy who eventually just could cash out his last club and ace-king of spades for one down! 5 more IMPs to France who eventually won the match by 39-20 and are leaders of Group A, a few victory points ahead of Italy with a large gap down to Israel in third place.

# Open Teams, RR I 2 



By Jos Jacobs
On Wednesday night the big match between the leaders in group $C$ was scheduled. Both Austria and Spain had made a good start; both teams so far have shown consistency as well. Before this match, Austria were enjoying a lead of about 17 V.P. over Spain so the latter team certainly could not afford to lose much ground in this match.
The match started well for Spain, as this was the first board:

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

- Q 109543
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond 975$
-K983

| - - | N | - K 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PQ 842 |  | PAJ653 |
| $\checkmark$ K 1086 |  | $\checkmark$ A QJ 43 |
| \& A Q 542 | S | -6 |
|  | - AJ 762 |  |
|  | ¢K1097 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |  |
|  | 2 J 107 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lantaron | FTerraneo | F Goded | Bieder |
|  | $2 \varsigma$ | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| $5 \$$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | $6 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

$4 \diamond$ over the weak two showed a red two-suiter so Lantaron was only too happy with his double fit.
The bad break in hearts would have sunk any E/W slam but as E/W had settled for the diamond slam, South did not know he had two defensive tricks. And if he was going to lead a spade he would have found he did not have two tricks! The sacrifice went down three, Spain +500 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C Terraneo | Sabate | Simon | $G$ Goded |
|  | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | $4 \odot$ |
| $6\ulcorner$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
In the other room, after North's Multi Simon could not show both his suits at once, so the Austrians reached the slam in hearts. This time, South knew what to do...one down, Spain another +100 and 12 IMPs to them.
The next board was a matter of declarer play.
Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- KQJ3

8 Q 1043
$\triangleleft 1096$

- Q 9


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lantaron | FTerraneo | F Goded <br> Pass | Basder |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \searrow$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \searrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

Against the normal $4 \bigcirc$ contract, North led a top spade which was allowed to hold. Declarer won the next spade and ducked a diamond to North's nine. A diamond came back to dummy's ace and now, rather than ruffing a diamond immediately, declarer first cashed the $\vee A$ on general principles and then went on to cash the two top clubs. When he next ruffed a club in dummy, North could get rid of his third diamond and declarer could no longer make his contract.. Down two, Austria +100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C Terraneo | Sabate | Simon | G Goded |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

In the other room, East was the declarer and he too got a spade lead. He immediately won the ace, cashed the top clubs and ruffed a club in hand, North throwing a diamond. When he exited in spades, North won and returned a diamond. Simon won the ace and continued the suit, South winning the queen and returning...a trump rather than a diamond. Declarer won in hand with the $>8$ when North played low. But now, he could ruff his last two diamonds with the king and then with the nine, ruffing himself back to his hand with a spade and at the same time creating a


Jordi Sabate, Spain
trump coup against North. The latter could overruff dummy's nine but then had to return a trump into declarer's tenace. Austria +420 and II IMPs back to them.
On board 19, Spain gained 5 IMPs for an extra doubled undertrick but on board 20, they overbid a little:

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- K 742
- J 105
$\diamond$ KJ3
- 862

$$
\text { AQ } 983
$$

$\diamond 94$
$\diamond A 94$
$\& A 109$

| N | ¢ J 1065 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 872$ |
|  | $\diamond 85$ |
| S | ¢ K J 53 |
| S- |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 63 |  |
| $\diamond$ Q 10762 |  |
| \& Q 74 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lantaron | FTerraneo | F Goded | Bieder |
| Is | Pass | INT | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ |
| Pass | $3 \vee$ | All Pass |  |

Once South showed his two-suiter, North could easily stop at the right level. Austria +140 .
In the other room, a more aggressive raise put N/S off the right track:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C Terraneo | Sabate | Simon | G Goded |
| Is | Pass | $3 \$$ | Dble |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

South's failure to bid hearts at any stage might have been right; but not today. On the obvious lead by East, this contract should have had to go three off: four spades and three top tricks in the minors. There were no defensive errors but the board was scored officially as down two, Austria another +200 and 8 IMPs to them.
A few boards later, Spain got a game swing when they bid more aggressively:


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lantaron | FTerraneo | F Goded | Bieder <br> Pass |
| Pass | 14 | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \odot$ | Pass | $4 \odot$ | All Pass |

As South passed over East's double, it was virtually impossible for North to find the killing club lead. When he led a spade instead, declarer could carefully cash two top spades, ruff himself back to hand with a low spade and lead a trump up. North jumped in with the ace to lead another spade but with South's trump gone, declarer could discard his losing club and eventually make his contract by way of the diamond finesse. Many players in $4 \checkmark$ went down after a spade lead.

| West <br> C Terraneo | North <br> Sabate | East <br> Simon | South <br> G Goded |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | Dble | $2 \&$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | $2 \diamond$ |
| 28 | $3 \stackrel{2}{2}$ | Pass | Pass |
| 38 | All Pass |  |  |



Thinking in the Closed Room

In the other room, South could bid 20 over the double. From there, the Austrians did well to stop in $3 \checkmark$ - which was all they could make on a club lead. Austria +140 but 10 more IMPs to Spain.
When a Spanish declarer had a blind spot and thus went down in a cold game, Austria gained another 10 IMPs. One wonders if tiredness is beginning to be a factor.
Anyway, the teams came into the final board nearly exactly level: 4I-39 to Spain.
This final board should have been a push as there are nine cold tricks in 3NT with the diamonds breaking. But Restricted Choice can sometimes come back and bite one on the rear end...

## Board 32. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 753 } \\ & 8 \mathrm{~J} 954 \\ & \diamond J 105 \\ & \& \mathrm{KQJ} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 18 | N | - K Q 10 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 87$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 62 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 98 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 64 |
| \& 9853 | S | * A 1064 |
|  | - A 9642 |  |
|  | Q Q 103 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 732$ |  |
|  | - 72 |  |

At both tables, the auction was short; INT - 3NT. South led a spade and declarer next ducked a club. The defenders ducked another round of spades and now, declarer can cash out but he may also exit with his last spade - that's what the Austrian declarer did, hoping to get a full count of the hand. South won the ace and cashed just one more spade (North eventually discarding a heart) before exiting in clubs. That was nice play by South, since had he cashed his last spade East would have been in better shape to guess the layout. Declarer had chosen to discard clubs from both hands on the third and fourth spade but he might nonetheless have ducked the club queen to find out just a little more.
All would still have been well had declarer cashed out diamonds from the top from here, but one might think of taking a finesse in diamonds when North follows with an honour in the second round of the suit. That's exactly what happened next, so Spain got I3 IMPs on the board to win the match 54-39 or $13.97-6.03$ V.P. They had managed to close part of the gap.
Declarer was playing North for a 3-5-2-3 shape; not impossible, but North's tempo break on the fourth spade might have pointed East in the right direction. That surely wasn't the play of a man with five hearts!

## Senior Teams

## Mixed Teams

| $R R 17$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 USA | NEW ZEALAND | 20 | 0 | 15.58 | 4.42 | 71 IRELAND | NEW ZEALAND | 16 | 15 | 10.36 | 9.64 |
| 52 BRAZIL | ENGLAND | 20 | 1 | 15.37 | 4.63 | 72 GERMANY | BULGARIA | 25 | 4 | 15.79 | 4.21 |
| 53 SPAIN | JAPAN | 33 | 17 | 14.70 | 5.30 | 73 CHINA | AUSTRALIA | 28 | 20 | 12.61 | 7.39 |
| 54 NORWAY | SWEDEN | 19 | 7 | 13.71 | 6.29 | 74 CHINESE TAIPEI | TURKEY | 31 | 26 | 11.70 | 8.30 |
| 55 DENMARK | PAKISTAN | 22 | 13 | 12.90 | 7.10 | 75 JAPAN | RUSSIA | 30 | 29 | 10.36 | 9.64 |
| 56 TURKEY | ITALY | 45 | 7 | 18.54 | 1.46 | 76 POLAND | ISRAEL | 30 | 2 | 17.08 | 2.92 |
| 57 ISRAEL | CHINESE TAIPEI | 48 | 9 | 18.66 | 1.34 | 77 UAE | FRANCE | 16 | 23 | 7.69 | 12.31 |
| 58 CHINA | MEXICO | 53 | 14 | 18.66 | 1.34 | 78 HUNGARY | ITALY | 39 | 8 | 17.56 | 2.44 |
| 59 GERMANY | INDIA | 10 | 32 | 4.01 | 15.99 | 79 SWEDEN | INDIA | 0 | 49 | 0.26 | 19.74 |
| 60 EGYPT | AUSTRALIA | 7 | 23 | 5.30 | 14.70 | 80 NETHERLANDS | BRAZIL | 36 | 5 | 17.56 | 2.44 |
| 61 FRANCE | CHINA HK | 17 | 23 | 7.99 | 12.01 | 81 USA | DENMARK | 5 | 17 | 6.29 | 13.71 |
| 62 CANADA | POLAND | 18 | 31 | 6.03 | 13.97 | 82 ENGLAND | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |


| RR 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 NEW ZEALAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 29 | 27 | 10.71 | 9.29 | 71 NEW ZEALAND | FRANCE | 12 | 49 | 1.59 | 18.41 |
| 52 ITALY | MEXICO | 24 | 32 | 7.39 | 12.61 | 72 ISRAEL | ITALY | 10 | 17 | 7.69 | 12.31 |
| 53 PAKISTAN | INDIA | 15 | 51 | 1.72 | 18.28 | 73 RUSSIA | INDIA | 35 | 25 | 13.18 | 6.82 |
| 54 SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA | 15 | 52 | 1.59 | 18.41 | 74 TURKEY | BRAZIL | 37 | 23 | 14.22 | 5.78 |
| 55 JAPAN | CHINA HK | 23 | 13 | 13.18 | 6.82 | 75 AUSTRALIA | DENMARK | 22 | 25 | 8.95 | 11.05 |
| 56 ENGLAND | POLAND | 8 | 36 | 2.92 | 17.08 | 76 BULGARIA | ENGLAND | 24 | 24 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 57 USA | CANADA | 51 | 11 | 18.78 | 1.22 | 77 GERMANY | USA | 49 | 35 | 14.22 | 5.78 |
| 58 BRAZIL | FRANCE | 15 | 20 | 8.30 | 11.70 | 78 CHINA | NETHERLANDS | 48 | 49 | 9.64 | 10.36 |
| 59 SPAIN | EGYPT | 48 | 29 | 15.37 | 4.63 | 79 CHINESE TAIPEI | SWEDEN | 22 | 18 | 11.38 | 8.62 |
| 60 NORWAY | GERMANY | 31 | 10 | 15.79 | 4.21 | 80 JAPAN | HUNGARY | 32 | 24 | 12.61 | 7.39 |
| 61 DENMARK | CHINA | 27 | 26 | 10.36 | 9.64 | 81 POLAND | UAE | 38 | 19 | 15.37 | 4.63 |
| 62 TURKEY | ISRAEL | 32 | 38 | 7.99 | 12.01 | 82 IRELAND | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 FRANCE | NEW ZEALAND | 42 | 18 | 16.37 | 3.63 | 71 USA | NEW ZEALAND | 24 | 38 | 5.78 | 14.22 |
| 52 CANADA | EGYPT | 42 | 18 | 16.37 | 3.63 | 72 ENGLAND | SWEDEN | 44 | 19 | 16.55 | 3.45 |
| 53 POLAND | GERMANY | 43 | 15 | 17.08 | 2.92 | 73 DENMARK | HUNGARY | 17 | 26 | 7.10 | 12.90 |
| 54 CHINA HK | CHINA | 28 | 2 | 16.73 | 1.27 | 74 BRAZIL | UAE | 25 | 22 | 11.05 | 8.95 |
| 55 AUSTRALIA | ISRAEL | 25 | 14 | 13.45 | 6.55 | 75 INDIA | POLAND | 23 | 27 | 8.62 | 11.38 |
| 56 INDIA | TURKEY | 25 | 33 | 7.39 | 12.61 | 76 ITALY | JAPAN | 51 | 11 | 18.78 | 1.22 |
| 57 MEXICO | DENMARK | 8 | 39 | 2.44 | 17.56 | 77 FRANCE | CHINESE TAIPEI | 29 | 18 | 13.45 | 6.55 |
| 58 CHINESE TAIPEI | NORWAY | 31 | 9 | 15.99 | 4.01 | 78 ISRAEL | CHINA | 17 | 26 | 7.10 | 12.90 |
| 59 ITALY | SPAIN | 53 | 7 | 19.44 | 0.56 | 79 RUSSIA | GERMANY | 20 | 16 | 11.38 | 8.62 |
| 60 PAKISTAN | BRAZIL | 14 | 42 | 2.92 | 17.08 | 80 TURKEY | IRELAND | 33 |  | 17.24 | 2.76 |
| 61 SWEDEN | USA | 18 | 17 | 10.36 | 9.64 | 81 AUSTRALIA | BULGARIA | 26 | 19 | 12.31 | 7.69 |
| 62 JAPAN | ENGLAND | 11 | 20 | 7.10 | 12.90 | 82 NETHERLANDS | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| RR 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 NEW ZEALA | JAPAN | 42 | 13 | 17.24 | 2.76 | 71 NEW ZEALAND | AUSTRALIA | 27 | 21 | 12.01 | 7.99 |
| 52 ENGLAND | SWEDEN | 4 | 41 | 1.59 | 18.41 | 72 BULGARIA | TURKEY | 21 | 31 | 6.82 | 13.18 |
| 53 USA | PAKISTAN | 29 | 31 | 9.29 | 10.71 | 73 IRELAND | RUSSIA | 37 | 31 | 12.01 | 7.99 |
| 54 BRAZIL | ITALY | 9 | 25 | 5.30 | 14.70 | 74 GERMANY | ISRAEL | 27 | 35 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 55 SPAIN | CHINESE TAIPEI | 25 | 48 | 3.82 | 16.18 | 75 CHINA | FRANCE | 25 | 47 | 4.01 | 15.99 |
| 56 NORWAY | MEXICO | 57 | 22 | 18.14 | 1.86 | 76 CHINESE TAIPEI | ITALY | 20 | 51 | 2.44 | 17.56 |
| 57 DENMARK | INDIA | 30 | 36 | 7.99 | 12.01 | 77 JAPAN | INDIA | 3 | 11 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 58 TURKEY | AUSTRALIA | 10 | 10 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 78 POLAND | BRAZIL | 27 | 52 | 3.45 | 16.55 |
| 59 ISRAEL | CHINA HK | 50 | 8 | 19.02 | 0.98 | 79 UAE | DENMARK | 21 | 46 | 3.45 | 16.55 |
| 60 CHINA | POLAND | 5 | 25 | 4.42 | 15.58 | 80 HUNGARY | ENGLAND | 38 | 32 | 12.01 | 7.99 |
| 61 GERMANY | CANADA | 26 | 16 | 13.18 | 6.82 | 81 NETHERLANDS | USA | 7 | 10 | 8.95 | 11.05 |
| 62 EGYPT | FRANCE | 5 | 30 | 3.45 | 16.55 | 82 SWEDEN | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| $R R 13$ |  |  |  | $R \mathrm{R} / 4$ |  |  |  |  | $R R 15$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Teams Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match | V | s |  |  | Match | VP |  |  |  | Match | VP |  |
| I SINGAPORE | BRAZIL | 7.20 | 12.80 | 1 | ITALY | SINGAPORE | 16.72 | 2.28 | I | SINGAPORE | GERMANY | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 2 JORDAN | ITALY | 0.00 | 20.00 | 2 | GERMANY | JORDAN | 10.00 | 10.00 | 2 | Jordan | ESTONIA | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 3 ESTONIA | GERMANY | 0.00 | 20.00 | 3 | SWITZERLAND | ESTONIA | 19.25 | 0.75 | 3 | ITALY | AUSTRALIA | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 4 INDIA | AUSTRALIA | 18.77 | 1.23 | 4 | AUSTRALIA | BRAZIL | 17.17 | 2.83 | 4 | BRAZIL | RUSSIA | 1.03 | 18.97 |
| 5 GREECE | RUSSIA | 7.71 | 12.29 | 5 | RUSSIA | INDIA | 15.92 | 4.08 | 5 | INDIA | FINLAND | 18.55 | 1.45 |
| 6 CHINESE TAIPEI | FINLAND | 13.52 | 6.48 | 6 | FINLAND | GREECE | 5.00 | 15.00 | 6 | GREECE | SOUTH AFRICA | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 7 FRANCE | SOUTH AFRICA | 13.52 | 6.48 | 7 | SOUTH AFRICA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 3.91 | 16.09 | 7 | CHINESE TAIPEI | PHILIPPINES | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| 8 ISRAEL | PHILIPPINES | 14.39 | 5.61 | 8 | PHILIPPINES | FRANCE | 2.03 | 17.97 | 8 | FRANCE | UKRAINE | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 9 UKRAINE | SWITZERLAND | 9.69 | 10.31 | 9 | UKRAINE | ISRAEL | 4.62 | 15.38 | 9 | ISRAEL | SWITZERLAND | 15.19 | 4.81 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| II PAKISTAN | LEBANON | 15.19 | 4.81 |
| I2 KUWAIT | POLAND | 0.75 | 19.25 |
| I3 BOSNIA HERZ. | MONACO | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| I4 MEXICO | ICELAND | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| I5 ARGENTINA | JAPAN | 1.56 | 18.44 |
| I6 NORWAY | BELGIUM | 18.77 | 1.23 |
| I7 USA | TUNISIA | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| I8 ENGLAND | CHINA HK | 15.19 | 4.81 |
| I9 LATVIA | TURKEY | 0.57 | 19.43 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| II POLAND | PAKISTAN | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| I2 MONACO | KUWAIT | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| I TURKEY | BOSNIA HERZ. | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| I4 ICELAND | LEBANON | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| I5 JAPAN | MEXICO | 18.66 | 1.34 |
| I6 BELGIUM | ARGENTINA | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| I7 TUNISIA | NORWAY | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| I8 CHINA HK | USA | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| I9 LATVIA | ENGLAND | 1.56 | 18.44 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| II PAKISTAN | MONACO | 3.12 | 16.88 |
| I KUWAIT | BOSNIA HERZ. | 11.48 | 8.52 |
| I3 POLAND | ICELAND | 18.66 | 1.34 |
| I4 LEBANON | JAPAN | 11.20 | 8.80 |
| I5 MEXICO | BELGIUM | 3.91 | 16.09 |
| I6 ARGENTINA | TUNIIIA | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| I7 NORWAY | CHINA HK | 16.88 | 3.12 |
| I8 USA | LATVIA | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| I9 ENGLAND | TURKEY | 18.55 | 1.45 |

## Open Teams Group C

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | BANGLADESH | HUNGARY | 12.80 |
| 7.20 |  |  |  |
| 22 GUADELOUPE | CHINA | 14.60 | 5.40 |
| 23 NEW ZEALAND | NETHERLANDS | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 24 CANADA | UAE | 17.72 | 2.28 |
| 25 SAN MARINO | EGYPT | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 26 DENMARK | IRELAND | 8.80 | 11.20 |
| 27 SWEDEN | AUSTRIA | 17.17 | 2.83 |
| 28 SCOTLAND | LITHUANIA | 0.57 | 19.43 |
| 29 SPAIN | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | CHINA | BANGLADESH | 19.69 |
| 22 NETHERLANDS | GUADELOUPE | 18.21 | 1.79 |
| 23 LITHUANIA | NEW ZEALAND | 1.56 | 18.44 |
| 24 UAE | HUNGARY | 0.23 | 19.77 |
| 25 EGYPT | CANADA | 9.09 | 10.91 |
| 26 IRELAND | SAN MARINO | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 27 AUSTRIA | DENMARK | 17.03 | 2.97 |
| 28 SCOTLAND | SPAIN | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 29 SWEDEN | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | BANGLADESH | NETHERLANDS | 0.84 |
| 19.16 |  |  |  |
| 22 GUADELOUPE | NEW ZEALAND | 7.20 | 12.80 |
| 23 CHINA | UAE | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 24 HUNGARY | EGYPT | 3.58 | 16.42 |
| 25 CANADA | IRELAND | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 26 SAN MARINO | AUSTRIA | 0.48 | 19.52 |
| 27 SWEDEN | SCOTLAND | 16.58 | 3.42 |
| 28 SPAIN | LITHUANIA | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 29 DENMARK | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 31 KOREA | NORWAY | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 32 JAPAN | NETHERLANDS | 0.15 | 19.85 |
| 33 PAKISTAN | CHINA | 1.91 | 18.09 |
| 34 JORDAN | SOUTH AFRICA | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 35 BRAZIL | TURKEY | 6.25 | 13.75 |
| 36 SPAIN | SAN MARINO | 17.45 | 2.55 |
| 37 ENGLAND | EGYPT | 18.33 | 1.67 |
| 38 SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 39 MEXICO | FINLAND | 2.03 | 17.97 |

## Women's Teams Group A

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 I NETHERLANDS | KOREA | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 32 CHINA | JAPAN | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 33 FINLAND | PAKISTAN | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 34 SOUTH AFRICA | NORWAY | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 35 TURKEY | JORDAN | 16.58 | 3.42 |
| 36 SAN MARINO | BRAZIL | 13.04 | 6.96 |
| 37 EGYPT | SPAIN | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 38 AUSTRALIA | ENGLAND | 14.18 | 5.82 |
| 39 MEXICO | SWEDEN | 2.83 | 17.17 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 31 KOREA | CHINA | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 32 JAPAN | PAKISTAN | 6.25 | 13.75 |
| 33 NETHERLANDS | SOUTH AFRICA | 14.18 | 5.82 |
| 34 NORWAY | TURKEY | 9.39 | 10.61 |
| 35 JORDAN | SAN MARINO | 16.88 | 3.12 |
| 36 BRAZIL | EGYPT | 11.20 | 8.80 |
| 37 SPAIN | AUSTRALIA | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| 38 ENGLAND | MEXICO | 12.29 | 7.71 |
| 39 SWEDEN | FINLAND | 15.56 | 4.44 |

## Women's Teams Group B

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4I CHINESE TAIPEI | DENMARK | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 42 CHINA HK | POLAND | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| 43 ICELAND | FRANCE | 1.67 | 18.33 |
| 44 INDIA | GERMANY | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 45 SCOTLAND | IRELAND | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| 46 USA | TUNISIA | 15.92 | 4.08 |
| 47 ITALY | NEW ZEALAND | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| 48 CHILE | CANADA | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 49 PALESTINE | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4I POLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 12.03 | 7.97 |
| 42 FRANCE | CHINA HK | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 43 CANADA | ICELAND | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 44 GERMANY | PALESTINE | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 45 IRELAND | INDIA | 7.45 | 12.55 |
| 46 TUNISIA | SCOTLAND | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 47 NEW ZEALAND | USA | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 48 CHILE | ITALY | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 49 DENMARK | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4 I CHINESE TAIPEI | FRANCE | 8.52 | 11.48 |
| 42 CHINA HKG | ICELAND | 18.44 | 1.56 |
| 43 DENMARK | GERMANY | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| 44 PALESTINE | IRELAND | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 45 INDIA | TUNISIA | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 46 SCOTLAND | NEW ZEALAND | 17.45 | 2.55 |
| 47 USA | CHILE | 14.80 | 5.20 |
| 48 ITALY | CANADA | 19.69 | 0.31 |
| 49 POLAND | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |

## The Polish Corner

## DOBRY PROGNOSTYK PRZED PLAY OFF

Rozdania $z$ tych meczów prezentowałem już wczoraj, ale to nie koniec. Było tam jeszcze kilka wartych uwagi. Zwłaszcza nasze panie rozwiązywały je lepiej od Francuzek. Nie były to wielkie obroty, ale warto popatrzeć.
Pierwsze rozdanie było dynamiczne i w wielu meczach nastapiły w nim duże obroty. Nie popłacała w nim walka o utrzymanie się przy grze za wszelką cenę:

Rozd. II. Obie przed, rozd. S.

- K 4

8 K 1053
$\diamond$ -
2AKD6432

| 4 A953 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | - W 106 |
| $\bigcirc$ ADW 7 |  | $\bigcirc 964$ |
| $\diamond$ DW 764 | W E | $\diamond$ A 10532 |
| 9 - | S | - W9 |
|  | - D 872 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 82$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 98 |  |
|  | 4. 10875 |  |

Francuzki utrzymały się tutaj przy grze na obu stołach:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sarniak | Frey | Brewiak | d'Ovidio |
|  |  |  | pas |
| $1 \diamond$ | 23 | 3 - | pas |
| 5 | 5 | pas | 6\% |
| ktr. | pas... |  |  |

$3 \diamond$-mixed raise
Na drugim stole nasza para była w końcówce prędzej i po 5 nie było powodu iść wyżej:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Dufrat | Cronier | Żmuda |
|  |  |  | pas |
| $1 \diamond$ | ktr. | 30 | pas |
| 30 | 49 | pas | 59 |
| 5 | pas... |  |  |
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## budimex

Na obu stołach bez jednej, 4 imp dla Polski.
I jeszcze rozdanie ze strefy częściowego zapisu z podobnym rezultatem:

Rozd. 9. Obie po, rozd. N.

- 7543

ค A 105
$\diamond D 983$
\& K 6

- Q 962

8 KW72
$\triangleleft 10$
2 10852

$\checkmark$ D 96

- A6542

2 AW 73
Tutaj z kolei na obu stołach utrzymały się przy grze pary polskie:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sarniak | Frey | Brewiak | d'Ovidio |
|  | pas | IBA | pas |
| $2 \&$ | pas | $2 \diamond$ | pas |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | pas | $2 \&$ | pas... |

IBA - 14-16 PC
$2 \checkmark$ - omyłkowo wyjęty z bidding boksu kartonik...
$2 \triangleleft$ - słaba karta z dwoma starszymi kolorami
S, przekonana w świetle odpowiedzi 2 na Stayman, że partnerka ma pięć atutów, wypuściła kontrakt. Na drugim stole Francuzki grały otwarciem IBA 15-17 PC, co pozwoliło naszym wygrać licytację:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Willard | Dufrat | Cronier | Żmuda |
|  | pas | $1 \stackrel{y}{c}$ | I $\diamond$ |
| ktr. | $1 \Delta$ | pas | IBA |
| pas | $2 \diamond$ | pas... |  |

ktr. - 4+
2 zostało wygrane z nadróbką i nasza drużyna wygrała tutaj 6 imp.
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