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The Centennial Hall at sunset

Halfway through the round robin process in the various teams events, only one team - USA in the Seniors - even comes close to looking like a lock for the knockout phase, bearing in mind that anything can happen with nearly a dozen matches left to play.
The Americans - with four of the six players who won in Chennai last year - have a lead of 22.38 victory points, more than a full match.
Some of the teams in the other events - Open, Women's, and Mixed no doubt are looking over their shoulders, wary of how cruel the game can be at times. In the Women's B Group, for example, France is leading over second-place USA by less than I VP. Others are similarly close: Austria less than 5 VPs over Spain in Open C, France just 2 over Germany in Open B.

## Found phones

Two mobile phones and some cash have been found at the Hala Stulecia. Check with the Registration Desk if you have lost any of these items.


GROUP AND INDIVIDUAL PICTURE SCHEDULE

Wednesday 7
MIXED

| Brazil | 12.00 |
| :--- | :--- |
| China | 14.40 |
| India | 14.45 |
| Japan | 16.55 |
| New Zealand | 17.00 |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | OPEN |
| Belgium |  |
| Bosnia | 13.30 |
| Greece | 10.20 |
| Latvia | 13.35 |
| Lebanon | 13.35 |
| Lithuania | 13.40 |
| Norway | 13.45 |
| San Marino | 16.40 |
| Spain | 16.35 |
| Turkey | 16.30 |
| UAE | 16.30 |
| USA | 10.10 |
|  | 10.15 |

The meeting point is outside the front door. Thank you very much for your cooperation!
 that smoking and drinking is prohibited at any time and in any place during sessions.

## No cell phones allowed

No cell phones will be allowed in the playing area, but players can leave them at the registration desk.


## Schedule

## Senior Teams

| RR 13-10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | EGYPT | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | NETHERLANDS | NEW ZEALAND |
| 52 | FRANCE | GERMANY | 72 | USA | SWEDEN |
| 53 | CANADA | CHINA | 73 | ENGLAND | UAE |
| 54 | POLAND | ISRAEL | 74 | DENMARK | POLAND |
| 55 | CHINA HONG KONG | TURKEY | 75 | BRAZIL | JAPAN |
| 56 | AUSTRALIA | DENMARK | 76 | INDIA | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 57 | INDIA | NORWAY | 77 | ITALY | CHINA |
| 58 | MEXICO | SPAIN | 78 | FRANCE | GERMANY |
| 59 | CHINESE TAIPEI | BRAZIL | 79 | ISRAEL | IRELAND |
| 60 | ITALY | USA | 80 | RUSSIA | BULGARIA |
| 61 | PAKISTAN | ENGLAND | 81 | TURKEY | AUSTRALIA |
| 62 | SWEDEN | JAPAN | 82 | HUNGARY | BYE |
| RR14-12:15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | NEW ZEALAND | PAKISTAN | 71 | NEW ZEALAND | RUSSIA |
| 52 | SWEDEN | ITALY | 72 | TURKEY | ISRAEL |
| 53 | JAPAN | CHINESE TAIPEI | 73 | AUSTRALIA | FRANCE |
| 54 | ENGLAND | MEXICO | 74 | BULGARIA | ITALY |
| 55 | USA | INDIA | 75 | IRELAND | INDIA |
| 56 | BRAZIL | AUSTRALIA | 76 | GERMANY | BRAZIL |
| 57 | SPAIN | CHINA HONG KONG | 77 | CHINA | DENMARK |
| 58 | NORWAY | POLAND | 78 | CHINESE TAIPEI | ENGLAND |
| 59 | DENMARK | CANADA | 79 | POLAND | USA |
| 60 | TURKEY | FRANCE | 80 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | NETHERLANDS |
| 61 | ISRAEL | EGYPT | 81 | HUNGARY | SWEDEN |
| 62 | CHINA | GERMANY | 82 | JAPAN | BYE |
| RR 15-15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | GERMANY | NEW ZEALAND | 71 | SWEDEN | NEW ZEALAND |
| 52 | EGYPT | CHINA | 72 | NETHERLANDS | HUNGARY |
| 53 | FRANCE | ISRAEL | 73 | USA | UAE |
| 54 | CANADA | TURKEY | 74 | ENGLAND | JAPAN |
| 55 | POLAND | DENMARK | 75 | DENMARK | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 56 | CHINA HONG KONG | NORWAY | 76 | BRAZIL | CHINA |
| 57 | AUSTRALIA | SPAIN | 77 | INDIA | GERMANY |
| 58 | INDIA | BRAZIL | 78 | ITALY | IRELAND |
| 59 | MEXICO | USA | 79 | FRANCE | BULGARIA |
| 60 | CHINESE TAIPEI | ENGLAND | 80 | ISRAEL | AUSTRALIA |
| 61 | ITALY | JAPAN | 81 | RUSSIA | TURKEY |
| 62 | PAKISTAN | SWEDEN | 82 | POLAND | BYE |

RR 16-17:15

| 51 | NEW ZEALAND | CHINA HONG KONG |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 52 | AUSTRALIA | POLAND |
| 53 | INDIA | CANADA |
| 54 | MEXICO | FRANCE |
| 55 | CHINESE TAIPEI | EGYPT |
| 56 | ITALY | GERMANY |
| 57 | PAKISTAN | CHINA |
| 58 | SWEDEN | ISRAEL |
| 59 | JAPAN | TURKEY |
| 60 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |
| 61 | USA | NORWAY |
| 62 | BRAZIL | SPAIN |


| 71 | NEW ZEALAND | DENMARK |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 72 | BRAZIL | ENGLAND |
| 73 | ITALY | USA |
| 74 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS |
| 75 | ISRAEL | SWEDEN |
| 76 | RUSSIA | HUNGARY |
| 77 | TURKEY | UAE |
| 78 | AUSTRALIA | POLAND |
| 79 | BULGARIA | JAPAN |
| 80 | IRELAND | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 81 | GERMANY | CHINA |
| 82 | INDIA | BYE |


| $R R 10-10: 30$ |  |  | RR \| | - 14:00 |  |  | $R R 12-16: 50$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Teams Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | CHINESE TAIPEI | SINGAPORE | 1 | SINGAPORE | GREECE |  | INDIA | SINGAPORE |
| 2 | Greece | JORDAN | 2 | Jordan | INDIA | 2 | BRAZIL | JORDAN |
| 3 | INDIA | ESTONIA | 3 | ESTONIA | BRAZIL | 3 | ITALY | ESTONIA |
| 4 | BRAZIL | GERMANY | 4 | GERMANY | ITALY | 4 | SWITZERLAND | GERMANY |
| 5 | SWITZERLAND | ITALY | 5 | CHINESE TAIPEI | AUSTRALIA |  | AUSTRALIA | GREECE |
| 6 | AUSTRALIA | FRANCE | 6 | FRANCE | RUSSIA | 6 | RUSSIA | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 7 | RUSSIA | ISRAEL | 7 | ISRAEL | FINLAND |  | FINLAND | FRANCE |
| 8 | FINLAND | UKRAINE | 8 | UKRAINE | SOUTH AFRICA |  | SOUTH AFRICA | ISRAEL |
| 9 | SOUTH AFRICA | PHILIPPINES | 9 | PHILIPPINES | SWITZERLAND |  | PHILIPPINES | UKRAINE |


| II NORWAY | PAKISTAN | 11 PAKISTAN | ARGENTINA | 11 MEXICO | PAKISTAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 ARGENTINA | KUWAIT | 12 KUWAIT | MEXICO | 12 Lebanon | KUWAIT |
| 13 MEXICO | BOSNIA HERZ. | 13 BOSNIA HERZ. | LEBANON | 13 POLAND | BOSNIA HERZ. |
| 14 LEBANON | MONACO | 14 MONACO | POLAND | 14 TURKEY | MONACO |
| 15 TURKEY | POLAND | 15 NORWAY | ICELAND | 15 ICELAND | ARGENTINA |
| 16 ICELAND | USA | 16 USA | JAPAN | 16 JAPAN | NORWAY |
| 17 JAPAN | ENGLAND | 17 ENGLAND | BELGIUM | 17 BELGIUM | USA |
| 18 BELGIUM | LATVIA | 18 LATVIA | TUNISIA | 18 TUNISIA | ENGLAND |
| 19 TUNISIA | CHINA HK | 19 CHINA HK | TURKEY | 19 CHINA HKS | LATVIA |


| 21 | DENMARK | BANGLADESH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | SAN MARINO | GUADELOUPE |
| 23 | CANADA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 24 | HUNGARY | NETHERLANDS |
| 25 | LITHUANIA | CHINA |
| 26 | UAE | SWEDEN |
| 27 | EGYPT | SPAIN |
| 28 | IRELAND | SCOTLAND |
| 29 | AUSTRIA | BYE |

## Open Teams Group C

| 21 | BANGLADESH | SAN MARINO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | GUADELOUPE | CANADA |
| 23 | NEW ZEALAND | HUNGARY |
| 24 | NETHERLANDS | CHINA |
| 25 | DENMARK | UAE |
| 26 | SWEDEN | EGYPT |
| 27 | SPAIN | IRELAND |
| 28 | SCOTLAND | AUSTRIA |
| 29 | LITHUANIA | BYE |


| 21 | CANADA | BANGLADESH |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | HUNGARY | GUADELOUPE |
| 23 | CHINA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 24 | LITHUANIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 25 | UAE | SAN MARINO |
| 26 | EGYPT | DENMARK |
| 27 | IRELAND | SWEDEN |
| 28 | AUSTRIA | SPAIN |
| 29 | SCOTLAND | BYE |

## Women's Teams Group A

| 31 | SPAIN | KOREA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | BRAZIL | JAPAN |
| 33 | JORDAN | PAKISTAN |
| 34 | NORWAY | CHINA |
| 35 | FINLAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 36 | SOUTH AFRICA | ENGLAND |
| 37 | TURKEY | SWEDEN |
| 38 | SAN MARINO | MEXICO |
| 39 | EGYPT | AUSTRALIA |


| 31 | KOREA | BRAZIL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | JAPAN | JORDAN |
| 33 | PAKISTAN | NORWAY |
| 34 | CHINA | NETHERLANDS |
| 35 | SPAIN | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 36 | ENGLAND | TURKEY |
| 37 | SWEDEN | SAN MARINO |
| 38 | MEXICO | EGYPT |
| 39 | AUSTRALIA | FINLAND |


| 31 | JORDAN | KOREA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | NORWAY | JAPAN |
| 33 | NETHERLANDS | PAKISTAN |
| 34 | FINLAND | CHINA |
| 35 | SOUTH AFRICA | BRAZIL |
| 36 | TURKEY | SPAIN |
| 37 | SAN MARINO | ENGLAND |
| 38 | EGYPT | SWEDEN |
| 39 | AUSTRALIA | MEXICO |

## Women's Teams Group B

| 41 | SCOTLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | INDIA | CHINA HK |
| 43 | PALESTINE | ICELAND |
| 44 | DENMARK | FRANCE |
| 45 | CANADA | POLAND |
| 46 | GERMANY | ITALY |
| 47 | IRELAND | CHILE |
| 48 | TUNISIA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 49 | USA | BYE |


| 41 | CHINESE TAIPEI | INDIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | CHINA HK | PALESTINE |
| 43 | ICELAND | DENMARK |
| 44 | FRANCE | POLAND |
| 45 | USA | GERMANY |
| 46 | ITALY | IRELAND |
| 47 | CHILE | TUNISIA |
| 48 | NEW ZEALAND | CANADA |
| 49 | SCOTLAND | BYE |


| 41 | PALESTINE | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | DENMARK | CHINA HK |
| 43 | POLAND | ICELAND |
| 44 | CANADA | FRANCE |
| 45 | GERMANY | SCOTLAND |
| 46 | IRELAND | USA |
| 47 | TUNISIA | ITALY |
| 48 | NEW ZEALAND | CHILE |
| 49 | INDIA | BYE |

## Rankings

| Seniors Teams |  | Mixed Teams |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After Round 12 |  | After Round 12 |  |  |
| TEAM | VP |  | TEAM | VP |
| 1 USA | 184.78 |  | NETHERLANDS | 163.91 |
| 2 CHINA | 162.40 |  | 2 AUSTRALIA | 161.23 |
| 3 EGYPT | 153.03 |  | 3 RUSSIA | 152.32 |
| 4 CHINESE TAIPEI | 148.42 |  | 4 BULGARIA | 151.55 |
| 5 POLAND | 147.94 |  | 5 ISRAEL | 148.96 |
| 6 SWEDEN | 144.83 |  | 6 FRANCE | 148.85 |
| 7 GERMANY | 138.15 |  | 7 ITALY | 145.37 |
| 8 ITALY | 136.33 |  | 8 NEW ZEALAND | 143.06 |
| 9 DENMARK | 134.97 |  | GERMANY | 140.63 |
| 10 TURKEY | 133.77 |  | 0 JAPAN | 139.18 |
| II CANADA | 133.12 |  | DENMARK | 133.70 |
| 12 ISRAEL | 122.85 |  | 12 POLAND | 129.20 |
| 13 CHINA HONG KONG | 121.64 |  | 3 CHINA | 126.65 |
| 14 FRANCE | 118.22 |  | 4 USA | 125.51 |
| 15 INDIA | 114.14 |  | 15 TURKEY | 97.80 |
| 16 AUSTRALIA | 114.09 |  | 16 IRELAND | 97.56 |
| 17 NORWAY | 108.81 |  | 17 SWEDEN | 94.37 |
| 18 ENGLAND | 108.32 |  | 8 HUNGARY | 93.36 |
| 19 BRAZIL | 95.39 |  | BRAZIL | 90.66 |
| 20 JAPAN | 79.94 |  | INDIA | 83.10 |
| 21 SPAIN | 77.61 |  | ENGLAND | 78.98 |
| 22 PAKISTAN | 77.32 |  | CHINESE TAIPEI | 70.94 |
| 23 NEW ZEALAND | 62.81 |  | 3 UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 64.11 |
| 24 MEXICO | 60.12 |  |  |  |

## Seniors and Mixed Teams coverage

Timetables for play and hands for the Senior Teams and Mixed Teams are not the same as for the other events, which precludes coverage in the Daily Bulletin until next week.

## The International Bridge Press Association (IBPA)

IBPA is a club for the world's bridge media people and you may also join as Associate Member.
The main service is a monthly Bulletin edited by John Carruthers with the best of the best. Members may also enjoy the Press facilities at championships.
The annual IBPA subscription is US\$42 (€38). New members joining in Wroclaw pay US\$52.50 (€48) for 2016 and 2017. You can apply, and pay, in the Press Room.

Current members paying late for this year, or early for next, can clear their dues in the Press Room.
The Annual General Meeting on Saturday (the 10th) will start at 09:00 a.m. (precisely) in the Vugraph Theatre (where the Opening Ceremony was).

Per Jannersten Chairman
Directions to Press Room

Orbit the playing area 90 degrees counter clockwise until you reach Entrance A where you find a large stairwell to the right. The Press Room is at the top of the stairs in Sala Cesarska.

| Open Teams |  |  |  | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open A after it |  |  | Women's A atter in 9 |  |
|  | TEAM | VP | TEAM | VP |
| 1 | FRANCE | 131.99 | 1 CHINA | 133.32 |
| 2 | ITALY | 126.67 | 2 TURKEY | 121.27 |
| 3 | RUSSIA | 115.48 | 2 TURKEY | 121.27 |
| 4 | INDIA | I II.5 ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 3 NETHERLANDS | 115.15 |
| 5 | SWITZERLAND | 110.61 | 4 NORWAY | 112.85 |
| 6 | ISRAEL | 106.32 | 5 ENGLAND | 107.87 |
| 7 | GERMANY | 105.82 | 6 SWEDEN | 10737 |
| 8 | AUSTRALIA | 96.77 | 6 SWEDEN | 107.37 |
| 9 | FINLAND | 89.65 | 7 FINLAND | 98.63 |
| 10 | SINGAPORE | 86.63 | 8 AUSTRALIA | 97.11 |
| 11 | GREECE | 79.29 | 9 SPAIN | 91.85 |
| 12 | UKRAINE | 77.14 | 10 SOUTH AFRICA |  |
| 13 | ESTONIA | 71.52 | 10 SOUTH AFRICA | 84.80 |
| 14 | CHINESE TAIPEI | 71.46 | II MEXICO | 84.23 |
| 15 | IORDAN | 62.38 | 12 JAPAN | 80.61 |
| 16 | PHILIPPINES | 60.24 | 13 BRAZIL | 80.02 |
| 17 | SOUTH AFRICA | 58.03 | 14 EGYPT | 72.17 |
| 18 | BRAZIL | 50.03 | 14 EGYPT | 72.17 |
| Open B after B 9 |  |  | 15 KOREA | 68.89 |
|  |  |  | 16 SAN MARINO | 57.77 |
|  | TEAM | VP | 17 PAKISTAN | 56.17 |
| I | POLAND | 135.46 | 18 JORDAN | 46.92 |
| 2 | ENGLAND | 119.08 |  |  |
| 3 | IAPAN | 115.70 | Women's B after i 9 |  |
| 4 | ICELAND | 104.28 |  |  |
| 5 | MONACO | 103.73 | TEAM | VP |
| 6 | ARGENTINA | 101.68 | TEAM | VP |
| 7 | USA | 99.36 | 1 FRANCE | 138.36 |
| 8 | NORWAY | 99.21 | 2 GERMANY | 136.12 |
| 9 | TURKEY | 97.52 | 3 USA | 129.74 |
| 10 | BELGIUM | 97.15 |  | 114.26 |
| 11 | LATVIA | 85.39 | 4 NEW ZEALAND | 114.26 |
| 12 | TUNISIA | 85.38 | 5 DENMARK | 112.02 |
| 13 | PAKISTAN | 77.29 | 6 POLAND | 110.84 |
| 14 | BOSNIA HERZEGOVINA | 72.88 | 7 ITALY | 107.03 |
| 15 | LEBANON | 72.02 | 7 ITALY | 107.03 |
| 16 | CHINA HONG KONG | 57.57 | 8 SCOTLAND | 101.39 |
| 17 | MEXICO | 57.39 | 9 CHINESE TAIPEI | 100.42 |
| 18 | KUWAIT | 37.91 | 10 CANADA | 92.68 |
| Open C atter in 9 |  |  | 11 IRELAND | 87.21 |
|  | TEAM | VP | 12 CHINA HONG KONG | 73.27 |
| I | AUSTRIA | 135.47 | 13 CHILE | 73.26 |
| 2 | SPAIN | 130.72 | 14 ICELAND | 63.23 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | 110.48 | 15 PALESTINE | 37.17 |
| 4 | SWEDEN | 104.12 | 16 TUNISIA | 36.88 |
| 5 | NEW ZEALAND | 104.10 |  |  |
| 6 | CANADA | 99.14 | 17 INDIA | 32.12 |
| 7 | IRELAND | 98.73 |  |  |
| 8 | LITHUANIA | 97.70 | Bacges: |  |
| 9 | DENMARK | 97.63 |  |  |  |
| 10 | HUNGARY | 97.49 |  |  |  |
| 11 | CHINA | 96.46 | Players, please note that without a badge |  |
| 12 | UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 85.95 |  |  |  |
| 13 | SCOTLAND | 81.44 | you will not be allowed into the playing |  |
| 14 | EGYPT | 80.57 | area. If you lose your badge, replacing it |  |
| 15 | GUADELOUPE | 61.03 |  |  |  |
| 16 | BANGLADESH | 37.00 | will cost you 5 Euros. |  |
| 17 | SAN MARINO | 26.47 |  |  |

## Message from the Reviewer

On Monday, the Directors had to rule on a case of Unauthorized Information. A player had hesitated and his partner had bid on. The Director had polled a number of players, asking them two questions:
I) "What would you bid after the following auction" (without mentioning the hesitation).
2) "Partner hesitated at (position). What would that hesitation suggest?".
Having received enough answers, the Directors gave a ruling.
That ruling was then subject of a review.
During the review, the appellants argued that the order of the two questions should be reversed. They argued that the polled players generally realise that there is a problem, and by asking the second question first, it sometimes becomes unimportant to ask the first one.
In consultation with the Chief Tournament Director, the Reviewer finds that the order given above is indeed to be advised. Sometimes the answers that are received on one question render the other answers immaterial, but this can happen in either sequence, and one does not know during the beginning of the poll what the answers by later players will be.
Telling the pollees what the problem is (by asking the second question first) is more problematic than the reverse.
It is the duty of the Reviewer to check the procedures employed by the Directors, and this review was judged reasonable and the deposit returned. This procedure has now been checked and future similar reviews will be considered frivolous.
It is possible, in individual cases, that a different order is advisable. The Directors may act accordingly.


## TRANSFERS

## HOTEL PARK PLAZA

8:30 WBF Staff
9:10 players who made reservation through ZAPA
HOTELS IBIS and SCANDIC
9:00 for all who made reservation through ZAPA

## HOTEL RADISSON <br> TRANSFERS BY WICAR TAXI

If you stay in the other hotels, you need to arrange your transfers yourselves!


## Tramtastic

You should have no trouble using the English option on the ticket machines now stationed at most transit stops and on all trams and buses. The machines on trams and buses only take plastic! A single fare is 3 zl - but night buses cost 3.20zl. Tickets are not valid until you stamp them once inside the tram or bus. Plain-clothed inspectors regularly travel the lines handing out hefty fines to those without valid tickets; being a foreigner will not excuse you - it will only mean you will have to pay in cash on the spot.

## Song of Norway <br> By Mark Horton

When she is not engaged in other activities, my au pair likes to follow the championships. She sent an email about this deal from round 6 of the Open and Women's series.

Board 3I. Dealer South. NS Vul.

|  | - AK 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10842$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 62 |  |
|  | 996 |  |
| Q 7542 | N | Q QJ963 |
| $\bigcirc 163$ |  | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| $\checkmark 75$ |  | $\triangleleft 1094$ |
| 2 Q J 87 | S | 2 K 1043 |
|  | ¢ 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 95 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K J 83 |  |
|  | \& A 52 |  |

Enjoying a cup of tea with our cleaner, they had bid as follows:


She wanted to know how many pairs had missed the grand slam in diamonds?
After checking the results it transpired that the only pair in the entire Open and Women's fields to rise to the occasion were Norway's Liv Grude and Bodil Oigarden. This is how they accomplished it:

| North | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Grude | Oigarden |
|  | 18 |
| 2NT* | $3{ }^{*}$ |
| $3{ }^{\text {* }}$ | 39* |
| $4{ }^{*}$ | 4NT* |
| 5 ${ }^{*}$ | 5NT* |
| $7 \diamond$ | Pass |

2NT Game forcing heart raise
$3 \diamond$ Natural
$3 \wedge$ Shortage ask
3s Singleton spade
$4 \diamond \quad$ Cue bid
4NT RKCB in hearts
$5 \bigcirc \quad 2$ key cards $+\bigcirc$ Q
5NT King ask

When South bid 5NT North must hold at least the $\checkmark A K Q$, the $\triangleleft K$ and the $A$. If she was I-5-5-2, then 78 would be virtually laydown, but just in case she was I-5-43 she offered her partner a choice of contracts. Beautifully done.
As you have doubtless guessed, the au pair is Norwegian.


## World Championship Book 2016 - Wroclaw

The official book of these championships will be ready around April next year. It will consist of approximately 350 large full colour pages and will include coverage of all the championship events, with particular emphasis on the latter stages of the Open and Women's Teams. There will be a full results service and many colour photographs.

The principle analysts, as in recent years, will be John Carruthers, Barry Rigal, Brian Senior and Geo Tislevoll, probably backed up by one or two guest writers who have not yet been confirmed.

On publication, the official retail price will be US\$35 plus whatever your local bookseller charges for postage. For the duration of the championships, you can pre-order via Jan Swaan in the Press Room at the reduced price of 100 Zlotys, 25 Euros, or 30 US\$, including postage.

Alternatively, you can pay the same prices via Paypal to Brian Senior at bsenior@hotmail.com

## Keeping entries

By Micke Melander

In round one of the Senior Teams, Samir Salib for Egypt was one of the heroes of these championships. Playing against Norway, Egypt won clear with 17.86-2.14, thanks in no small measure to Salib's brilliant declaring on the following board (pay attention to the fact that you are declaring from North).

Match I. Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

```
- A 5
คQJ8652
\(\diamond\) AK 9
\& 82
```

¢ K 10642
\& K 73
$\diamond 1076$
\& K 6

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maesel H | Salib | Maesel R | Makram |
| Pass | $1 \varnothing$ | Pass | $1 Q$ |
| Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | $3 \varnothing$ |
| Pass | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |  |

Plan your play in Four Hearts. Are there any threats? At first glance, it looks like we have one loser in hearts, diamonds and one or two clubs. If spades are evenly distributed we might be able to set up the suit for some discards. The problem is that we don't have a lot of entries to dummy to do all we wish to do. For example, how to


Samir Salib, Egypt
play trumps? Normally you would like to play a low trump towards the king because the only 4-0 distribution you may cope with is when West holds all of them.
The full board was:


Salib realized that he needed to save entries to dummy if there were problems, so he went for the following line of play. He won the lead of the diamond queen in hand with the ace and continued with the jack of hearts. When East discarded, declarer saw that he had two trump losers. West won with the ace and returned a second diamond. Salib went up with the king, cashed the ace of spades, played a spade to the king and ruffed a spade, then played a heart to the king followed by a second spade ruff, setting up the fifth spade in dummy.
Salib then cashed his queen of trumps and exited with his last trump, throwing West in with only clubs left in his hand. Poor Helge Maesel could do nothing but cash his ace of clubs, allowing declarer to make his contract and later take a note of 13 IMPs out when his teammates had gone two down in the same contract on a trump lead.
It is worth noting that the only way in theory to defeat the contract is to lead a club or a high diamond. So why didn't the defenders prevail after the lead of the queen of diamonds? After winning with the trump ace, West had to return the jack, ten or nine of clubs instead of his second diamond to avoid the endplay and early establish an entry for partner so he will be able to collect the established diamond before the spades are set up for a discard.
Second, if West ducks the jack of hearts, declarer needs more than a miracle to make it since he may continue with his plan of establishing spades but declarer will be one entry short to dummy. West can duck when the queen of hearts later is played.
Only five declarers managed to make the four hearts. Eleven went down! Well done by Salib, who realized the problems with entries if the cards would be badly placed!

## The long ball <br> By Brent Manley

In baseball, it is sometimes said that one team breaks open a close game or comes from behind with some dramatic action - often via the home run (also known as the "long ball"). In the USA - Poland match in round 5 of the Open series, Poland did just that, coming from behind with two big pickups - "long balls," if you will.
In the Open Room, Geoff Hampson and Eric Greco for USA played against Piotr Gawrys and Michal Klukowski. At the other table, it was Jacek Kalita and Michal Nowosadzki for Poland against Justin Lall and Kevin Bathurst.
Halfway through the 16-board match, the Americans led 9-2, thanks to a game let through against Hampson/Greco.
The first of the big swings for Poland came on board 9.
Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- AJ 972
©A876
$\diamond 1032$
- A

$\diamond$ Q 86
* K Q 9876

- Q 92
$\diamond$ AJ 9
- J 532

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hampson | Gawrys | Greco | Klu'ski |
| Pass | $1 \stackrel{\text { Pass }}{ }$ | 2 |  |
| Pass | Pass | 4 |  |

All Pass
Greco led the $\diamond 5$, Hampson playing low on dummy's 9. Gawrys won in hand with the $\diamond I 0$ and played a low heart to dummy's queen. A heart to the ace (Greco following with the king) was followed by a heart to the 9 and Hampson's 10. Hampson exited with a club to declarer's ace. On the $\vee 8$ from Gawrys, Greco ruffed with the $\uparrow 5$, forcing declarer to overruff with an honor. Gawrys cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and ruffed a club with the $\$ 7$. Greco had discarded his second club on a heart, so he was able to overruff with the 8 , but Gawrys was in control. He won the diamond continuation with the ace, pulled trumps and claimed. He lost a diamond, a heart and a spade for plus 420. At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kalita | Lall | Now'ski | Bathurst |
|  | I 1 | Pass | $4 \Phi$ |

## All Pass

Nowosadzki started with the $\% 10$ to Lall's ace. Nowosadzki won the 8 K when Lall played a low one from hand at trick two. A second club was ruffed by Lall, who played a diamond to dummy's 9 and Kalita's queen. Lall ruffed the eK with the 7 and was overuffed by Nowosadzki, who exited with a diamond to dummy's jack.

Lall ruffed a club with the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ and cashed the A , getting the bad news, then tried a low heart. Nowosadzki ruffed and exited with a spade to dummy. Lall had the rest but had lost a heart, a diamond and two ruffs for minus 50 and 10 IMPs to Poland. That made the score I2-9 for Poland.
Two boards later, the lead was expanded.
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
¢ 83
$\checkmark 432$
$\diamond$ K J 6
\& K 10952


Greco's 2 was a relay to $2 \diamond$.
Gawrys started the 5 to dummy's ace. Hampson called for a heart, and Klukowski went up with the ace to play a club. Gawrys ducked Hampson's queen. Hampson cashed hearts ending in dummy, then played the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, followed by a spade to his ace and a spade to the jack and queen. The losing finesse meant Hampson was down two for minus 100.At the other table:

| West <br> Kalita | North <br> Lall | East <br> Now'ski | South <br> Bathurst <br> Pass |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

Bathurst started with a low heart, dummy's 8 winning the trick. Nowosadzki played the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and a spade to the ace, followed by a diamond to his 9, which held the trick. He then cashed the and played a heart to the king. Lall covered the Q and declarer ruffed, exiting with a heart to South's ace. Bathurst could cash the trump queen, but that was it for the defenders as Nowosadzki scored up plus 420 for an II-IMP gain. All of a sudden, a 9-2 deficit had turned into a 23-9 lead for Poland.
The Americans made it 23-14 by making a partscore on a deal that was passed out at the other table and had dropped from eighth to ninth.

## As close as you can get (OB -R4)

$+$

## England v Poland

## By Brent Manley

Going into round four of the Open Teams, Poland stood at the top of the heap in Group B. Their opponents, England, stood in the fourth spot, just 8.47 victory points behind.
In the open room, Poland's Krzysztof Jassem and Marcin Mazurkiewicz played against Tony Forrester and Andrew Robson. In the closed room, it was England's Frances Hinden and Graham Osborne against Piotr Gawrys and Michal Klukowski.
The low-scoring affair ended in a tie, but there were some interesting deals. This one, the third deal of the set, put England ahead.


The defense was deadly accurate. Forrester started with his singleton $\diamond A$. He switched to a low heart at trick two. Robson won the ace and returned a diamond for his partner to ruff. The $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ was followed by a club to the king and South's ace. A third round of diamonds was ruffed by Forrester. N/S had taken the first six tricks against the spade game for plus 300 .
At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Osborne | Gawrys | Hinden | Klu'ski |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT | Dble |
| 2 | 3\% | All Pass |  |

Osborne and Hinden did well to avoid the three level. Against 3e, Hinden led the $\diamond$ J, which ran around to declarer's ace. Gawrys played the $\$ 8$ at trick two. Osborne won and cashed the then the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{K}$. Gawrys ruffed and cashed the trump ace, followed by the 210 . He lost two trumps, two spades and a heart for minus 50 and 8 IMPs to England.
On the next board, Forrester and Robson found a good save against a vulnerable game, but Osborne had to find a way to 10 tricks to make the save worthwhile.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
¢ 10743
$\bigcirc 1085$
$\diamond 9873$
\& Q 6

- Q 52
$\bigcirc$ AKQ 94
$\diamond 104$
\& K 42

|  | ¢ A 986 |
| :---: | :---: |
| N | Q1763 |
| W E | $\checkmark$ Q 5 |
| S | \& A 107 |
| ¢ K J |  |
| $\bigcirc 2$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ 62 |  |
| * 1985 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | Forrester | Maz'wicz | Robson |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | $4 N T$ |
| Dble | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Jassem and Mazurkiewicz took a spade, two clubs and a heart for plus 500. At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Osborne | Gawrys | Hinden | Klu'ski |
| INT | Pass | $2 \dot{2}$ | $2 \diamond$ |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4\ulcorner$ | All Pass |

Gawrys led a diamond to his partner's jack. Klukowski cashed a second diamond and switched to a heart. Osborne won in hand, cashed the $\vee Q$ and played a third heart to dummy's jack. Next came a low spade from dummy. Klukowski won with the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ and exited with the jack. Osborne won with the $\stackrel{\Delta Q}{ }$ and played a club to the ace and another to his king. He cashed has last two hearts before deciding how to play the spade suit, ultimately getting it right by playing his low spade to dummy's 9. The A was trick 10 for plus 620 and a 3 -IMP gain. Down one would have meant a I2-IMP loss.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- Q 64

P 7642
$\diamond 1082$

- K 32

| - 7 | N | \& KJ9852 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QAKJIO953 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q |
| $\diamond$ AJ 7 | W E | $\diamond 943$ |
| \& Q 10 | S | +986 |
|  | - A 103 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 65 |  |
|  | - AJ754 |  |

In the open room, Jassem sailed in to $4 \checkmark$ after Robson opened le in third seat. Forrester doubled and led a club. Robson won the ace and switched to a heart. With no hope of getting back to dummy Jassem called for a low diamond, inserting the jack when Robson played low (splitting his honors would surely have given the defenders one more trick). When the $\diamond$ J held, Jassem was up to nine tricks. Try as he might, Jassem could not conjure another trick and finished one off for minus 200. At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Osborne | Gawrys | Hinden | Klu'ski |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| $1 \varnothing$ | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |  |  |

Gawrys led the $\diamond 8$, giving Osborne a chance to get out for one down. Klukowski, however, played the $\diamond K$. Osborne took the ace and played a spade to dummy's king. Klukowski took the CA and exited with a heart. He had already seen the $\diamond K$ and $\diamond 8$, so it looked like he had a shot at one away if South held the 10 . Accordingly, Osborne played a low diamond to his 7. That lost to the 10 , which was followed by a third round of the suit to South's queen. The clever play and smooth duck by Klukowski saved 3 IMPs for his team.
The great Edgar Kaplan was fond of saying that he didn't like to jump to $4 \checkmark$, even if it was warranted, after partner
opened one of the suit. "It always seems to act as a transfer," he said, "to four spades - by the opponents."
Kaplan's notion was reinforced on this deal near the end of the England-Poland match.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
© K 3
© K Q J 2
$\diamond$ AKQ4
\& 542

| ¢ 874 | N | ¢ A 10962 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 873$ | W E | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| $\diamond 1832$ | W E | $\diamond 5$ |
| ¢ K 108 | S | 2 A Q J 763 |
|  | - Q J 5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 10965 |  |
|  | $\diamond 10976$ |  |
|  | *9 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jassem | Forrester | Maz'wicz | Robson <br> 2 |
| Pass | $4 『$ | $4 \infty$ | All Pass |

Robson's $2 \boxtimes$ showed five in the suit plus a minor suit on the side with 5-10 high-card points. Forrester apparently decided to go for the plus score instead of taking the push to the five level. On the lie of the cards, II tricks are relatively easy.
Against 24, Robson started with the $\vee A$, on which Forrester played the king. A second heart went to the queen, but Mazurkiewicz ruffed and played the $\$ 9$ from hand. Forrester won and played the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$, followed by another high diamond. Mazurkiewicz ruffed and cashed the A, leaving one trump outstanding. He could then run clubs, conceding only the trump trick from that point. Minus 50 was good for a 9-IMP gain because N/S were allowed to play $4 \bigcirc$ at the other table, making with an overtrick.
The final score was 15-15: 10 victory points for each team.


The Closed Room

## Open Teams Round 5



Italy
v. France II

## By Jos Jacobs

Tradition has it that the matches between Italy and France are hard-fought, due to the friendly rivalry that has existed for so many years now between the two most prominent Alpine bridge countries. This time, however, rather too many of the boards did not contain any difficult bridge problems, so this report will be pretty short. After 13 boards, the score stood at I0-I to Italy...
The majority of these Italians IMPs had come on the first board:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢ K 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 74$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 109643 |  |  |
|  | \% J 5 |  |  |
| ¢ A 872 | N |  | ¢ Q 543 |
| $\bigcirc 10852$ |  | E | -A93 |
| $\diamond 5$ |  |  | $\diamond$ K J 7 |
| \& A 1084 | S |  | ¢K93 |
|  | - J 109 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 6 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |  |
|  | \& Q 762 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Volcker | D'Avossa | Bessis | Di Bello |
|  | I $\diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

One wonders why East bid 2NT rather than 24 over partner's $2 \triangleleft$. Not that the spade game would have made


Thomas Bessis, France
but when the French ended up in 3NT, South had an easy double, or at least so he thought, holding a 9-point count opposite partner's opening bid as dealer. South led a diamond (much better than a top heart, when declarer can easily set up spades) on which North correctly inserted the queen to keep communications intact. Declarer won the king and as we shall see, needed to go after spades. Instead he cleared the clubs, South winning his queen. Had South gone back to diamonds now, that would have been two down. Instead he shifted to the 8 K which declarer had to duck and now, again, the second diamond would have led to down two as North will have time to regain the lead with his only entry, the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$.
At the table, South continued another heart and now, declarer escaped for down one, Italy +100 .


The Closed Room
As North happens to have only one entry, the right way of playing the hand double-dummy presents itself as a matter of logic: attack that entry first. If declarer wins the diamond lead and plays $\boldsymbol{4}$ A and another, North will win his king only to find himself sort of endplayed. His only safe exit is a heart, which has the effect of delaying the endplay. Declarer ducks and South exits with his last spade but now, you can win dummy's $₫ A$, cash the $\vee A$ to extract North's last heart and then cash the K K and run the 9 to North's jack. This way, you come to three spades, a heart, two diamonds on the forced return of the suit and a show-up squeeze on South for the game-going trick in a rounded suit.
Nobody will blame you if you go down in 3NT...this line seems quite superhuman to me.
At the other table, Lauria and Versace landed safely in the spade partscore:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Lorenzini | Lauria | Quantin |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \otimes$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \wedge$ | All Pass |

Another +140 and 6 IMPs to Italy.
Though the board below produced only 2 IMPs, it was by no means without interest::

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 107543 \\ & \vee A 1075 \\ & \diamond \text { J } 94 \\ & \text { j } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 82 \\ & 8 \text { K } 9842 \\ & \diamond \text { A } 7 \\ & \text { K } 9 \end{aligned}$ | N <br> E S |  | - K6 |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc 6$ |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ Q 106532 |
|  |  |  | \& A Q 103 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A9 } \\ & \diamond \text { QJ } 3 \\ & \diamond K 8 \\ & \& 876542 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Volcker | D'Avossa | Bessis | Di Bello |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

3NT was reached easily enough and North led a natural 45. Not being able to read the lead exactly, South decided to win the ace and return the suit to dummy's king. Now, if a clairvoyant declarer continues the $\diamond Q$ from dummy, South will not be able to regain the lead and thus, declarer's QK will be protected. Not unreasonably, however, declarer played a diamond to his ace and a diamond back.. Even if dummy's ten forces South's $\forall K$, the contract will go down as the defence can then take three quick heart tricks.
At the table, declarer correctly called for dummy's queen (trying to set up diamonds without letting South in) and thus had to go down two. Italy +100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Versace | Lorenzini | Lauria | Quantin |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Lorenzini, for France, led the $\$ 7$, implying a bad suit. Quantin put in the nine, so declarer's jack won the first trick. Versace immediately made the nice play of returning the suit to South's now blank ace. At this point, a club return to attack declarer's communications looks best but, naturally enough, South put the $\triangle Q$ on the table. This held the trick but Versace covered the next heart, the jack, with his king to Lorenzini's ace. As the latter could see that declarer would have nine tricks after giving up one more heart trick if he began with both the nine and eight, he had to find a desperate measure and duly did so: out came the $\diamond 9$. After a long huddle, Versace called for dummy's queen, so when South produced the king, the contract had gone
down one after all for just 2 IMPs to Italy.
What struck me most on this board is the fact that winning the 4 at trick one, which costs a trick in the suit, gives declarer a much easier chance to go wrong as it is very difficult for him to give up his losing diamond to North, since he will have to use his precious communication in clubs for this manoeuvre to come off. Once declarer goes after the diamonds himself, he will go down if South can win his king...
Three boards from the end of the match, a slam hand came up on which, once again, the play was the thing:

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.


A nice natural sequence saw the young French pair reach a quite playable slam, the main problem, however, being to find the best line. First of all: what is the lead?
Two rounds of trumps will force declarer to go after the clubs. This works so let's try to find something better.
A low trump takes away one diamond ruff, but now you can ruff just one diamond, ruff yourself back to hand with a heart and then concede to the $\Phi$ A. Whatever the return, South will be squeezed in the minors. Not good enough for the defence, because declarer will almost be forced to play like this if the defence lead trumps.
On a non-trump lead, there are many more options. A heart lead takes out the entry to the possibly established clubs, even if you decide to play low in dummy - the shortcut to defeat, by the way...
If you go up with the ace, you can now ruff two diamonds but then, the $\$ 10$ will have to be singleton or doubleton. Not a good-looking line - but the only winning one on this lead (unless you can combine in the slim chance of finding P K tripleton onside - as actually happens).
On a diamond lead, you will not have any clear idea about
what to do - as we saw when Volcker paused for five minutes at trick one. With silent opponents, it comes down to ruffing one diamond in dummy rather than relying on $\$ 10$ being doubleton or singleton, then giving up the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$. North will duck the first spade, after which you must decide on which of two lines to follow. Either you take the simple heart finesse - a favourite given no double of the $4 \bigcirc$ cuebid - or, after the obvious heart return from North, you go up with the ace, ruff a heart to hand, and hope for a squeeze. South will get caught in the minors today, but the squeeze would also work if North guarded hearts and clubs or the minors.
So the conclusion would perhaps be that the slam is not that great after all, but it is certainly by no means unreasonable. Volcker went down when he opted for the heart finesse rather than a squeeze. Italy +50 .
At the other table, Lauria was not that interested in slam:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Lorenzini | Lauria | Quantin |
|  |  | 1\% | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

Italy a quiet +450 and II IMPs to win the match $21-3$ or 14.60 - 5.40 V.P.

When Eric Greco played the slam from the East seat (after a $3>$ response by Hampson to the natural 2e opener, showing hearts, invitational or better) he received the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ lead from South. He duplicated Volcker's line but after North's heart shift he went up with the ace, and ruffed a heart, then ran trumps. The ending he had reached:

```
* -
8
\diamond
Q
```


## 4

$\checkmark-$
$\triangleleft 10$
$\because 2$


Even before the last trump was led, South had already succumbed to the triple squeeze.


## Hard work for a push! <br> From Jean-Paul Meyer

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KQ6 } 3 \\ & \text { AJ } 4 \\ & \diamond A 64 \\ & \& K Q 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | N |  | - AJ7542 |
| $\bigcirc 97$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 1032$ |
| $$ |  | E | $\checkmark \mathrm{KJ}$ |
|  | 2 |  | \& A 5 |
| -108 |  |  |  |
| Q K Q 865 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q 7 |  |  |  |
| -10432 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Nadar | Rombaut | Satya | Combescure |
|  |  | 1 P | Pass |
| Pass 2 | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass 3 | 38 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass 4 | 48 | All Pa |  |

In the other room India had played 3NT for 430 so Rombaut had to make $4 \bigcirc$ to avoid a heavy loss. The defenders accurately led ace and another spade, but Satya made a subtle error when he played a low spade to trick two (a high spade for a diamond shift would have sunk declarer).
When Nadar shifted to a club Rombaut made his first nice play by ducking.
Satya won his ace, and back came a third spade, ruffed high. Declarer drew two rounds of trump, finding East to be $6-3$ in the majors. Now came $\diamond A$ in case the $\diamond K$ was singleton, $\Phi \mathrm{Q}$ to pitch a diamond and declarer's last trump.


With an inferential count of the full deal (East had to have two clubs or he would have unblocked at trick two, and $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ for his opening bid) the last trump was sure to ruin West. When he pitched a diamond Rombaut ruffed a diamond and his hand was high, but had West pitched a club the clubs would have set up.

## Round 5-Open Group B



## Monaco v England



By David Stern
After four matches, Monaco were struggling in I3th place. With just five teams (plus the best sixth from the three groups) qualifying for the round of 16 , something needed to change. Yes, it is still early days, but just having scored 1.45 against Latvia and drawn to play England and USA in the next two matches, things weren't going to get easier any time soon.
England, on the other hand, were running fifth, having just emerged with a hard-fought draw against Poland, who many would regard as the group favourites.
Monaco did not start well.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K 6
$\bigcirc 74$
$\diamond A$ Q 109643
- J 5

| 4 A 872 | N | ¢ Q 543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10852$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 93 |
| $\checkmark 5$ | W E | $\diamond$ K J 7 |
| - A 1084 | S | \% K93 |
|  | Q J 109 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |
|  | \& Q 762 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bakhshi | Multon | Gold | Zimmermann |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | 18 |
| Dble | $2 \triangleleft$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | 3 |

31 All Pass

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Forrester | Helness | Robson |
|  | I $\diamond$ | Dble | INT |
| $4 \diamond$ | Dble | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble |

The open room had a sound and "normal" auction to 34. Declarer, David Bakhshi, scrambled home with nine tricks through a combination of the defense's failure to continue hearts after a round was ducked and the use of his superior club spots (a club to the nine) providing a heart discard.
In the closed room, Helgemo stretched to force his side to game opposite partner's takeout double, little knowing that $30 \%$ of partner's points lay in the opponent's suit
opposite his singleton. Sitting South, Andrew Robson had something to say about his expectation of the result by doubling and collecting +300 and 10 IMPs for England. Monaco 0 - England 10.
Three boards later, Monaco experienced more pain in similar circumstances.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- AJ 1075
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond$ Q 1095
\& J 43

| ¢ 94 | N | ¢ K Q 86 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 72$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 864 |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{KJ}$ | W E | $\diamond 87$ |
| \& Q 108765 | S | \& A 9 |
|  | - 32 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1093 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 6432 |  |
|  | \& K 2 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bakhshi | Multon | Gold | Zimmermann |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \&$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Forrester | Helness | Robson |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 28 | 2 | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | All Pass |

## Championship cards



The championship cards that you play here are for sale for $€ 0.68$ (bulk rate) in the book stall so long as supply lasts.


Geir Helgemo's raise to $2 \triangleleft$ and Tor Helness' jump to $4 \oslash$ did not work out well for them. On some much sunnier day, this contract may have made, but the bad trump split did not help matters. Helness took only eight tricks for, 200.

At the other table, David Bakhshi's $2 \triangleleft$ showed three-card heart support with 7-9 HCP, so they stopped in $3 \bigcirc$. Zimmermann led a spade to the ace, Multon switching to a heart at trick two. David Gold ducked to South's queen, won the continuation with the $\vee \mathrm{J}$, pulled trumps and played the and a club. He was soon claiming for plus 170 thanks to the position of the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$. Plus 170 and plus 200 were good for 9 IMPs to England, expanding their lead to 19-0.
Monaco finally scored on board 5 .
Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

| ¢ Q J 82 | N | ¢ K 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 9842$ |  | $\bigcirc 6$ |
| $\diamond$ A 7 | W E | $\diamond$ Q 106532 |
| \% K 9 | S | \& A Q 103 |
|  | - A 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 3 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 8 |  |
|  | 987654 |  |

Both Wests declared 3NT after identical auctions where West opened I $\vee$ and N/S did not bid. In the closed room, Helgemo received a spade lead, ducked in dummy and won by South's ace. This was followed by $\triangle Q$. The defense cashed their three heart tricks, but that left declarer with three spades two hearts one diamond and three clubs making +400 .
Things started similarly in the open room, where Franck Multon, sitting North, won the second heart and switched to the $\diamond 4$ before cashing the third defensive heart trick
thereby establishing declarer's tricks in that suit. This now forced declarer to guess diamonds, a test which he failed when he played the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, setting up the defense's fifth trick. An interesting note is whether, if South plays the $\$ 9$ at trick one, this will force declarer to pick diamonds himself. Perhaps dummy's diamonds encouraged South to take a more active approach to the defense and win the $\Phi$. Monaco 10 - England 19.
Board IO saw a role reversal where Tony Forrester and Robson paid out for their aggression.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- AKJ986

ค 732
$\diamond$ J 4
\& K 5


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bakhshi | Multon | Gold <br> Pass | Zimmermann <br> Pass |
| 48 | 19 | Dble | Pass |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Forrester | Helness <br> Pass | Robson |
| Pass |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | D | Dble |

## Dealing machines and cards

The Duplimates used for the duplication during the championship are sold for 2280EUR. You are strongly advised to order as soon as possible, because they will probably be sold out very quickly. Contact Jannerstens at the bridge stall in the Reception area, or drop a line to per@jannersten.com.

The (new) Wroclaw cards that you are playing with will be sold after usage for I63EUR per 240 decks. Other quantities on request in the book stall.


Playing $4 \bigcirc$ in the $4-3$ fit when you will have to ruff the second trick in the long trump hand can at times be problematic. Not so on this deal in the open room after the trumps and diamonds both behaved. That was plus 650.
The real action took place in the closed room where South's questionable raise to 24 on a hand where partner could reasonably expect a little more, encouraged North to bid 4s having regard to the possibility that the opponents were headed to a makeable game. Two down and we would perhaps be praising Forrester-Robson's enterprise but on this occasion -800 led to a loss of 4 IMPs and Monaco down 14-19 after 10 boards.
Grand slam deals are usually instructive, especially when the opponents are mildly active in the auction.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- A 93

คK Q J 876
$\diamond 94$
\& 85


คA 1043
$\diamond$ AK 2
\& AKQ 1063
Open Room

| West <br> Bakhshi | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Gold | Zimmermann <br> 190 |
| I $\diamond$ | Dble | Pass | $5 N T$ |
| Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Helgemo | Forrester | Helness | Robson <br> 28 |
| $3 \diamond$ | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $7 \varnothing$ |

All Pass
While not particularly interesting in terms of the end result, both Souths immediately recognized the powerful playing value of their hands in hearts. Responding to partner's double, showing hearts, Pierre Zimmermann found the optimal solution of ensuring that there wasn't a trump loser, a contrast to Robson's simple optimism. With a couple of I-IMP swings on the intervening boards and a flat last board, the final result was Monaco 15 - England 20, leaving Monaco in 14th position before playing the USA in the next match. England remained in fifth position, about to play Bosnia-Herzegovina in round 6.

## Championship Diary

## Back by popular demand

When Maureen Hiron arrived and attempted to enter the building the security guard politely told her that she could not do so without a badge. When she asked where she could get a badge she was directed to hospitality. However, when she approached the entrance the charming guard pointed out that in order to enter the building she needed a badge! The classic Catch 22.

Many stories about Patrick Jourdain are being aired. These are some of my favourites:

Patrick was sitting in the Press Room next to lb Lundby, who had just bought a new state-of-the-art laptop (at a time when they cost a small fortune). lb had positioned his cup of coffee beside his laptop, totally oblivious of the fact Patrick had risen from his chair and brushed it with his arm, causing the contents to spill all over the laptop.
The distraught lb exclaimed, 'What are you doing Patrick?’
'Sorry’ said Patrick.
'Sorry?' 'Look what you have done', retorted lb.
'All right, all right. Calm down,' said Patrick. 'I'll buy you another coffee.'

Patrick was Wales's most capped player. When the team went out to dinner at an expensive restaurant, his colleagues were soon ordering lobsters and steaks, but Patrick contented himself with a bowl of soup and salad. When the time came to pay the bill he enquired as to what he had to pay.
' $£ 30$ ' was the reply.
' $£ 30$ ?' 'I only had a soup and a salad.'
'It's $£ 30$.'
'That can't be right.'
'Yes it can, there's a minimum charge of $£ 30$ !'
The following year the European Mixed Championships were held in Rome. There was a tennis competition and as a keen player, Patrick decided to enter the lists. When he went to enroll, he spotted that the entrant before him had written his name and that of his club, so he wrote down: Patrick Jourdain - Dinas Powys (the name of his tennis club). On the day of his match Patrick turned up to inspect the draw. He discovered that Mr Patrick Jourdain would face Mr Dinas Powys! (We never discovered who won.)

## Open Teams Round 6

## Denmark v China

By Jos Jacobs
Earlier on Monday, a Danish declarer had been the only player in the Open Series to bring home his slam on an intrafinesse (see Tuesday's Bulletin) and, believe it or not, the evening match between Denmark and China also was decided, one might say, on two slam swings to Denmark.
Here is the first:

| Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 2 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 5 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJIO98543 |  |
|  | - $\mathrm{A} Q$ |  |
| -1064 | N | - KQ987 |
| $\bigcirc 876$ |  | QQJ 42 |
| $\checkmark$ K 2 | W E | $\checkmark 6$ |
| -K8642 | S | 2) 53 |
|  | - AJ5 3 |  |
|  | ¢K1093 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 7 |  |
|  | - 1097 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dai | Askgaard | L Yang | Konow |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 49 | Dble | Rdbl |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | $6\rangle$ | All Pas |  |

In the N/S version of the Strong Club, $2 \triangleleft$ was natural and GF, upon which $2 \checkmark$ confirmed the II-I3 balanced hand. Over the natural $3 \boxtimes$, South's redouble confirmed the $\Phi A$ opposite the splinter. This useful bit of info was exactly what North wanted to hear, so he could afford to launch RKCB now and settle for $6 \diamond$. As long as either minor suit king is well-placed, the contract will come home. Denmark +920 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graversen | Yu Chen | Clemmensen | Wei Wang |
|  |  |  | 180 |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| 18 | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

East's well-timed double, vulnerable against not, helped to unsettle the Chinese bidding. Though $5 \diamond$ was as good a shot as anything, South could not possibly raise. China +420 but II IMPs to Denmark.

Two boards later, China created a favourable partscore swing out of nothing:

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q } \\ & \text { Q } 10873 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\diamond$ KQ 7 |  |  |  |
| ¢ 98762 |  |  |  |
| -1065 | N |  | - A973 |
| $\bigcirc 954$ |  | E | ¢K Q J 2 |
| $\text { * AKQ } 105$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ J 852 |
|  | 5 S |  | * J |
| -KJ842 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 6 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ A 943 |  |  |  |
| - 43 |  |  |  |
| West N | North | East | South |
| Dai A | Askgaard | L Yang | Konow |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 14 |
| INT All | All Pass |  |  |

As West's INT clearly indicated the presence of a spade stopper, North refrained from leading the $\stackrel{\varphi Q}{ }$ and tried a club instead. After this, making seven tricks was easy for declarer: four clubs, two hearts and the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$. China +90 .
On the Q lead which holds the trick, North can continue diamonds. South will win the last two diamond tricks and continue a top spade for a one-trick set.
At the other table, Graversen was a trifle unlucky.

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graversen | Yu Chen | Clemmensen Wei Wang |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | IS |



Michael Askgaard


Poul Clemmensen, Denmark
West no doubt was hoping that partner could speak again over is but when he did not, for obvious reasons, Wei Wang was left in his contract which he managed to make with two overtricks, according to the official score. China another +140 and 6 IMPs back to them.
Another two boards later, we were confronted with both sides of a weak jump overcall - pushing the opponents into thin games or making a makeable game difficult to reach:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
Q J
$\checkmark$ KQ 10952
$\diamond 102$
\& 742

| $\begin{aligned} & \& A K 92 \\ & \& 176 \end{aligned}$ | N | - 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |
| $\checkmark 9873$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AJ 654 |
| - K 5 | S | + QJ 108 |
|  | -107653 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 84$ |  |
|  | $\triangleleft \mathrm{KQ}$ |  |
|  | - A963 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dai | Askgaard | LYang | Konow <br> Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \odot$ | Pass |
| $3 \&$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

When Yang bid $3 \checkmark$ over the weak jump, he had committed his side to a shaky game. As North does not hold any side entry, the contract was safe even though East was the declarer. Duck the first heart and then concede a club and a diamond to South.A spade return (or lead) does not hurt declarer either as the 92 in dummy will serve as an additional stopper. China +630 .

| West <br> Graversen | North <br> Yu Chen | East <br> Clemmensen Wei Wang <br> Pass |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 \vee$ |
| Pass | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

In the Danish approach, the West hand clearly does not qualify as an opening bid (many Europeans would agree to that) so North was allowed to open a Multi. The $2 \checkmark$ response, of course, was pass or correct and the balancing 2NT asked for minors. Denmark thus ended up in a sensible contract, making an overtrick for +I30 for a gain of II IMPs to China.
On board 26, both sides reached the same game contract but the two declarers did not make anything like the same number of tricks:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

- QJ 9

Q Q 86
$\triangleleft 876$
-K 1093

| ¢ A 8 |  |  | -42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢KJ 532 | W | E | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |
| $\checkmark$ A 1042 |  |  | $\diamond$ Q 93 |
| \& 75 | S |  | \& A Q J 842 |
|  | 4 K 107653 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1094$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K J 5 |  |  |
|  | 26 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dai | Askgaard | L Yang | Konow |
|  |  | 2\% | Pass |
| 2 - | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

For China, Dai won the lead with his ace and immediately took a successful club finesse. Next, he cashed the $\vee A K$ and repeated the club finesse. When South could ruff the ca and put partner in with a spade underlead, North cashed the $\triangle Q$ and continued spades. Declarer ruffed but could not reach dummy any more, so he had to concede down three. Denmark +300.
In the other room, Graversen adopted a different line of play:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graversen | Yu Chen | Clemmensen | Wei Wang |
|  |  | 18 | 18 |
| 2 | 2. | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

West ducked the Q opening lead, on general principles, one might say. When North shifted to a club, he finessed, cashed the $\vee A$ and led a heart to the jack and North's queen. When North continued spades now rather than
playing a second club, declarer was home. He won the ace, drew the last two trumps (yes, they were 3-3) and led a diamond to dummy's nine. South could win and return a spade but declarer could ruff, repeat the club finesse and when the suit did not break, finesse the $\diamond$ K for his contract. Denmark a fine +620 and 14 IMPs to them.
Two boards later, the privilege of striking the first blow backfired:

## Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- AJ 107
\& 5
$\diamond$ Q 864
\& 182


Whoever is on lead, will make INT. According to the Laws of Bridge, it's the defenders' right to make the first lead so INT was quickly down three on a diamond lead, eventually followed by a spade shift. Denmark +150 .
In the other room, the disadvantage of a nebulous $1 \diamond$ opening bid came to light once again:


Weimin Wang, China

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graversen | Yu Chen | Clemmensen Wei Wang |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \stackrel{1}{2}$ | Pass | $2 \&$ |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \stackrel{2}{2}$ | All Pass |

As the system did not enable South to properly show his diamonds, North could never support them, so the Chinese could do no better than sell out to the E/W contract of $3 \%$.Another +130 and an unexpected swing of 7 IMPs to Denmark.
At the start of this report, I promised you two slams. Here is the second one:

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (QJ874 |  |  | - AK 9 |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  |  | $\bigcirc 10763$ |
| $\diamond$ K Q 85 | w | E | $\diamond$ A 974 |
| 2 A 108 |  |  | * J 6 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dai | Askgaard | L Yang | Konow |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

Though $2 \triangleleft$ was forcing checkback, the diamond fit never came to light, which made it difficult to get to slam in either pointed suit. China +480 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Graversen | Yu Chen | Clemmensen Wei Wang |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Despite his relatively weak suit, West judged his hand good enough for a game-forcing jump shift, no doubt because of his good diamond fit. Both $3 \bigcirc$ and $4 \checkmark$ were cuebids implying diamonds. As $4 \checkmark$ clearly implied some sort of club control, East could check key-cards and enquire about the trump queen. Had West not held this card, they would no doubt have settled for $54 . .$.
Nicely bid for a well-deserved +1370 and 12 more IMPs to Denmark.

## Senior Teams

## Mixed Teams

| RR 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 SPAIN | NEW ZEALAND | 54 | 19 | 18.14 | 1.86 | 71 CHINA | NEW ZEALAND | 28 | 21 | 11.81 | 7.19 |
| 52 NORWAY | BRAZIL | 13 | 27 | 5.78 | 14.22 | 72 CHINESE TAIPEI | GERMANY | 43 | 39 | 11.38 | 8.62 |
| 53 DENMARK | USA | 1 | 47 | 0.56 | 19.44 | 73 JAPAN | IRELAND | 38 | 46 | 7.39 | 12.61 |
| 54 TURKEY | ENGLAND | 44 | 32 | 13.71 | 6.29 | 74 POLAND | BULGARIA | 5 | 40 | 1.86 | 18.14 |
| 55 ISRAEL | JAPAN | 54 | 8 | 19.44 | 0.56 | 75 UAE | AUSTRALIA | 13 | 41 | 2.92 | 17.08 |
| 56 CHINA | SWEDEN | 19 | 20 | 9.64 | 10.36 | 76 HUNGARY | TURKEY | 6 | 35 | 2.76 | 17.24 |
| 57 GERMANY | PAKISTAN | 26 | 33 | 7.69 | 12.31 | 77 SWEDEN | RUSSIA | 17 | 29 | 6.29 | 13.71 |
| 58 EGYPT | ITALY | 45 | 44 | 10.36 | 9.64 | 78 NETHERLANDS | ISRAEL | 32 | 24 | 12.61 | 7.39 |
| 59 FRANCE | CHINESE TAIPEI | 25 | 38 | 6.03 | 13.97 | 79 USA | FRANCE | 35 | 25 | 13.18 | 6.82 |
| 60 CANADA | MEXICO | 42 | 11 | 17.56 | 2.44 | 80 ENGLAND | INDIA | 49 | 27 | 15.99 | 4.01 |
| 61 POLAND | INDIA | 62 | 4 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 81 DENMARK | BRAZIL | 33 | 15 | 15.15 | 4.85 |
| 62 CHINA HK | AUSTRALIA | 18 | 23 | 8.30 | 11.70 | 82 ITALY | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |



| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71 NEW ZEALAND | ITALY | 21 | 31 | 6.82 | 13.18 |
| 72 FRANCE | INDIA | 39 | 16 | 16.18 | 3.82 |
| 73 ISRAEL | BRAZIL | 51 | 30 | 15.79 | 4.21 |
| 74 RUSSIA | DENMARK | 20 | 34 | 5.78 | 14.22 |
| 75 TURKEY | ENGLAND | 19 | 34 | 5.54 | 14.46 |
| 76 BULGARIA | USA | 24 | 19 | 11.70 | 8.30 |
| 77 IRELAND | NETHERLANDS | 14 | 33 | 4.63 | 15.37 |
| 78 GERMANY | SWEDEN | 26 | 25 | 10.36 | 9.64 |
| 79 CHINA | HUNGARY | 25 | 31 | 7.99 | 12.01 |
| 80 CHINESE TAIPEI | UAE | 31 | 33 | 9.29 | 10.71 |
| 81 JAPAN | POLAND | 33 | 18 | 14.46 | 5.54 |
| 82 AUSTRALIA | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 NORWAY | NEW ZEALAND | 32 | 29 | 11.05 | 8.95 | 71 CHINESE TAIPEI | NEW ZEALAND | 30 | 44 | 5.78 | 14.22 |
| 52 DENMARK | SPAIN | 48 | 24 | 16.37 | 3.63 | 72 JAPAN | CHINA | 33 | 24 | 12.90 | 7.10 |
| 53 TURKEY | BRAZIL | 31 | 40 | 7.10 | 12.90 | 73 POLAND | GERMANY | 29 | 41 | 6.29 | 13.71 |
| 54 ISRAEL | USA | 23 | 38 | 5.54 | 14.46 | 74 UAE | IRELAND | 18 | 19 | 9.64 | 10.36 |
| 55 CHINA | ENGLAND | 27 | 13 | 14.22 | 5.78 | 75 HUNGARY | BULGARIA | 7 | 61 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 56 GERMANY | JAPAN | 33 | 13 | 15.58 | 4.42 | 76 SWEDEN | AUSTRALIA | 34 | 32 | 10.71 | 9.29 |
| 57 EGYPT | SWEDEN | 45 | 10 | 18.14 | 1.86 | 77 NETHERLANDS | TURKEY | 45 | 20 | 16.55 | 3.45 |
| 58 FRANCE | PAKISTAN | 26 | 3 | 16.18 | 3.82 | 78 USA | RUSSIA | 4 | 33 | 2.76 | 17.24 |
| 59 CANADA | ITALY | 14 | 44 | 2.60 | 17.40 | 79 ENGLAND | FRANCE | 21 | 48 | 3.09 | 16.91 |
| 60 POLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI | 7 | 28 | 4.21 | 15.79 | 80 DENMARK | ITALY | 22 | 11 | 13.45 | 6.55 |
| 61 CHINA HK | MEXICO | 38 | 19 | 15.37 | 4.63 | 8I BRAZIL | INDIA | 30 | 10 | 15.58 | 4.42 |
| 62 AUSTRALIA | INDIA | 15 | 19 | 8.62 | 11.38 | 82 ISRAEL | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| RR 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  | Match <br> 7 I NEW ZEALAND INDIA |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 51 NEW ZEALAND | INDIA | 22 | 19 | 11.05 | 8.95 |  |  | 33 | 5 | 17.08 | 2.92 |
| 52 MEXICO | AUSTRALIA | 5 | 18 | 6.03 | 13.97 | 72 ITALY | BRAZIL | 38 | 3 | 18.14 | 1.86 |
| 53 CHINESE TAIPEI | CHINA HK | 32 | 8 | 16.37 | 3.63 | 73 FRANCE | DENMARK | 23 | 20 | 11.05 | 8.95 |
| 54 ITALY | POLAND | 23 | 25 | 9.29 | 10.71 | 74 ISRAEL | ENGLAND | 30 | 17 | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 55 PAKISTAN | CANADA | 13 | 27 | 5.78 | 14.22 | 75 TURKEY | USA | 19 | 24 | 8.30 | 11.70 |
| 56 SWEDEN | FRANCE | 21 | 22 | 9.64 | 10.36 | 76 AUSTRALIA | NETHERLANDS | 28 | 32 | 8.62 | 11.38 |
| 57 JAPAN | EGYPT | 17 | 20 | 8.95 | 11.05 | 77 BULGARIA | SWEDEN | 30 | 6 | 16.37 | 3.63 |
| 58 ENGLAND | GERMANY | 20 | 15 | 11.70 | 8.30 | 78 IRELAND | HUNGARY | 6 | 37 | 2.44 | 17.56 |
| 59 USA | CHINA | 50 | 18 | 17.71 | 2.29 | 79 GERMANY | UAE | 32 | 8 | 16.37 | 3.63 |
| 60 BRAZIL | ISRAEL | 36 | 32 | 11.38 | 8.62 | 80 CHINA | POLAND | 27 | 16 | 13.45 | 6.55 |
| 61 SPAIN | TURKEY | 4 | 34 | 2.60 | 17.40 | 81 CHINESE TAIPEI | JAPAN | 10 | 19 | 7.10 | 12.90 |
| 62 NORWAY | DENMARK | 30 | 34 | 8.62 | 11.38 | 82 RUSSIA | BYE | 0 | 0 | 12.00 | 0.00 |


| $R R 7$ |  |  |  | $R \mathrm{R} 8$ |  |  |  | $R R 9$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Open Teams Group A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match | VP |  |  | Match | VP | s |  |  | Match | VP |  |
| I SINGAPORE | UKRAINE | 8.80 | 11.20 | 1 ISRAEL | SINGAPORE | 10.76 | 8.24 |  | SINGAPORE | FRANCE | 2.15 | 17.85 |
| 2 JORDAN | ISRAEL | 3.12 | 16.88 | 2 FRANCE | JORDAN | 17.17 | 2.83 |  | JORDAN | CHINESE TAIPEI | 2.15 | 17.85 |
| 3 ESTONIA | FRANCE | 4.26 | 15.74 | 3 CHINESE TAIPEI | ESTONIA | 2.28 | 17.72 |  | ESTONIA | GREECE | 15.38 | 4.62 |
| 4 GERMANY | CHINESE TAIPEI | 13.97 | 6.03 | 4 GREECE | GERMANY | 9.09 | 10.91 |  | 4 GERMANY | INDIA | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| 5 ITALY | GREECE | 17.03 | 2.97 | 5 INDIA | ITALY | 17.31 | 2.69 |  | 5 ITALY | BRAZIL | 17.85 | 2.15 |
| 6 BRAZIL | INDIA | 0.00 | 20.00 | 6 SWITZERLAND | BRAZIL | 16.42 | 3.58 |  | ISRAEL | AUSTRALIA | 4.62 | 15.38 |
| 7 PHILIPPINES | AUSTRALIA | 5.82 | 14.18 | 7 AUSTRALIA | UKRAINE | 6.03 | 13.97 |  | UKRAINE | RUSSIA | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| 8 SOUTH AFRICA | RUSSIA | 6.72 | 13.28 | 8 RUSSIA | PHILIPPINES | 11.48 | 8.52 |  | PHILIPPINES | FINLAND | 0.39 | 19.61 |
| 9 FINLAND | SWITZERLAND | 0.23 | 19.77 | 9 FINLAND | SOUTH AFRICA | 17.72 | 2.28 |  | SOUTH AFRICA | SWITZERLAND | 17.72 | 2.28 |
| Open Teams Group B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Match | VPs |  | Match VPs |  |  |  | Match |  |  | VPs |  |
| II PAKISTAN | LATVIA | 13.04 | 6.96 | II ENGLAND | PAKISTAN | 17.03 | 2.97 |  | I PAKISTAN | USA | 0.75 | 19.25 |
| 12 KUWAIT | ENGLAND |  | 20.00 | 12 USA | KUWAIT | 12.29 | 7.71 |  | 2 KUWAIT | NORWAY | -1.00 | 20.00 |
| 13 BOSNIA HERZ. | USA | 9.69 | 10.31 | 13 NORWAY | BOSNIA HERZ. | 19.34 | 0.66 |  | 3 BOSNIA HERZ. | ARGENTINA | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 14 MONACO | NORWAY | 20.00 | 0.00 | 14 ARGENTINA | MONACO | 10.00 | 10.00 |  | 4 MONACO | MEXICO | 19.43 | 0.57 |
| 15 POLAND | ARGENTINA | 11.76 | 8.24 | 15 MEXICO | POLAND | 2.15 | 17.85 |  | 5 POLAND | LEBANON | 18.09 | 1.91 |
| 16 LEBANON | MEXICO | 9.69 | 10.31 | 16 TURKEY | LEBANON | 14.39 | 5.61 |  | 6 ENGLAND | ICELAND | 7.20 | 12.80 |
| 17 CHINA HK | ICELAND | 14.60 | 5.40 | 17 ICELAND | LATVIA | 3.27 | 16.73 |  | 7 LATVIA | JAPAN | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 18 TUNISIA | JAPAN | 10.61 | 9.39 | 18 JAPAN | CHINA HK | 15.00 | 5.00 |  | 8 CHINA HK | BELGIUM | 2.83 | 17.17 |
| 19 BELGIUM | TURKEY | 5.82 | 14.18 | 19 BELGIUM | TUNISIA | 13.28 | 6.72 |  | 9 TUNISIA | TURKEY | 12.03 | 7.97 |

## Open Teams Group C

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | BANGLADESH | SCOTLAND | 0.66 |
| 19.34 |  |  |  |
| 22 GUADELOUPE | SPAIN | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 23 NEW ZEALAND | SWEDEN | 13.52 | 6.48 |
| 24 NETHERLANDS | DENMARK | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 25 CHINA | SAN MARINO | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 HUNGARY | CANADA | 7.45 | 12.55 |
| 27 AUSTRIA | EGYPT | 14.50 | 4.50 |
| 28 IRELAND | LITHUANIA | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 29 UAE | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | SPAIN | BANGLADESH | 16.58 |
| 22 SWEDEN | GUADELOUPE | 12.03 | 7.97 |
| 23 DENMARK | NEW ZEALAND | 2.99 | 15.51 |
| 24 SAN MARINO | NETHERLANDS | 0.57 | 19.43 |
| 25 CANADA | CHINA | 16.73 | 3.27 |
| 26 LITHUANIA | HUNGARY | 10.20 | 8.80 |
| 27 UAE | SCOTLAND | 18.77 | 1.23 |
| 28 IRELAND | AUSTRIA | 3.91 | 16.09 |
| 29 EGYPT | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | BANGLADESH | SWEDEN | 5.00 |
| 15.00 |  |  |  |
| 22 GUADELOUPE | DENMARK | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| 23 NEW ZEALAND | SAN MARINO | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 24 NETHERLANDS | CANADA | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 25 CHINA | HUNGARY | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 26 SPAIN | UAE | 14.60 | 5.40 |
| 27 SCOTLAND | EGYPT | 12.29 | 7.71 |
| 28 AUSTRIA | LITHUANIA | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 29 IRELAND | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 31 KOREA | MEXICO | 3.58 | 16.42 |
| 32 JAPAN | SWEDEN | 3.42 | 16.58 |
| 33 PAKISTAN | ENGLAND | 2.28 | 17.72 |
| 34 CHINA | SPAIN | 15.19 | 4.81 |
| 35 NETHERLANDS | BRAZIL | 19.85 | 0.15 |
| 36 NORWAY | JORDAN | 17.59 | 2.41 |
| 37 AUSTRALIA | SOUTH AFRICA | 13.47 | 5.53 |
| 38 EGYPT | TURKEY | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| 39 SAN MARINO | FINLAND | 10.91 | 9.09 |

## Women's Teams Group A

| Match |  | VPs |  | Match |  | VPs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 SWEDEN | KOREA | 7.20 | 12.80 | 31 KOREA | ENGLAND | 1.13 | 18.87 |
| 32 ENGLAND | JAPAN | 3.42 | 16.58 | 32 JAPAN | SPAIN | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| 33 SPAIN | PAKISTAN | 16.88 | 3.12 | 33 PAKISTAN | BRAZIL | 3.12 | 16.88 |
| 34 BRAZIL | CHINA | 8.24 | 11.76 | 34 CHINA | JORDAN | 18.21 | 1.79 |
| 35 JORDAN | NETHERLANDS | 4.62 | 15.38 | 35 NETHERLANDS | NORWAY | 5.40 | 14.60 |
| 36 FINLAND | NORWAY | 12.80 | 7.20 | 36 SWEDEN | SOUTH AFRICA | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| 37 SOUTH AFRICA | MEXICO | 14.18 | 5.82 | 37 MEXICO | TURKEY | 6.03 | 13.97 |
| 38 TURKEY | AUSTRALIA | 19.77 | 0.23 | 38 AUSTRALIA | SAN MARINO | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 39 SAN MARINO | EGYPT | 4.08 | 15.92 | 39 EGYPT | FINLAND | 7.97 | 12.03 |

## Women's Teams Group B

|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 4I CHINESE TAIPEI | CHILE | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 42 CHINA HK | ITALY | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 43 ICELAND | USA | 0.57 | 19.43 |
| 44 FRANCE | SCOTLAND | 8.52 | 11.48 |
| 45 POLAND | INDIA | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 46 DENMARK | PALESTINE | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 TUNISIA | GERMANY | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 48 IRELAND | CANADA | 7.20 | 12.80 |
| 49 NEW ZEALAND | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 41 ITALY | CHINESE TAIPEI | 14.50 | 4.50 |
| 42 USA | CHINA HK | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 SCOTLAND | ICELAND | 8.52 | 11.48 |
| 44 INDIA | FRANCE | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 45 PALESTINE | POLAND | 3.91 | 16.09 |
| 46 CANADA | DENMARK | 2.55 | 17.45 |
| 47 GERMANY | NEW ZEALAND | 8.24 | 11.76 |
| 48 IRELAND | TUNISIA | 13.04 | 6.96 |
| 49 CHILE | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |


|  | Match | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 41 CHINESE TAIPEI | USA | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 42 CHINA HK | SCOTLAND | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 43 ICELAND | INDIA | 11.48 | 8.52 |
| 44 FRANCE | PALESTINE | 17.59 | 2.41 |
| 45 POLAND | DENMARK | 5.40 | 14.60 |
| 46 CHILE | GERMANY | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 47 NEW ZEALAND | IRELAND | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| 48 TUNISIA | CANADA | 0.15 | 19.85 |
| 49 ITALY | BYE | 12.00 | 0.00 |

## The Polish Corner

## TAAAKIE FITY

！Siedząc w brydżramie，zwłaszcza w trakcie sesji wieczornych można czasem usłyszeć pochrapywanie，świadczące wymownie o tym，że oglądane rozdania są niezbyt emocjonujące．Tak było między innymi w poniedziałek wieczorem．Transmitowany był mecz USA－Monako，rozdanie biegło za rozdaniem，a ziewających przybywało．A w tym samym czasie w turnieju mikstów i seniorów przyszło najbardziej chyba dynamiczne dotychczas rozdanie olimpiady：

Rozd．20．Obie po，rozd．W．

|  | ¢ AK D 987 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$－ |
|  | $\diamond$ KD97654 |
|  | \％－ |
| ¢ 2 | $N$－ 106 |
| $\bigcirc$ K DW 9874 | －$\quad$ A 1065 |
| $\diamond$－ | W E $\quad \diamond$ A 1082 |
| \％KW 43 | S Q 82 |
|  | ¢ W 543 |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |
|  | $\diamond$ W 3 |
|  | \＆A 109765 |

Jak widać，na linii NS wychodzi szlemik w piki，ale tylko z ręki S． Wydaje się，że nie bardzo jest jak ustawić kontrakt pikowy od krótszych atutów．Ale．．．
W meczu seniorów Polska－Brazylia W otworzył 4Y．Jerzy Russyan z ręką N zalicytował 5『，E na wypadek，gdyby jego partner znalazł się na wiście，pokazał asa－6 ${ }^{\text {．Przyszła kolej na }}$ Krzysztofa Lasockiego．Oto，jak uzasadniał swój wybór：„Że as trefl nie gra，to wiedziałem！On musiał mieć zamkniętą kartę na te $5 \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ．Ale miałem cztery piki！I waleta karo！Z takimi fitami szlemika miałem pewnego．＂Powiedział 64．Licytacja na tym zgasła．W długo szukał wskazanego przez partnera kara，ale nie znalazł．Nasi zapisali 1430．．．
Rozdanie to wzburzyło wiele par．Słyszałem taką opowieść：„Na jednym ze stołów po $6 \uparrow, N$ zalicytował 74 ．$E$ skontrował，a $W$ odskoczył w 7 ．Od kalectwa，a może i śmierci，uratowało go chyba tylko to，że E siedział na wózku inwalidzkim＂．

## KONKURS POTEGI SKOKU

Zdaje się，że jest taka konkurencja w jeździe konnej．Chyba
nawet olimpijska．Nie wiem za bardzo，na czym polega，ale jej nazwa mówi wiele．Jedno z rozdań z dotychczasowych meczów wykonaniu polskich par mogłoby być zgłoszone do takiej konkurencji，gdyby funkcjonowała ona także w olimpiadzie brydżowej．
Popatrzmy na rozdanie z meczu Polska－USA：
Rozd．I5．NS po，rozd．S．

```
& A 9 3
    \veeKDW876
    \diamond9
    8 8 5
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ¢ K D 62 & N & ¢W 108754 \\
\hline \(\bigcirc\)－ & & \(\bigcirc 952\) \\
\hline \(\diamond\) DW 10863 & W E & \(\diamond 75\) \\
\hline ＊W9 4 & S & \＆ 72 \\
\hline & Q－ & \\
\hline & \(\checkmark\) A 1043 & \\
\hline & \(\checkmark\) AK 2 & \\
\hline & \＆AKD 10 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Justyna Żmuda z ręką S otworzyła Iセ．W zameldowała się I\＆，a Kasia Dufrat zalicytowała $3-$－inwit na $6+$ kierach！E spasowała． Co zalicytowała Justyna？Po prostu 7ヶ．Ten „strzał＂zniechęcił E do obrony．
O nagrodę w konkursie potęgi skoku mógłby też walczyć w tym rozdaniu Michał Klukowski．Nasi panowie w tej rundzie także walczyli z drużyną USA．Z kartą S otworzył on l\＆̀，W wszedł I\＆， Piotr Gawryś zgłosił kiery，delikatnie－kontrą．E spasował，a Michał zapytał o atuty－5BA．W pas，a N－7ヶ．Teraz E uznał，że czas na zaistnienie w licytacji i poszedł w obronę 7a．Po dwóch pasach N powiedział 7＾i biedny W z takim fitem nie mógł już poprzeć partnera：
Prawie identyczna licytacja jak tutaj，miała też miejsce na drugim stole w meczu pań：

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sarniak | Sokolow | Brewiak | S．－Molson <br> Ie |
| $1 \diamond$ |  |  | pas |

Oby w brydżramie takich rozdań było jak najwięcej．．．
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