

Thursday, 8th September 2016

## TIIE WAITS FOR NO MAN - OR TEAM


Contents
BBO Schedule2
Picture Schedule ..... 2
Championship Diary ..... 3
The YEH Online Worldcup ..... 3
Schedules and Rankings ..... 4
Match Reports ..... 8
Results ..... 23
The Polish Corner ..... 25
budimex

Always there for you: the team from the hospitality desk Silvia Valentini, Branka Grguric, Joanna Kowalczyk, Virginia Chediak, Urszula Kedzierska, Gildana Caputo

Albert Einstein once said that "time is an illusion." For teams looking longingly at the qualifying spots in their respective events at this tournament, time is very real - and it's running out for many hopefuls. In the Open and Women's series, there are five matches left: three today, two tomorrow. Seven matches remain in the Seniors and Mixed Teams: four today, three tomorrow.
Teams with hopes of making the cut to the knockout phases of their events must make the best of the remaining opportunities.
In the Open series, for example, the strong USA team captained by John Diamond ended the day in qualifying position for the first time since the round robin started on Sunday. Monaco, meanwhile, finished Wednesday in eighth place and must hustle to make the cut.


## WBF CONGRESS MEETING

The WBF Congress Meeting will be held today at 09:00 in the Vu-Graph Auditorium at Centennial Hall.
All delegates are kindly requested to arrive in time to sign in and collect documents so that the meeting can start promptly at 09:00.
Tea, coffee and pastries will be available before the meeting.



| GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PICTURE SCHEDULE |  |
| Thursday 8 |  |
| MIXED |  |
| Chinese Taipei | 11.55 |
| Russia | 12.00 |
| Turkey | 14.40 |
| UAE | 14.45 |
| USA | 16.55 |
| WOMEN |  |
| India | 10.10 |
| Japan | 10.15 |
| Jordan | 10.20 |
| Korea | 13.35 |
| Mexico | 13.40 |
| New Zealand | 13.45 |
| Pakistan | 16.30 |
| Palestine | 16.35 |
| South Africa | 16.40 |
| SENIORS |  |
| China Hong Kong | 17.00 |

The meeting point is outside the front door. Thank you very much for your cooperation!
 that smoking and drinking is prohibited at any time and in any place during sessions.

## No cell phones allowed

No cell phones will be allowed in the playing area, but players can leave them at the registration desk.


## Championship Diary

## Back by popular demand

I happened to be behind the desk at hospitality when a player arrived to explain that she had left her badge in her hotel．No problem I explained as she could get a new one for $€ 5$ ．While it was being prepared she said that she would no doubt make the same mistake again．Quick as a flash I told her not to worry－she could take advantage of our special offer －buy four badges now and get the fifth one free．

USA Mixed Team member Sue Picus left the playing area to get a taxi back to her hotel．As she opened the door of the car parked at the entrance she asked to go to her hotel．The policeman sitting inside offered a polite＇No．＇Should have gone to Specsavers．

On the Live Bridge Site we are keeping the thousands of visitors entertained（at least we hope so）by running the odd competition．Yesterday we invited entries to late arrivals at the Bridge Players Gala Dinner．Here are some of the entries：

Nick Simms：From Italy，Mr \＆Mrs Donna and their beautiful daughter，Bella．

Mikael Grönkvist：Mr \＆Mrs Stayman and their three sons，Checkback，Puppet and Muppet

## Dealing machines and cards

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are sold for 2280EUR．You are strongly advised to order as soon as possible，because they will probably be sold out very quickly．Contact Jannerstens at the bridge stall in the Reception area， or drop a line to per＠jannersten．com．

The（new）Wroclaw cards that you are playing with will be sold after usage for l63EUR per 240 decks． Other quantities on request in the book stall．

Championship cards


The championship cards that you play here are The championship cards that you play here are
for sale for $€ 0.68$（bulk rate）in the book stall so long as supply lasts．

## The Yeh Online Bridge World Cup

We now know the exact composition of the teams who will contest the first Yeh Online World Cup，31 October－ 2 November 2016 under the auspices of the World Bridge Federation．

Team Europe－Lavazza（based in Turin at the headquarters of Lavazza）will comprise Maria Teresa Lavazza，Norberto Bocchi，Giorgio Duboin and Augustin Madala alongside the women who currently hold the top two positions in the world rankings， Sylvie Willard and Bénédicte Cronier．

Team USA－BBO team（based in Seattle）will be Bob Hamman（the all－time number I in the world rankings）playing with Jill Meyers（number 2 on the all time women＇s list）Fred Gitelman and Sheri Winestock and Bill Gates and Sharon Osberg．

Team CCBA（based in Beijing）will comprise Mr Zeng Peiyan，former vice premier for economy and general secretary of the Asian Economic Forum，Mr Guo Jinlong，former mayor of Beijing alongside Zhang Bangxiang，Li Jianwei and World Champions Gu Ling and Sun Shaolin．

Team Chen Yeh（also based in Beijing）will be led by Mr Yeh Chen who will be joined by World Champions Shih Juei－Yu，Chen Dawei，Sun Ming，Zhang Ya－Lan \＆Wang Ping

At each venue，remotely controlled webcams will monitor each player，streaming live images across the Internet．Every match will be broadcast live using BBO and Ourgame．The best bridge journalists from around the world will cover the event with voice and written commentaries and there will be instant Daily Bulletins online．Social media will be utilised to the fullest extent with dedicated accounts on Facebook，Twitter and Instagram．

Website ：http：／／bridgeonlineworldcup．com
Facebook：https：／／www．facebook．com／bridgeonlineworldcup
Twitter ：＠BridgeWorldCup
！
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## Schedule

## Senior Teams

## Mixed Teams

| RR 17-10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | USA | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | IRELAND | NEW ZEALAND |
| 52 | BRAZIL | ENGLAND | 72 | GERMANY | BULGARIA |
| 53 | SPAIN | JAPAN | 73 | CHINA | AUSTRALIA |
| 54 | NORWAY | SWEDEN | 74 | CHINESE TAIPEI | TURKEY |
| 55 | DENMARK | PAKISTAN | 75 | JAPAN | RUSSIA |
| 56 | TURKEY | ITALY | 76 | POLAND | ISRAEL |
| 57 | ISRAEL | CHINESE TAIPEI | 77 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | FRANCE |
| 58 | CHINA | MEXICO | 78 | HUNGARY | ITALY |
| 59 | GERMANY | INDIA | 79 | SWEDEN | INDIA |
| 60 | EGYPT | AUSTRALIA | 80 | NETHERLANDS | BRAZIL |
| 61 | FRANCE | CHINA HONG KONG | 81 | USA | DENMARK |
| 62 | CANADA | POLAND | 82 | ENGLAND | BYE |
| RR 18-12:15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | NEW ZEALAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 71 | NEW ZEALAND | FRANCE |
| 52 | ITALY | MEXICO | 72 | ISRAEL | ITALY |
| 53 | PAKISTAN | INDIA | 73 | RUSSIA | INDIA |
| 54 | SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA | 74 | TURKEY | BRAZIL |
| 55 | JAPAN | CHINA HONG KONG | 75 | AUSTRALIA | DENMARK |
| 56 | ENGLAND | POLAND | 76 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND |
| 57 | USA | CANADA | 77 | GERMANY | USA |
| 58 | BRAZIL | FRANCE | 78 | CHINA | NETHERLANDS |
| 59 | SPAIN | EGYPT | 79 | CHINESE TAIPEI | SWEDEN |
| 60 | NORWAY | GERMANY | 80 | JAPAN | HUNGARY |
| 61 | DENMARK | CHINA | 81 | POLAND | UAE |
| 62 | TURKEY | ISRAEL | 82 | IRELAND | BYE |


| RR 19-15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | FRANCE | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | USA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 52 | CANADA | EGYPT | 72 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN |
| 53 | POLAND | GERMANY | 73 | DENMARK | HUNGARY |
| 54 | CHINA HONG KONG | CHINA | 74 | BRAZIL | UAE |
| 55 | AUSTRALIA | ISRAEL | 75 | INDIA | POLAND |
| 56 | INDIA | TURKEY | 76 | ITALY | JAPAN |
| 57 | MEXICO | DENMARK | 77 | FRANCE | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 58 | CHINESE TAIPEI | NORWAY | 78 | ISRAEL | CHINA |
| 59 | ITALY | SPAIN | 79 | RUSSIA | GERMANY |
| 60 | PAKISTAN | BRAZIL | 80 | TURKEY | IRELAND |
| 61 | SWEDEN | USA | 81 | AUSTRALIA | BULGARIA |
| 62 | JAPAN | ENGLAND | 82 | NETHERLANDS | BYE |
| RR 20-17:15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | NEW ZEALAND | JAPAN | 71 | NEW ZEALAND | AUSTRALIA |
| 52 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN | 72 | BULGARIA | TURKEY |
| 53 | USA | PAKISTAN | 73 | IRELAND | RUSSIA |
| 54 | BRAZIL | ITALY | 74 | GERMANY | ISRAEL |
| 55 | SPAIN | CHINESE TAIPEI | 75 | CHINA | FRANCE |
| 56 | NORWAY | MEXICO | 76 | CHINESE TAIPEI | ITALY |
| 57 | DENMARK | INDIA | 77 | JAPAN | INDIA |
| 58 | TURKEY | AUSTRALIA | 78 | POLAND | BRAZIL |
| 59 | ISRAEL | CHINA HONG KONG | 79 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | DENMARK |
| 60 | CHINA | POLAND | 80 | HUNGARY | ENGLAND |
| 61 | GERMANY | CANADA | 81 | NETHERLANDS | USA |
| 62 | EGYPT | FRANCE | 82 | SWEDEN | BYE |

## Rankings

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Mixed Teams
After Round 16

| TEAM | VP |
| :---: | :---: |
| I NETHERLANDS | 218.14 |
| 2 BULGARIA | 210.22 |
| 3 RUSSIA | 209.54 |
| 4 FRANCE | 200.97 |
| 5 DENMARK | 195.71 |
| 6 AUSTRALIA | 193.44 |
| 7 GERMANY | 180.80 |
| 8 JAPAN | 178.93 |
| 9 ISRAEL | 174.82 |
| 10 POLAND | 173.39 |
| 11 USA | 172.87 |
| 12 CHINA | 171.90 |
| 13 ITALY | 168.08 |
| 14 NEW ZEALAND | 155.98 |
| 15 TURKEY | 152.16 |
| 16 IRELAND | 136.88 |
| 17 SWEDEN | 131.92 |
| 18 ENGLAND | 129.97 |
| 19 INDIA | 125.44 |
| 20 HUNGARY | 122.88 |
| 21 BRAZIL | 115.96 |
| 22 CHINESE TAIPEI | 106.48 |
| 23 UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 82.52 |

## Seniors and Mixed Teams coverage

Timetables for play and hands for the Senior Teams and Mixed Teams are not the same as for the other events, which precludes coverage in the Daily Bulletin until next week.

## The International Bridge Press Association (IBPA)

IBPA is a club for the world's bridge media people and you may also join as Associate Member.
The main service is a monthly Bulletin edited by John Carruthers with the best of the best. Members may also enjoy the Press facilities at championships.
The annual IBPA subscription is US\$42 (€38). New members joining in Wroclaw pay US\$52.50 (€48) for 2016 and 2017. You can apply, and pay, in the Press Room.

Current members paying late for this year, or early for next, can clear their dues in the Press Room.
The Annual General Meeting on Saturday (the 10th) will start at 09:00 a.m. (precisely) in the Vugraph Theatre (where the Opening Ceremony was).

Per Jannersten Chairman
Directions to Press Room
Orbit the playing area 90 degrees counter clockwise until you reach Entrance A where you find a large stairwell to the right. The Press Room is at the top of the stairs in Sala Cesarska.

| $R R 13-10: 30$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SINGAPORE | BRAZIL |
| 2 | JORDAN | ITALY |
| 3 | ESTONIA | GERMANY |
| 4 | INDIA | AUSTRALIA |
| 5 | GREECE | RUSSIA |
| 6 | CHINESE TAIPEI | FINLAND |
| 7 | FRANCE | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 8 | ISRAEL | PHILIPPINES |
| 9 | UKRAINE | SWITZERLAND |

## RR 14 - 14:00 <br> Open Teams Group A

RR 15 - $16: 50$

| I ITALY | SINGAPORE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | GERMANY | JORDAN |
| 3 | SWITZERLAND | ESTONIA |
| 4 | AUSTRALIA | BRAZIL |
| 5 | RUSSIA | INDIA |
| 6 | FINLAND | GREECE |
| 7 | SOUTH AFRICA | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 8 | PHILIPPINES | FRANCE |
| 9 | UKRAINE | ISRAEL |


| I | SINGAPORE | GERMANY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | JORDAN | ESTONIA |
| 3 | ITALY | AUSTRALIA |
| 4 | BRAZIL | RUSSIA |
| 5 | INDIA | FINLAND |
| 6 | GREECE | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 7 | CHINESE TAIPEI | PHILIPPINES |
| 8 | FRANCE | UKRAINE |
| 9 | ISRAEL | SWITZERLAND |

## Open Teams Group B

| 11 PAKISTAN | LEBANON | 11 POLAND | PAKISTAN | II PAKISTAN | MONACO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 KUWAIT | POLAND | 12 MONACO | KUWAIT | 12 KUWAIT | BOSNIA HERZ. |
| 13 BOSNIA HERZ. | MONACO | 13 TURKEY | BOSNIA HERZ. | 13 POLAND | ICELAND |
| 14 MEXICO | ICELAND | 14 ICELAND | LEBANON | 14 LEBANON | JAPAN |
| 15 ARGENTINA | JAPAN | 15 JAPAN | MEXICO | 15 MEXICO | BELGIUM |
| 16 NORWAY | BELGIUM | 16 BELGIUM | ARGENTINA | 16 ARGENTINA | TUNISIA |
| 17 USA | TUNISIA | 17 TUNISIA | NORWAY | 17 NORWAY | CHINA HK |
| 18 ENGLAND | CHINA HK | 18 CHINA HK | USA | 18 USA | LATVIA |
| 19 LATVIA | TURKEY | 19 LATVIA | ENGLAND | 19 ENGLAND | TURKEY |


| 21 | BANGLADESH | HUNGARY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | GUADELOUPE | CHINA |
| 23 | NEW ZEALAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 24 | CANADA | UAE |
| 25 | SAN MARINO | EGYPT |
| 26 | DENMARK | IRELAND |
| 27 | SWEDEN | AUSTRIA |
| 28 | SCOTLAND | LITHUANIA |
| 29 | SPAIN | BYE |


| 21 | CHINA | BANGLADESH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | NETHERLANDS | GUADELOUPE |
| 23 | LITHUANIA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 24 | UAE | HUNGARY |
| 25 | EGYPT | CANADA |
| 26 | IRELAND | SAN MARINO |
| 27 | AUSTRIA | DENMARK |
| 28 | SCOTLAND | SPAIN |
| 29 | SWEDEN | BYE |


| 21 | BANGLADESH | NETHERLANDS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | GUADELOUPE | NEW ZEALAND |
| 23 | CHINA | UAE |
| 24 | HUNGARY | EGYPT |
| 25 | CANADA | IRELAND |
| 26 | SAN MARINO | AUSTRIA |
| 27 | SWEDEN | SCOTLAND |
| 28 | SPAIN | LITHUANIA |
| 29 | DENMARK | BYE |

## Women's Teams Group A

| 31 | KOREA | NORWAY |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | JAPAN | NETHERLANDS |
| 33 | PAKISTAN | CHINA |
| 34 | JORDAN | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 35 | BRAZIL | TURKEY |
| 36 | SPAIN | SAN MARINO |
| 37 | ENGLAND | EGYPT |
| 38 | SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA |
| 39 | MEXICO | FINLAND |


| 31 NETHERLANDS | KOREA | 31 | KOREA | CHINA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 CHINA | JAPAN | 32 | JAPAN | PAKISTAN |
| 33 FINLAND | PAKISTAN | 33 | NETHERLANDS | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 34 SOUTH AFRICA | NORWAY | 34 | NORWAY | TURKEY |
| 35 TURKEY | JORDAN | 35 | JORDAN | SAN MARINO |
| 36 SAN MARINO | BRAZIL | 36 | BRAZIL | EGYPT |
| 37 EGYPT | SPAIN | 37 | SPAIN | AUSTRALIA |
| 38 AUSTRALIA | ENGLAND | 38 | ENGLAND | MEXICO |
| 39 MEXICO | SWEDEN | 39 | SWEDEN | FINLAND |


| 41 CHINESE TAIPEI | DENMARK | 41 POLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 41 CHINESE TAIPEI | FRANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 CHINA HK | POLAND | 42 FRANCE | CHINA HK | 42 CHINA HK | ICELAND |
| 43 ICELAND | FRANCE | 43 CANADA | ICELAND | 43 DENMARK | GERMANY |
| 44 INDIA | GERMANY | 44 GERMANY | PALESTINE | 44 PALESTINE | IRELAND |
| 45 SCOTLAND | IRELAND | 45 IRELAND | INDIA | 45 INDIA | TUNISIA |
| 46 USA | TUNISIA | 46 TUNISIA | SCOTLAND | 46 SCOTLAND | NEW ZEALAND |
| 47 ITALY | NEW ZEALAND | 47 NEW ZEALAND | USA | 47 USA | CHILE |
| 48 CHILE | CANADA | 48 CHILE | ITALY | 48 ITALY | CANADA |
| 49 PALESTINE | BYE | 49 DENMARK | BYE | 49 POLAND | BYE |



| Mroseses |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Women's A after ir 2 |  |
| TEAM | VP |
| 1 CHINA | 162.23 |
| 2 NORWAY | 158.24 |
| 3 TURKEY | 156.00 |
| 4 SWEDEN | 151.58 |
| 5 NETHERLANDS | 149.95 |
| 6 ENGLAND | 148.42 |
| 7 AUSTRALIA | 137.58 |
| 8 BRAZIL | 128.36 |
| 9 SPAIN | 119.76 |
| 10 FINLAND | 113.33 |
| 11 MEXICO | 108.78 |
| 12 EGYPT | 105.41 |
| 13 JAPAN | 100.29 |
| 14 SOUTH AFRICA | 96.64 |
| 15 KOREA | 96.00 |
| 16 JORDAN | 77.59 |
| 17 SAN MARINO | 75.12 |
| 18 PAKISTAN | 71.72 |


| Women's B diter i 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TEAM | VP |
| 1 FRANCE | 175.99 |
| 2 USA | 174.29 |
| 3 GERMANY | 165.44 |
| 4 ITALY | 152.84 |
| 5 POLAND | 148.36 |
| 6 NEW ZEALAND | 146.47 |
| 7 DENMARK | 134.96 |
| 8 CHINESE TAIPEI | 132.92 |
| 9 SCOTLAND | 126.22 |
| 10 CHILE | 122.79 |
| 11 CANADA | 120.40 |
| 12 CHINA HONG KONG | 108.25 |
| 13 IRELAND | 97.93 |
| 14 ICELAND | 94.32 |
| 15 INDIA | 62.53 |
| 16 PALESTINE | 56.20 |
| 17 TUNISIA | 42.09 |

## Badges !!

Players, please note that without a badge you will not be allowed into the playing area. If you lose your badge, replacing it will cost you 5 Euros.

## Huge Slam Swings (W RR7)



## Sweden v Japan



By Micke Melander
The match between Sweden and Japan in the Women's series started off slowly, but then it was as if Mount Fuji had exploded, as IMPs went flying here and there.
Before the slam session starts, let's see what happened when Sweden scored the first swing on board four. Ida Grönkvist held as South: void, Queen-ten to five, ace-third and Ace to five. Do you open in fourth seat when it goes pass-pass-pass to you?

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q QJ432 } \\ & \text { \& KJ } 7 \\ & \diamond \text { Q93 } \\ & \& J 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 10876 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 9 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10642$ | S |  |  |
| - Q 8 |  |  | 1092 |
|  | Q - |  |  |
|  | Q Q 10865 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 87 |  |  |
|  | - A 7653 |  |  |
| Closed Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sugiyama | Övelius | Iwahashi | 1 Grönkvist |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 2 | 28 | 38 |
| Pass | Pass | $3{ }^{2}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

In the Closed Room, Ida Grönkvist did open, and got rewarded when the Japanese finally came to stop in Three Spades. When it was doubled and there were no way for declarer to make it, there was bound to be a swing for Sweden, since the board was passed out in the Open Room.
Sugiyama actually went two down after receiving two rounds of clubs on the opening lead. She tried to make her contract by attempting to pull trumps. That eventually resulted in her losing control of trumps when the defense changed their strategy and attacked hearts. Two down was II IMPs for the Swedish Women.
In the Vugraph match, after a very similar start to the one above, Roy Welland was allowed to play $3 \bigcirc$ on a spade lead to the queen and ace. He ruffed and ducked a club. West won the Q Q to play the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and another heart. When declarer tried to ruff out the clubs, he was sunk by the bad
lie of the minor suits. What he needed to do was pointed out to me by Kevin Castner - the German npc. Instead of playing a club, ruff a spade, play A and ruff a club, then ruff another spade. This is the ending:


Declarer ruffs the spade, draws the last trump and exits in clubs to East, who is endplayed to lead back a diamond away from his King.


Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ahlesved | Shimazaki | M. Grönkvist Tsubaki |  |
| 20 | $4 i$ | 5 | 54 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugiyama | Övelius | Iwahashi | I Grönkvist |
| 180 | 19 | $2 \bigcirc$ | 24 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

The second swing of the set come on board eight. Shimazaki pre-empted and brought the bidding up all the way up to the five level. East, who didn't like to pass out with such a nice seven card heart suit, competed to Five Hearts and South went on with Five Spades.

A club to the ten and jack and a heart to the king and ace began the play. If East would have worked out to cash a second heart and play a third round, West would have been able to ruff high to promote an extra trump trick for East's singleton king. West can facilitate that by discarding a discouraging club at trick three. When that didn't happen, the contract was one off, as declarer made no mistake either in pulling trumps or with the finesse in diamonds. One down.
What about the Closed Room, where it really mattered how the defense would co-operate? Answer, copy and paste, 10 tricks for declarer and another II IMPs for the Swedish women. One might think that IfWest believes that there had been a second trick to win in the club suit, (having started with only five) that she would have known to cash it before the heart was returned in trick two.
Then came the slam session... and we can be pretty sure that Shimazaki-Tsubaki holds one of the longest bidding auctions so far in these championships. It is fascinating that a hand holding 4 HCPs manages to bid eight times including the finishing pass...

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.



Emma Övelius, Sweden

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ahlesved | Shimazaki | M. Grönkvist | Tsubaki |
|  |  |  | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $7 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 78 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugiyama | Övelius | Iwahashi | I Grönkvist |
|  |  |  | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 - | Pass | 31 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 59 |
| Dble | 68 | All Pass |  |

Apparently there were too many Japanese bids and something went completely wrong. A grand slam without the ace of trumps is rarely a good idea... and not this time either. When the Swedes stopped in six at the other table it was another 14 IMPs in their direction

Board 12 Dealer West. N/S Vul.

| , Q 75 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1042$ |  |
| $\diamond$ Q J 93 |  |
| ¢ J 52 |  |
| N | - AKJ 9 |
| W E | ¢K 63 |
| W E | $\checkmark 72$ |
| S | 2 A Q 73 |
| - 43 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 987 |  |
| $\checkmark 65$ |  |
| ¢ K 9864 |  |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ahlesved | Shimazaki | M. Grönkvist | Tsubaki |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 35 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| Closed Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sugiyama | Övelius | Iwahashi | I Grönkvist |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 69 | All Pass |

The Japanese team struck back on the next board. Six Spades didn't present any real problem for declarer after a heart lead. When her jack of hearts held the trick, declarer simply cashed two trumps and could cross-ruff for twelve tricks. A nice line - one that you might follow on a diamond lead as well? Here, though, you would need to guess the play when the Q did not fall and diamonds were not 3-3.

## Board I3. Dealer West. All Vul.

- A 1073

PA1063
$\diamond$ A 92

- J 10

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 194 | N | ¢ Q 852 |
| ๑J85 2 | W E | $\bigcirc$ KQ 94 |
| $\checkmark$ J 85 | W E | $\checkmark 103$ |
| \% 863 | S | ¢ 754 |
|  | , K 6 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ 764 |  |
|  | \& AKQ 92 |  |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ahlesved | Shimazaki | M. Grönkvist Tsubaki |  |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \$$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugiyama | Övelius | Iwahashi | I Grönkvist |
|  | 1\% | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Dble | 49 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | 7NT | All Pass |  |

Övelius initially knew that South held 5-5 in the minors and a singleton heart with at least game-forcing values. Later on when she thought she had ten minor-suit winners and ace-king-ace in the majors she simply jumped to the grand slam since she could count to thirteen. Right she was.
When the diamonds broke nicely for declarer and neither of them had any problem scoring 13 tricks it was another 13 IMPs to Sweden.
Back to the Vu-Graph match between Germany and ChineseTaipei. My favourite Blackwood hand of the event so far came up when Auken-Welland bid this hand as follows:
lene (GF relay)-INT-3 8 (5-5 minors splinter in hearts) $4 \diamond(\mathrm{KCB}$ for $\diamond)$. At this point Welland started counting on his fingers, eventually removing his shoes and using his toes as well. Finally he emerged with 5NT.This showed precisely $K x / x / K Q(?) x x / A K Q x x$. After showing two keycards and the trump queen he promised in order the club king, spade king, club queen and no spade queen. All in one bid! But I guess we would all bid the same way...
The match finally ended 53-24 to Sweden or 16.58-3.42, which put the team on more secure grounds in the standing to get to the top eight; but there is still a long way to go.

## SIMPLY WORLD CLASS

The Best Bridgefestival in the World
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## Open Teams Group B RR7



## By Ram Soffer

Monaco, one of the favourites to qualify from Group B, had a poor start to the tournament. After six of 17 rounds, they were languishing in 14th place, but there was still time to get back on track for a top-five finish. In round 7 they faced Norway.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
, QJ 53
$\bigcirc 105$
$\diamond$ Q 7642
2 K 8


West held one of those hands, which can either bid a negative double or try a trap pass. Two clubs doubled might be defeated by one trick, but the defence would have to be very accurate. In addition, North-South might run away to two diamonds, making four.
As Helgemo's clubs were not particularly good, he preferred a negative double with four spades and heart tolerance. Helness invited game with 34, and that became the final contract.
Beating this contract demanded precise defence. The first requirement was cashing two diamond tricks early, but Hellingsen led the $\diamond A$ and continued with $\%$ and another club. Helness ruffed and cashed three rounds of hearts, discarding a diamond from dummy while North ruffed with a natural trick. The rest was easy - declarer lost just one more spade. Monaco +l40.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graesli | Multon | P. Eide | Zimmermann |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Zimmermann preferred to pass I4, so Norway enjoyed
an uncontested auction. Yet West overbid at his second turn, getting his side to a hopeless game. Monaco did cash two diamonds early and eventually got five tricks for +100 and an early 6-0 lead.
By the time the following board was played, Monaco had added 2 overtrick IMPs to their score.

## Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

, K 83
คK 84
$\diamond A 832$

- 187

```
$9
&AJ763
< 4
2 Q65 32
```

$W^{N} \quad$ E
, A Q 105

〔」7642
$\bigcirc 92$
$\diamond$ J 97
$\%$ K 109

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | L. Eide | Helness | Ellingsen |
| Graesli | Multon | P. Eide | Zimmermann |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

Both Easts opened INT with 14 HCP , and the auctions to $4 \checkmark$ were identical.
Ellingsen led a low spade, to the king and East's ace. Helness played a diamond to the king, which lost to North's ace, won the next spade and played and another club. South won KK and played a third spade, ruffed. Now declarer entered his hand with $\diamond Q$ and the


Petter Eide, Norway
trump finesse lost, but he was still guaranteed ten tricks.
At the other table, Zimmermann also led a spade, and the first three tricks were identical. Then Eide finessed trump before touching clubs. The next spade was ruffed and now declarer didn't wish to continue trumps before clarifying the club position. When Zimmermann won the CK he played a fourth round of spades. Declarer could still make it by ruffing high in dummy, but for some reason he allowed North to overruff and Norway lost 12 more IMPs because of careless play.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- A 1096542
- K 7
$\diamond$ K 43
\& 7

| ¢ 17 | N | , K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 10832 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 952 |  | $\checkmark 876$ |
| * AKJ 85 | S | ¢ 64 |
|  | ¢ Q 83 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 965$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJIO |  |
|  | 2 Q 1093 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | L. Eide | Helness | Ellingsen |
| Graesli | Multon | P. Eide | Zimmermann |
| $1 \$$ | 19 | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

Once again, identical auctions got both Easts to a $4 \checkmark$ contract, which only Monaco managed to make. This time the swing was due to a defender's fault rather than declarer's. By the way, one must note that both N/S pairs did poorly in the bidding since 4s was very hard to beat on normal play (and indeed was bid and made at many tables). Perhaps it was worth bidding more than is with the North cards.
In this match, both Souths led their partner's suit against $4 \checkmark$, East dropping his singleton king under the ace. Lars Eide may have suspected that Helness was falsecarding from $\uparrow K Q 8$. He continued spades, and the Monaco declarer took advantage of the opportunity by ruffing, entering dummy in clubs, finessing hearts, dropping North's trump king in the next round and finally finessing clubs for +420 .
Petter Eide, declaring at the other table, was less fortunate as Franck Multon accurately switched to a low diamond (the only chance in view of dummy's strong clubs and his own fragile trump holding). When Zimmermann won the trick with $\diamond$ A and continued with the $\diamond$ J, it was all over. Thus Monaco took a commanding 35-0 lead at half time.
Even though Norway got on the scoreboard on Board 9, the trend continued. The final six boards contained no fewer than two small slams and two grand slams. Monaco's pairs got it right every time, while Norway faltered twice.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.


South had 24 HCP of pure controls, a huge hand in a slam context. After 2NT, North transferred to hearts at the three level and raised to game. According to most good pairs, this is a mild slam invitation. The logical conclusion is that with such a prime hand South should have explored further. At the table he didn't - Norway +480 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graesli | Multon | P. Eide | Zimmermann |
|  |  |  | 29 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 21 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |



Action in the Closed Room

Zimmermann-Multon used the Kokish relay on the way to 2NT. Multon liked his hand more than his Norwegian counterpart, as after the three level transfer he jumped to 5\%, indicating a void. In my opinion, Zimmermann could now ask for trump honors with 5NT. Instead he cue-bid twice, also showing grand-slam interest, but his partner's hand was not good enough. After the trump jack dropped in the second round, Monaco scored +980 .

| Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -10953 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 10752$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark 52$ |  |  |
| - 542 |  |  |
| - - | N | , AKQJ842 |
| PA843 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KQJ}$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 83 | W E | $\diamond$ K 7 |
| * AK 1073 | S | * Q |
| - 76 |  |  |
| 896 |  |  |
| $\triangleleft$ Q 10964 |  |  |
| \% 1986 |  |  |

This should have been one of the easiest deals in this set. E/W have 37 HCP and 13 top tricks in notrump even in the case of a bad spade break. However, the Norwegian E/W pair messed it up.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | L. Eide | Helness | Ellingsen |
| 18 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 7NT | All Pass |

Helgemo-Helness used an old-fashioned strong jump shift and it worked pretty well, since Helness remembered to ask for aces before the bidding got past 4NT. Facing a hand with three aces, he had an obvious 7NT bid.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graesli | Multon | P. Eide | Zimmermann |
| 19 | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $6\rangle$ | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

I $\triangleleft$ was a transfer to spades, and $2 \triangleleft$ was artificial and forcing, after which 3s showed a game-forcing hand with $6+$ spades. Petter Eide's next bid of $4 \diamond$ was most unfortunate, but perhaps he didn't have an ace-asking bid, as many partnership play 4NT over 3NT as natural and invitational. In general, modern slam-bidding theory downgrades the importance of using Blackwood, yet in some hands this good old convention is a must!
After East's $4 \diamond$, his partner thought that he had real diamonds and bid $6 \diamond$. This was disastrous, as East could no longer ask for aces and he had no particular reason to believe that his partner had all three of the missing ones.
This I3-IMP swing completed a 67-7 rout. Monaco managed to get all 20 VPs at the expense of Norway, vastly improving their standings, but they still have a lot of work to do to qualify for the next stage.


Pierre Zimmermann, Monaco

## World Championship Book 2016 - Wroclaw

The official book of these championships will be ready around April next year. It will consist of approximately 350 large full colour pages and will include coverage of all the championship events, with particular emphasis on the latter stages of the Open and Women's Teams. There will be a full results service and many colour photographs.

The principle analysts, as in recent years, will be John Carruthers, Barry Rigal,
Brian Senior and Geo Tislevoll, probably backed up by one or two guest writers who have not yet been confirmed.

> On publication, the official retail price will be US $\$ 35$ plus whatever your local bookseller charges for postage. For the duration of the championships, you can pre-order via Jan Swaan in the Press Room at the reduced price of 100 Zlotys, 25 Euros, or 30 US\$, including postage.

Alternatively, you can pay the same prices via Paypal to Brian Senior at bsenior@hotmail.com
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## Open Teams RR 8



## Ireland v Austria



By Jos Jacobs
After seven rounds of play, Austria were leading group $C$ and Ireland were in fourth position, so this match looked a good one to watch. My idea that the teams would provide action proved entirely mistaken (for the time being) as after eight boards, on no less than six of which Austria had scored, since the score stood at 9-0 to Austria. Good for board-a-match, not so good for IMPs...
But on board 25 the Austrian scoring moved into slightly higher gear when the Irish overbid a bit in the Open Room:

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | - Q 965 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢KJ965 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 5 |  |  |
|  | \% AQ |  |  |
| ¢ J 8 | N |  | ¢ K 10742 |
| $\bigcirc 107$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ A 32 |
| $\diamond$ Q 1032 |  |  | $\diamond$ K 74 |
| * KJ763 | S |  | \% 82 |
|  | - A 3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 84 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 986 |  |  |
|  | -10954 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C. Terraneo | Moran | Simon | Boland |
|  | 18 | 19 | Dble |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pa |  |

There is actually no game on for N/S and their combined balanced hands do not contain sufficient HCP to convincingly bid it. Still, 3NT would have come home easily on a spade lead by East, but when N/S reached $4 \checkmark$ instead, East's trump lead immediately ended all declarer's hopes. Austria +50 .
In the other room, the Austrians were not tempted when North opened INT.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carroll | F. Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder |
|  | INT | All Pass |  |

On the now almost automatic spade lead, Franz Terraneo easily came to nine tricks, Austria +150 for another 5 IMPs, by far the biggest swing of the match at that point.
Would the tide turn? Yes, it would!

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
, 865
$\bigcirc$ AKQ 765
$\triangleleft 952$
2 A
, K Q 942
४932
$\diamond 4$
89854

## West

C. Terraneo

| IS | $2 \checkmark$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dble | 3 |

East

| Simon | Boland |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Dble | $2 \hookleftarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \triangleright$ |

## All Pass

I $\diamond$ showed at least a doubleton and East's double of $2 \diamond$ showed exactly three spades. This should have been a board without history but it was not, as we shall see.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carroll | F Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | $3 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

When N/S were not on the same wavelength, 38 suddenly became the final contract.. East led a Rusinow $\diamond$ J, which actually enabled declarer to make 12 tricks for +230 but still a 9-IMP swing back to Ireland.


Wolfgang Bieder, Austria

On the next board, Ireland took the lead:
Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- A 8
$\bigcirc$ A 108754
$\diamond$ K 872
* 9
-」 972
- J 9
$\diamond$ AJ 6
\& K 1087

, Q 10654
- Q 62
$\diamond 9$
2 Q 654
4 K 3
- K 3
$\triangleleft$ Q 10543
\& AJ 32

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. Terraneo | Moran | Simon | Boland |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |

All Pass
After a natural bidding (2 being 4th suit) North called it a day when partner showed only one key card. On the actual layout of the hand, he was quite right. Boland then showed a fine safety-play. He won the spade lead in hand, led a diamond to the king which held and then played on hearts. It would have been only if West had been able to ruff the $\nabla K$ and cash the $\triangleleft A$ that he would have gone down but in that case, he would have gone down whatever he did. A disciplined auction to a deserved +400 to Ireland.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carroll | F. Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $6 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South's is rebid showed 5-4 in the minors and North's 24 thus was 4th suit. The rest was natural with a cue-bid here and there. When South heard about partner's three keycards, he bid the slam with some confidence, only to find out that he could not bring in the trump suit for one loser without being helped by inspiration - or by an opposing double, as we saw in another match where declarer made an overtrick in $5 \triangleleft$ doubled. One down, Ireland another +50 and 10 more IMPs to lead 19-14 at this point.
The next board was another slam:

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 86 |  |
| \& A 952 |  |  | ¢ Q J 864 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 6 |  |  | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| $\diamond$ K 1095 | W | E | $\diamond$ AJ 763 |
| \& Q J 4 |  |  | - $A 2$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 432 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C Terraneo | Moran | Simon | Boland |
| INT | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 4\% | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | Redbl | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

West, with his minimum INT, showed a good hand for a spade contract with his jump but then signed off at his first chance to do so. Slam by East is not terrible, but by West is rather ambitious as it would need the trumps to come in without a loser, as well as the diamonds coming in for five tricks or a working club finesse on a club lead by North.
Austria +450 when declarer won the club lead, drew trumps in two rounds and continued $\diamond K$ and another, finessing the $\diamond Q$ through North - an interesting but at teams a basically irrelevant matchpoint play.
In the other room, declarer had some more indications:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carroll | F Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder |
| INT | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 39 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 59 | Pass |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |

The Irish were in the ambitious slam and here, too, North led the 10 . Declarer had to finesse, so South won the king and returned a heart. Declarer won his ace and ruffed his last heart in dummy, noting the fall of the SIO 9 with interest. Trumps were drawn and now, it looked as if North would have more empty spaces than South, so at this table as well, declarer tried the diamond finesse through North. One off, Austria another +50 and II IMPs back to immediately regain the lead.
Note that if slam is played by East he can draw trump and then play a diamond to the king, and take the diamond finesse in complete confidence. If South wins he will be endplayed.
The next board settled the issue in improbable fashion, taking into account that the match was transmitted live on BBO.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.
K 8
ค 1073
$\triangleleft$ A Q 8763
$\% 6$

- Q 3

ค19652
$\diamond 4$
\& Q J 532

| N | - A 542 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ K 84 |
| W E | $\diamond 10$ |
| S | 4 A 10984 |
| \& J 10976 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ 952 |  |
| \& K |  |


| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| C. Terraneo | Moran |
|  | Pass |
| $4 \odot$ | All Pass |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Simon | Boland |
| $2 \diamond$ | 2 |

East's $2 \triangleleft$ showed a three-suited opening bid with short diamonds, so Christian Terraneo had no trouble in jumping straight to game after the 2 overcall. Diamonds were thus mentioned in the auction by East only...
North made the unlucky lead of the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$. Declarer won the ace and led a low trump off the board to South's queen. The ${ }^{2}$ came back and now, North ruffed declarer's $\uparrow$ Q and cashed his $\diamond$ A before the revoke had been dealt with. As a consequence of the subsequent penalty tricks, declarer did not need to drop the blank N any more but he later told me that he definitely would have done so, in view of the earlier bidding and play, had it been necessary, The score was registered as +620 to Austria.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carroll | F. Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder |
|  | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \downarrow$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $4 \Phi$ | Dble | All Pass |



Mark Moran, Ireland

In the other room, we saw another Irish accident. After the same start of the auction, North did find a raise to 4i, but he did not quite choose the right moment. Or did he? West led a heart to the king and ace and declarer tried the effect of a spade to dummy's king. (Yes running the jack was better). East, holding $4 \mathrm{~A} \times \mathrm{x}$, had an automatic duck but when declarer continued the suit, he fell from grace and played his ace. Suddenly, declarer was in full trump control so the forcing game in clubs could not hurt him any longer. One overtrick, Austria another +990 and 17 more IMPs to lead 42-I9
Please note that neither N/S pair came anywhere near the cold $5 \triangleleft$ contract...
The penultimate board proved a classic case of judgement:

Board 3I. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 52
$\bigcirc$ A Q 7
$\diamond$ Q 1043
- 8742

| ¢ 763 |  |  | ¢ K 10984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -K984 |  | E |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 98 | W | E | $\checkmark$ KJ65 2 |
| \& 1063 |  |  | - ${ }^{\text {a } 9}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 32 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| C. Terraneo | Moran | Simon | Boland |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | 38 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 49 | Dble | All Pa |  |

After East's 3s two-suited overcall, it was no surprise that everybody was in the dark - as you can see from the subsequent bidding.
North made the best lead of a trump. South won and continued the 2 K won by declarer. $\vee \mathrm{J}$ to North's queen and two more rounds of trumps, followed by the top clubs. As declarer could not avoid the loss of one diamond trick as well, he was down three with no game on for N/S. Ireland +500 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Carroll | F. Terraneo | Garvey | Bieder <br>  <br>  <br> Pass |
| $2 \oslash$ |  | $1 \varnothing$ |  |
| All Pass |  | Dble | $3 \varnothing$ |

When Garvey made a more modest noise over $2 \triangleleft$ than his Austrian counterpart, Carroll was not tempted. Three Hearts made in peace for +140 to Austria but 8 IMPs to Ireland who thus lost $27-45$ or 5.4 - I4.6 VP.Austria would stay top of the group table for the time being.

## Women's Teams Group B RR9



## Chinese Taipei v USA

## By David Stern

As a journalist, choosing which match to cover is usually a bit of a crap shoot. One tries to find matches that are important in terms of qualifying or which have players of interest or teams with which one has an affinity.
For the current match however, my selection was based on a desire to become more immersed in the Women's tournament rather than the more traditional Open or even Seniors where I am captaining the Australian Team.
Having just blitzed China Hong Kong in Round 8 of the Women's Championship, USA were sitting in 2nd place while Chinese Taipei, having just lost 20 IMPs to Italy, held the last qualifying 8th spot in the Group.
After ten boards I turned to Brent Manley and suggested that, with the scores at IO IMPs for both teams, perhaps my selection may have been less than optimal. Was I wrong! Strap yourself in and let's go.
Board I saw a game swing.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢ 76 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PQ642 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q J 3 |  |  |
|  | \% K 102 |  |  |
| ¢ KJ5 4 | N |  | ( A Q 83 |
| $\bigcirc 875$ | W E |  | $\bigcirc$ A |
| $\checkmark 984$ |  |  | $\diamond$ K 7652 |
| ¢ 53 | S |  | * AQ 9 |
|  | -1092 |  |  |
|  | ¢ K J 1093 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ 8764 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Wu | Deas | So |
|  | I $\diamond$ | Dble | Rdbl |
| 14 | 28 | 4. | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Liu | Palmer | Lin | Shi |
|  | I $\diamond$ | Dble | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Dble | Pass |
| 24 | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room East, Lin for Chinese Taipei doubled twice to show her 19 count and having 'forced' a 24 bid from partner, which in fairness could have been a three-
card suit after the opponents claimed the red suits already, saw little future in the hand - making nine tricks and +140 .
In the Open Room, Deas took a much rosier view of her hand, jumping straight to 4s when West, Sanborn for USA, volunteered a ls bid over South's redouble. In fairness, an influencing factor in the 4s bid was probably that the opening bid was on her right and that this could prove positive for the play of the hand - making 10 tricks +420 and 6 IMPs for the USA.
Board 3 saw 4 IMPs for Chinese Taipei when they rested in $2 \triangleleft$ making, while the USA bid to $3 \checkmark$ and failed by a trick. Chinese Taipei 4 - USA 7.
Board 4 saw a reversal of what took place on Board I when USA when the USA paid out 7
IMPs for an aggressive reach to 49.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanborn | Wu | Deas | So |
| Pass | I $\diamond$ | Pass | $1 \Phi$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \Phi$ |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Liu | Palmer | Lin | Shi |
| Pass | 19 | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \$$ |
| Pass | $2 \varphi$ | Pass | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

All Pass
In the Open Room playing Precision, North opened $\mid \triangleleft$, which could have been as short as zero in that suit. North rebid INT after hearing Is from partner. It isn't clear from their methods whether N/S raised on three card suits, but South opted to rebid 24 having consideration for the fact that partner could possibly hold just three clubs. If methods allowed, some would likely consider an invitational checkback on the South hand, which has marginal game values that certainly improve if partner has three-card support and a maximum.

In the Closed Room, Palmer for U.S.A took an optimistic view of her hand after partner's raise to 24, perhaps in part encouraged by her length in diamonds and partner's possible shortage in that suit. The defense was accurate, playing three rounds of trumps after getting in with a diamond. when they won the second diamond and played three rounds of trumps denying declarer any diamond ruffs. That was -200 together with the -IIO and 7 IMPs to Chinese Taipei for an II-7 lead.
A few minor blows saw us arrive at Board II with the same 4 IMP margin (I4-I0 for Chinese Taipei) and here the action started.
Let's examine Board II as a lead problem. Sitting South you hear the following auction and hold:
\& Q $108 \vee 10653 \diamond 862 \%$ Q 86

| West | North | East | South <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INT | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass |
| $4 \Phi$ | Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Your lead! Partner didn't double 4s and the club looks dangerous, so it seems to be down to the red suits. In the Closed Room Shi, South for USA, led the $\diamond 6$ and that proved fatal when the defense had a cashing ace which evaporated without that suit being led.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 976432
-A872
$\diamond 5$
- 103
- AK 5
©KJ9 4
$\diamond$ A 974
942


Sylvia Shi, USA

The Open Room played the optimal contract of $6 \diamond$, so Chinese Taipei gathered II IMPs for the fortunate lead in the Closed Room. Chinese Taipei was up 25-IO.
Things got worse for the USA on the following board.
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
¢ K 10984
8 KJ2
$\diamond 85$
\& AK 4

- 62
○A975
$\diamond$ J 764
\& 975

Q 753
$\&$ Q86
$\diamond A Q 109$
-32

Both Rooms played in 3NT after an unopposed auction by South in the Open Room and North on the Closed Room.
In the Open Room, South received the heart lead, East winning the queen and West ducking the continuation. Declarer won the heart, cashed five rounds of clubs and exited with hearts hoping for something good to happen. West cashed the last heart and exited with diamonds giving declarer her ninth trick in this ending:


If East had held on to 4 Q 7 and $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ after the last heart was cashed, declarer could have won a spade from West ducked by East and either cashed a spade and exited with a diamond or played a low diamond.
In the Closed Room East led a diamond and declarer won the second round and quite reasonably finessed West for the $\mathscr{L Q}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ losing five tricks and 12 more IMPs to Chinese Taipei who led 37-I0.
The Americans struck back on board 13 .

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | $$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& K } 107 \\ & \& \text { A Q J } 84 \\ & \diamond 6 \\ & \$ J 654 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - A 864 |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc 10976$ |
|  |  | E | $\diamond$ K 832 |
|  | S |  | - 7 |
|  | - Q 95 |  |  |
|  | ¢ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J10 74 |  |  |
|  | * AKQ 93 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sanborn | Wu | Deas | So |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| 2. | Pass | 48 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Liu | Palmer | Lin | Shi |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 3 | INT All Pass |

Interestingly, both Souths opened INT, notwithstanding the singleton $\$$ K. I have undertaken some simulations on this theme and it is a winner to consider opening INT in this situation so I won't offer an opinion on the bid.
West in the Open Room showed four clubs and a fivecard major. It isn't clear whether Deas' 4$\rangle$ response was correctible to partner's major, but in this case it didn't matter. Liu, West for Chinese Taipei in the Closed Room, bid $2 \diamond$ to show a single-suited major, following which East took a less-optimistic approach by bidding just $3 \bigcirc$.
One could probably imagine this contract going down on many occasions, but this was not one of them. With hearts and diamonds behaving for declarer, Deas made +650 while Lin scored +170 and 10 IMPs for the USA. Chinese Taipei 37 - USA 20.
As bridge journalists, we strive to be sympathetic with players' actions at the table and polite and courteous in describing them in text. On this occasion, the struggle is too great.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& A Q } 8 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } 62 \\ & \diamond 2 \\ & \text { Q Q J } 7654 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 65 | N | -1074 |
| 81053 |  | $\bigcirc 17$ |
| $\diamond$ AKQ963 |  | $\diamond$ J108754 |
| -109 | S | - K 3 |
|  | - KJ932 |  |
|  | -AK984 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  | 2 A 82 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sanborn | Wu | Deas | So |
|  |  | $2 \checkmark$ | $4 \checkmark$ |
| 5 | 58 | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Liu | Palmer | Lin | Shi |
|  |  | Pass | 14 |
| 3 - | $4{ }^{4}$ | 7 8 !!!!! | Dble |

All Pass

It's taking a big position to bid $7 \diamond$ with the East hand. It's true that North-South are cold for $7 \Omega$, but they had not even bid a small slam at that point. The resulting minus 1400 is no surprise - and it would have been worse if they had pushed the opponents to the grand. You could argue that North in the other room should also have done more, of course. That was 13 IMPs to USA: Chinese Taipei 37 USA 33.
On Board 15 would you consider opening with
\& 7 K 10865 \& AQ 965 2?
It certainly wouldn't be everybody's cup of tea.
Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- KJ854
© J 4
$\checkmark$ AJ 52
- 108


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sanborn | Wu | Deas | So |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Dble | 14 | 2 - | 3\% |
| Pass | 3NT | Dble | 4* |

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Liu | East <br> Palmer | South <br> Shi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Is | Is | INT | Pass <br> All Pass |

While the scoresheet shows 4\% failing by three tricks, the play record shows that it failed by just two tricks.
Anyway, USA gained 31 IMPs on boards 13, 14 and 15, losing 42-4I when they dropped 5 IMPs on the final board.

## Taking the fifth (OB RRIO)



By Brent Manley
This headline is a reference to the U.S. legal principle that an accused person cannot be made to testify against himself (the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution). In the context of the World Bridge Games, it's a reference to the goal of teams now in the round robin: finishing fifth place or higher so as to make it to the knockout stage.
Going into round 10 of the qualifying, USA in the Open Series (Group B) were in need of a boost. Despite a near blitz against Pakistan in the ninth round, the Americans were lodged in seventh place and looking to move up.
Hoping to keep the Americans down were the fourthplace team from Iceland. USA sent Kevin Bathurst and Justin Lall against Adalsteinn Jorgensen and Birkir Jonsson. At the other table, Iceland's Karl Sigurhjartarson and Snorri Karlsson went against Eric Greco and Geoff Hampson.
The Americans drew blood on the first deal:
Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 854

คK 10632
$\diamond 1096$

- J 2

4 1932
ค9874
$\diamond 82$
\& 1063

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bathurst | Norg'sen <br> Pass | Lall <br> Jo** | Jonsson <br> Dble* |
| Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | $4 N T$ | Dble |
| 5\& | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Lall's Is was Precision: 16+ HCP, any hand. South's double showed the majors.
Lall ruffed the opening lead of the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$ and played the 2 K , ducked by South. Lall cashed three high diamonds and exited with a low club. Jonsson won but could do no better than cash his $₫$ A. That was plus 550 for USA, good for 4 IMPs (East played the same contract undoubled at the other table).
Iceland took the lead on this deal:

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
$\perp 10$
-K9762
$\diamond$ Q 64
\& A 863

| ¢ AK65 2 | N | ¢ Q 874 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | $\bigcirc 10853$ |
| $\diamond$ AKJ 83 | W E | $\diamond 9$ |
| \& J 5 | S | 2 K 1094 |
|  | ¢ J 93 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 4 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10752$ |  |
|  | \% Q 72 |  |

In the closed room, Sigurhjartarson and Karlsson stopped in 4s with the East/West cards, making six. Declarer got a diamond lead to his jack. He ruffed a low diamond, pulled trumps and later led the ${ }^{2}$, putting up the king when North played low: plus 680 for Iceland.
Bathurst and Lall were more ambitious in the open room.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bathurst | Jorg'sen | Lall | Jonsson |
| 10* | 18 | Dble | $2 \vee$ |
| 3 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 48 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

Note that the first time spades were bid was at the six level. Now all Lall had to do was make it. Dummy's ace won the opening heart lead. Lall cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and ruffed a diamond, ruffed a heart and ruffed another diamond. He


Karl Sigurhjartarson, Iceland


Justin Lall, USA
then pulled trumps and called for the ${ }^{2}$. North followed low smoothly. After long thought, Lall let the jack ride. The defenders quickly took their two club tricks for one down and 13 IMPs to Iceland.
The score was 14-5 for Iceland when this board came along:

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.


Sigurhjartarson won the opening lead of the in dummy and played the $\diamond I 0$, ducked all around. He played a diamond to the jack and his ace and continued the suit. North won the $\triangleleft K$ and played a spade: jack, queen, low.A second spade was ducked and a third round cleared the suit. The $\% 10$ went to the queen and dummy's king and another club put North on lead to exit with the $\odot 4$, which went to declarer's 10, South playing low. Declarer took three
diamonds, two clubs, two hearts and the ©A for plus 120 .
The auction for Lall and Bathurst went awry at the other table as they landed in an awkward, no-play contract of $5 \diamond$, which finished two down for minus 100 and 6 IMPs to Iceland, now up 20-6.
The USA comeback began on board 28, when the Americans went plus at both tables to gain 4 IMPs and pull to within 22-10. This deal, the penultimate, put the Americans ahead:

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.


Lall had no trouble with this contract. Jonsson started with a high heart, switching to the $₫ \mathrm{Q}$ at trick two. Lall won the $\varphi \mathrm{A}$, cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ and continued with the $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Q}$, covered by the king and ace. He ruffed a heart at trick five then cashed the jand gave up a club. He scored plus 400, losing only a heart and a club.
At the other table, East-West reached a silly contract and were duly punished.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sigur'son | Hampson | Karlsson | Greco <br> Ha |
| Pass | Pass | INT | 28 |
| 2 2 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Diamonds never entered the picture for Sigurhjartarson and Karlsson. It was over quickly. Greco cashed five heart tricks for plus 50 and a I0-IMP swing to USA, now leading 30-23.
USA put the icing on the cake on the final board of the match, scoring plus 400 on misdefense against 3 NT while declarer in the same contract at the other table went down two tricks. That was II IMPs to USA, 4I-23 winners after trailing for much of the match.
Despite the win, USA did not move up in the Group B standings, but Iceland fell from fourth to ninth.

## Senior Teams

## Mixed Teams

| RR \| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 EGYPT | NEW ZEALAND | 32 | 19 | 13.97 | 6.03 | 71 NETHERLANDS | NEW ZEALAND | 37 | 20 | 14.93 | 5.07 |
| 52 FRANCE | GERMANY | 30 | 19 | 13.45 | 6.55 | 72 USA | SWEDEN | 44 | 0 | 19.24 | 0.76 |
| 53 CANADA | CHINA | 28 | 24 | 11.38 | 8.62 | 73 ENGLAND | UAE | 35 | 29 | 12.01 | 7.99 |
| 54 POLAND | ISRAEL | 27 | 45 | 4.85 | 15.15 | 74 DENMARK | POLAND | 24 | 17 | 12.31 | 7.69 |
| 55 CHINA HK | TURKEY | 19 | 13 | 12.01 | 7.99 | 75 BRAZIL | JAPAN | 21 | 30 | 7.10 | 12.90 |
| 56 AUSTRALIA | DENMARK | 5 | 19 | 5.78 | 14.22 | 76 INDIA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 14 | 34 | 4.42 | 15.58 |
| 57 INDIA | NORWAY | 49 | 10 | 18.66 | 1.34 | 77 ITALY | CHINA | 16 | 57 | 1.10 | 18.90 |
| 58 MEXICO | SPAIN | 35 | 13 | 15.99 | 4.01 | 78 FRANCE | GERMANY | 21 | 24 | 8.95 | 11.05 |
| 59 CHINESE TAIPEI | BRAZIL | 12 | 43 | 2.44 | 17.56 | 79 ISRAEL | IRELAND | 35 | 17 | 15.15 | 4.85 |
| 60 ITALY | USA | 8 | 18 | 6.82 | 13.18 | 80 RUSSIA | BULGARIA | 25 | 33 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 61 PAKISTAN | ENGLAND | 15 | 10 | 11.70 | 8.30 | 81 TURKEY | AUSTRALIA | 28 | 11 | 14.93 | 5.07 |
| 62 SWEDEN | JAPAN | 57 | 20 | 18.41 | 1.59 | 82 HUNGARY | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| RR 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 NEW ZEALAND | PAKISTAN | 19 | 45 | 3.27 | 16.73 | 71 NEW ZEALAND | RUSSIA | 13 | 37 | 3.63 | 16.37 |
| 52 SWEDEN | ITALY | 32 | 12 | 15.58 | 4.42 | 72 TURKEY | ISRAEL | 55 | 22 | 17.86 | 2.14 |
| 53 JAPAN | CHINESE TAIPEI | 24 | 29 | 8.30 | 11.70 | 73 AUSTRALIA | FRANCE | 26 | 64 | 1.46 | 18.54 |
| 54 ENGLAND | MEXICO | 28 | 22 | 12.01 | 7.99 | 74 BULGARIA | ITALY | 58 | 4 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 55 USA | INDIA | 21 | 31 | 6.82 | 13.18 | 75 IRELAND | INDIA | 22 | 27 | 8.30 | 11.70 |
| 56 BRAZIL | AUSTRALIA | 15 | 18 | 8.95 | 11.05 | 76 GERMANY | BRAZIL | 51 | 8 | 19.13 | 0.87 |
| 57 SPAIN | CHINA HK | 23 | 41 | 4.85 | 15.15 | 77 CHINA | DENMARK | 38 | 49 | 6.55 | 13.45 |
| 58 NORWAY | POLAND | 39 | 6 | 17.86 | 2.14 | 78 CHINESE TAIPEI | ENGLAND | 35 | 31 | 11.38 | 8.62 |
| 59 DENMARK | CANADA | 49 | 4 | 19.34 | 0.66 | 79 POLAND | USA | 35 | 24 | 13.45 | 6.55 |
| 60 TURKEY | FRANCE | 21 | 24 | 8.95 | 11.05 | 80 UAE | NETHERLANDS | 29 | 63 | 2.00 | 18.00 |
| 61 ISRAEL | EGYPT | 34 | 16 | 15.15 | 4.85 | 81 HUNGARY | SWEDEN | 26 | 8 | 15.15 | 4.85 |
| 62 CHINA | GERMANY | 56 | 11 | 19.34 | 0.66 | 82 JAPAN | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |
| RR 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 GERMANY | NEW ZEALAND | 6 | 23 | 5.07 | 14.93 | 71 SWEDEN | NEW ZEALAND | 54 | 25 | 17.24 | 2.76 |
| 52 EGYPT | CHINA | 7 | 24 | 5.07 | 14.93 | 72 NETHERLANDS | HUNGARY | 38 | 0 | 18.54 | 1.46 |
| 53 FRANCE | ISRAEL | 37 | 12 | 16.55 | 3.45 | 73 USA | UAE | 34 | 13 | 15.79 | 4.21 |
| 54 CANADA | TURKEY | 19 | 20 | 9.64 | 10.36 | 74 ENGLAND | JAPAN | 20 | 15 | 11.70 | 8.30 |
| 55 POLAND | DENMARK | 15 | 10 | 11.70 | 8.30 | 75 DENMARK | CHINESE TAIPEI | 39 | 7 | 17.71 | 2.29 |
| 56 CHINA HK | NORWAY | 17 | 21 | 8.62 | 11.38 | 76 BRAZIL | CHINA | 44 | 22 | 15.99 | 4.01 |
| 57 AUSTRALIA | SPAIN | 21 | 6 | 14.46 | 3.54 | 77 INDIA | GERMANY | 25 | 11 | 14.22 | 5.78 |
| 58 INDIA | BRAZIL | 37 | 19 | 15.15 | 4.85 | 78 ITALY | IRELAND | 15 | 23 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 59 MEXICO | USA | 17 | 38 | 4.21 | 15.79 | 79 FRANCE | BULGARIA | 5 | 13 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 60 CHINESE TAIPEI | ENGLAND | 17 | 20 | 8.95 | 11.05 | 80 ISRAEL | AUSTRALIA | 10 | 36 | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 61 ITALY | JAPAN | 16 | 3 | 13.97 | 6.03 | 81 RUSSIA | TURKEY | 21 | 7 | 14.22 | 5.78 |
| 62 PAKISTAN | SWEDEN | 3 | 11 | 7.39 | 12.61 | 82 POLAND | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0.00 |


| RR 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 NEW ZEALAND | CHINA HK | 16 | 4 | 13.71 | 6.29 | 71 NEW ZEALAND | DENMARK | 2 | 40 | 1.46 | 18.54 |
| 52 AUSTRALIA | POLAND | 45 | 6 | 18.66 | 1.34 | 72 BRAZIL | ENGLAND | 16 | 55 | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| 53 INDIA | CANADA | 2 | 20 | 4.85 | 15.15 | 73 ITALY | USA | 26 | 12 | 14.22 | 5.78 |
| 54 MEXICO | FRANCE | 3 | 63 | -6.00 | 20.00 | 74 FRANCE | NETHERLANDS | 41 | 12 | 17.24 | 2.76 |
| 55 CHINESE TAIPEI | EGYPT | 6 | 25 | 4.63 | 15.37 | 75 ISRAEL | SWEDEN | 24 | 40 | 5.30 | 14.70 |
| 56 ITALY | GERMANY | 25 | 26 | 9.64 | 10.36 | 76 RUSSIA | HUNGARY | 50 | 6 | 19.24 | 0.76 |
| 57 PAKISTAN | CHINA | 8 | 38 | 2.60 | 17.40 | 77 TURKEY | UAE | 32 | 11 | 15.79 | 4.21 |
| 58 SWEDEN | ISRAEL | 35 | 23 | 13.71 | 6.29 | 78 AUSTRALIA | POLAND | 33 | 36 | 8.95 | 11.05 |
| 59 JAPAN | TURKEY | 6 | 50 | 0.76 | 19.24 | 79 BULGARIA | JAPAN | 33 | 22 | 13.45 | 6.55 |
| 60 ENGLAND | DENMARK | 0 | 10 | 6.82 | 13.18 | 80 IRELAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 42 | 30 | 13.71 | 6.29 |
| 61 USA | NORWAY | 53 | 5 | 19.64 | 0.36 | 81 GERMANY | CHINA | 3 | 24 | 4.21 | 15.79 |
| 62 BRAZIL | SPAIN | 42 | 18 | 16.37 | 3.63 | 82 INDIA | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| $R R 10$ |  |  |  | $R R \\|$ |  |  |  | $R R 12$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Teams Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Match VPs |  |  |  | Match VPs |  |  |  | Match |  | VPs |  |
| I CHINESE TAIPEI | SINGAPORE | 7.97 | 12.03 | I SINGAPORE | GREECE | 1.34 | 18.66 | 1 INDIA | SINGAPORE | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 2 GREECE | JORDAN | 18.44 | 1.56 | 2 JORDAN | INDIA | 19.34 | 0.66 | 2 BRAZIL | JORDAN | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 3 INDIA | ESTONIA | 12.03 | 7.97 | 3 ESTONIA | BRAZIL | 11.20 | 8.80 | 3 ITALY | ESTONIA | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 4 BRAZIL | GERMANY | 13.52 | 6.48 | 4 GERMANY | ITALY | 0.31 | 19.69 | 4 SWITZERLAND | GERMANY | 13.04 | 6.96 |
| 5 SWITZERLAND | ITALY | 7.45 | 12.55 | 5 CHINESE TAIPEI | AUSTRALIA | 5.00 | 15.00 | 5 AUSTRALIA | GREECE | 19.69 | 0.31 |
| 6 AUSTRALIA | FRANCE | 5.61 | 14.39 | 6 FRANCE | RUSSIA | 14.80 | 5.20 | 6 RUSSIA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 19.77 | 0.23 |
| 7 RUSSIA | ISRAEL | 2.41 | 17.59 | 7 ISRAEL | FINLAND | 16.26 | 3.74 | 7 FINLAND | FRANCE | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| 8 FINLAND | UKRAINE | 3.58 | 16.42 | 8 UKRAINE | SOUTH AFRICA | 9.09 | 10.91 | 8 SOUTH AFRICA | ISRAEL | 6.72 | 13.28 |
| 9 SOUTH AFRICA | PHILIPPINES | 19.61 | 0.39 | 9 PHILIPPINES | SWITZERLAND | 11.20 | 8.80 | 9 PHILIPPINES | UKRAINE | 3.58 | 16.42 |
| Open Teams Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match | VP |  |  | Match |  |  |  | Match |  |  |
| 11 NORWAY | PAKISTAN | 11.76 | 8.24 | II PAKISTAN | ARGENTINA | 7.97 | 12.03 | 11 MEXICO | PAKISTAN | 4.62 | 15.38 |
| 12 ARGENTINA | KUWAIT | 19.61 | 0.39 | 12 KUWAIT | MEXICO | 7.71 | 12.29 | 12 LEBANON | KUWAIT | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 13 MEXICO | BOSNIA HERZ. | 11.76 | 8.24 | 13 BOSNIA HERZ. | LEBANON | 6.25 | 13.75 | 13 POLAND | BOSNIA HERZ. | 6.48 | 13.52 |
| 14 LEBANON | MONACO | 7.71 | 12.29 | 14 MONACO | POLAND | 2.97 | 17.03 | 14 TURKEY | MONACO | 7.45 | 12.55 |
| 15 TURKEY | POLAND | 18.21 | 1.79 | 15 NORWAY | ICELAND | 5.20 | 14.80 | 15 ICELAND | ARGENTINA | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 16 ICELAND | USA | 5.40 | 14.60 | 16 USA | JAPAN | 10.31 | 9.69 | 16 JAPAN | NORWAY | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 17 JAPAN | ENGLAND | 16.73 | 3.27 | 17 ENGLAND | BELGIUM | 17.45 | 2.55 | 17 BELGIUM | USA | 1.91 | 18.09 |
| 18 BELGIUM | LATVIA | 4.08 | 15.92 | 18 LATVIA | TUNISIA | 12.55 | 7.45 | 18 TUNISIA | ENGLAND | 2.41 | 17.59 |
| 19 TUNISIA | CHINA HK | 15.00 | 5.00 | 19 CHINA HK | TURKEY | 7.97 | 12.03 | 19 CHINA HK | LATVIA | 3.91 | 16.09 |

## Open Teams Group C

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | DENMARK | BANGLADESH | 18.77 |
| 22 SAN MARINO | GUADELOUPE | 6.72 | 13.28 |
| 23 CANADA | NEW ZEALAND | 8.80 | 11.20 |
| 24 HUNGARY | NETHERLANDS | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 25 LITHUANIA | CHINA | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| 26 UAE | SWEDEN | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 27 EGYPT | SPAIN | 9.39 | 10.61 |
| 28 IRELAND | SCOTLAND | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 29 AUSTRIA | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | BANGLADESH | SAN MARINO | 15.19 |
| 22 GUADELOUPE | CANADA | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| 23 NEW ZEALAND | HUNGARY | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 24 NETHERLANDS | CHINA | 17.85 | 2.15 |
| 25 DENMARK | UAE | 18.33 | 1.67 |
| 26 SWEDEN | EGYPT | 16.42 | 3.58 |
| 27 SPAIN | IRELAND | 5.82 | 14.18 |
| 28 SCOTLAND | AUSTRIA | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 29 LITHUANIA | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | CANADA | BANGLADESH | 16.88 |
| 22 HUNGARY | GUADELOUPE | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| 23 CHINA | NEW ZEALAND | 11.76 | 8.24 |
| 24 LITHUANIA | NETHERLANDS | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 25 UAE | SAN MARINO | 17.45 | 2.55 |
| 26 EGYPT | DENMARK | 5.00 | 15.00 |
| 27 IRELAND | SWEDEN | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 28 AUSTRIA | SPAIN | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 29 SCOTLAND | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3 I SPAIN | KOREA | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| 32 BRAZIL | JAPAN | 17.59 | 2.41 |
| 33 JORDAN | PAKISTAN | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| 34 NORWAY | CHINA | 19.61 | 0.39 |
| 35 FINLAND | NETHERLANDS | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 36 SOUTH AFRICA | ENGLAND | 0.07 | 19.93 |
| 37 TURKEY | SWEDEN | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| 38 SAN MARINO | MEXICO | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 39 EGYPT | AUSTRALIA | 11.76 | 8.24 |

## Women's Teams Group A

|  | Match | VPs |  | Match |  | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 KOREA | BRAZIL | 4.44 | 15.56 | 31 JORDAN | KOREA | 6.72 | 13.28 |
| 32 JAPAN | JORDAN | 6.96 | 13.04 | 32 NORWAY | JAPAN | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| 33 PAKISTAN | NORWAY | 3.91 | 16.09 | 33 NETHERLANDS | PAKISTAN | 17.45 | 2.55 |
| 34 CHINA | NETHERLANDS | 18.21 | 1.79 | 34 FINLAND | CHINA | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| 35 SPAIN | SOUTH AFRICA | 13.04 | 6.96 | 35 SOUTH AFRICA | BRAZIL | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| 36 ENGLAND | TURKEY | 5.82 | 14.18 | 36 TURKEY | SPAIN | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 37 SWEDEN | SAN MARINO | 12.29 | 7.71 | 37 SAN MARINO | ENGLAND | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 38 MEXICO | EGYPT | 1.79 | 18.21 | 38 EGYPT | SWEDEN | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 39 AUSTRALIA | FINLAND | 19.43 | 0.57 | 39 AUSTRALIA | MEXICO | 12.80 | 7.20 |

## Women's Teams Group B

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 41 SCOTLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 9.09 | 10.91 |
| 42 INDIA | CHINA HK | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 43 PALESTINE | ICELAND | 9.39 | 10.61 |
| 44 DENMARK | FRANCE | 0.84 | 19.16 |
| 45 CANADA | POLAND | 11.20 | 8.80 |
| 46 GERMANY | ITALY | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 47 IRELAND | CHILE | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 48 TUNISIA | NEW ZEALAND | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 49 USA | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4I CHINESE TAIPEI | INDIA | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 42 CHINA HK | PALESTINE | 14.80 | 5.20 |
| 43 ICELAND | DENMARK | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| 44 FRANCE | POLAND | 4.08 | 15.92 |
| 45 USA | GERMANY | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 46 ITALY | IRELAND | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 47 CHILE | TUNISIA | 15.92 | 4.08 |
| 48 NEW ZEALAND | CANADA | 9.09 | 10.91 |
| 49 SCOTLAND | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4I PALESTINE | CHINESE TAIPEI | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 42 DENMARK | CHINA HK | 15.38 | 4.62 |
| 43 POLAND | ICELAND | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 44 CANADA | FRANCE | 5.61 | 14.39 |
| 45 GERMANY | SCOTLAND | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| 46 IRELAND | USA | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 47 TUNISIA | ITALY | 1.13 | 18.87 |
| 48 NEW ZEALAND | CHILE | 3.12 | 16.88 |
| 49 INDIA | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| $\square \quad$ The Polis | Corner |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DOBRY PROGNOSTYK PRZED PLAY OFF | West | North | East | South |
|  | Helgemo | Gawry's | Helness | Klukowski |
| W srode, w mecrach popoludniowych nasze reprezentacae open $i$ kobiet mierzyly sie z druiznami, ktore na pewno we |  |  |  | pas pas |
|  | 3 | pas pas | $4 \checkmark$ | pas pas |
|  | 5 | pas |  |  | roku - Francuzkami Oba mecze zostały wygrane wyraźnie - w open 42-10 (gdyby mecz był liczony jako BAM, wynik byłby miażdżący -9-2), u pań 43-I8.

W tym drugim meczu początek był nie nadzwyczajny, ale sygnał do ataku dała Grażyna Brewiak. Z rę̨ką «KW93 YAD43 *AW52 \&2 nie zawahała się przed, oględnie mówiąc, dyskusyjnym otwarciem IBA. Partnerka podniosła do 3BA:

Rozd. 6.WE po, rozd. E.

|  | ¢ 105 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 1082$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 4 |  |
|  | \& DW9864 |  |
| ¢ 874 | N | \& KW93 |
| $\bigcirc$ W 7 |  | $\bigcirc$ AD 43 |
| $\checkmark$ D 1093 |  | $\diamond$ AW 52 |
| \& AK 73 | S | ¢ 2 |
|  | ¢ AD 62 |  |
|  | ¢K965 |  |
|  | $\diamond 876$ |  |
|  | ¢ 105 |  |

D’Ovido wyszła w kiery i z wygraniem kontraktu z nadróbką nie było problemu. Na drugim stole padło otwarcie 14, i po odpowiedzi IBA licytacja zgasła 9 lew i 10 imp dla Polski.
W tym rozdaniu obrót nastąpił także w meczu Polska Monako. Nasza para znalazła drogę do 3BA:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita | Multon | Nowosadzki | Zimmermann |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | pas |
| IBA | pas | 2BA | pas |
| 3BA | pas... |  |  |

Podczas gdy Helgemo - Helness zagrali 54:
3BA było bezproblemowe, podczas gdy 5 4 , pomimo sprzyjającego ataku w kiery spod króla, zostało przegrane.
Charakterystyczne dla stylu gry obu drużyn - zachowawczego Francuzek i ostrego Polek było rozdanie 15 (NS po, rozd. S)

## - W

QW 107632
$\diamond$ A 743
\& 6

$\odot$ -
$\diamond 95$
\& A D 108742
Francuzki wspięły się na wysokość trzech:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sarniak | Frey | Brewiak | d'Ovidio |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| ktr. | 18 | 19 | 29 |
| pas | $2 \varnothing$ | pas | $3 \%$ |
| pas... |  |  |  |

pas..
Nasze wicemistrzynie Europy wykazały więcej temperamentu:
West

Willard $\quad$\begin{tabular}{lll}
North <br>
Dufrat

$\quad$

East <br>
Cronier

$\quad$

South <br>
Żmuda <br>
ktr.
\end{tabular}

Oczywiście na obu stołach wzięto II lew i kolejne 10 imp dla Polski.
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