

## LET THE KNOCKOUTS COMMENCE



The eight qualifiers in the junior event were known after the first of yesterday's three rounds. The front runners were just jockeying for position to get an earlier pick for their quarterfinal opponents.

All the matches will be over 56 boards today. And if there is a tie, another 6 boards will be played.

The draw for all of the matches can be found on page two.

Note that four countries, France, the Netherlands, Po-
land and the United States, have teams playing in all three events. France and Poland also battled it out in the Kids final. But it was hard for the Netherlands and the United States to be there, because they were not invited to enter teams!

Remember that you can get up-to-the-minute scores at worldbridge.org and watch matches live at bridgebase.com.

And let's not forget the Transnational Board-a-Match Teams, which starts today. Have fun.


Elektroser

JUNIORS, YOUNGSTERS AND GIRLS QUARTERFINAL DRAWS


GIRLS



## PROGRAM TODAY

### 10.00 QUARTERFINALS SESSION 1 <br> 12.00 <br> VG: ITA-HUN Girls <br> BBO2: POL-FRA Youngsters BBO3: POL-TUR Junior BBO4: CHN - NOR Junior BBO5: USA1-NED Junior BAM CONSOLATION

13.00 QUARTERFINALS SESSION 2
15.00

VG: SWE - NED Youngsters BBO matches are to be announced BAM CONSOLATION
15.20

QUARTERFINALS SESSION 3
17.20 VG and BBO matches to be announced BAM CONSOLATION
17.40
19.40

QUARTERFINALS SESSION 4 VG and BBO matches to be announced BAM CONSOLATION

## RESULTS - JUNIORS

| JUNIORS |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Round } \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) SWE AUS | 48-28 | 5.26-4.74 |
| (\%) TPE \FRA | 19-49 | 2.96-17.04 |
| (1) NOR NZL | 88-8 | 20.00-0.00 |
| OL 0 | 44-43 | ) |
| NED - | 102-3 | .00 |
| CHN | 58-1 | . 5 |
| SIN \# USA1 | 8-56 | 0.72-19.28 |
| \# USA2 DDEN | 73 - | 20.00-0.00 |
| TUR E | -4 | ) |
|  | 25-59 | 2.37-17.63 |
| , | 84-14 | 0.00-0.00 |
| S |  | $21$ |
| BOT CAN | 19-7 | 0.26-19.74 |
| (80) | $34-$ | 2.97-7.03 |
| DEL | 47-64 | 5.36-14.64 |
| EGY | 29-23 | 11.87-8.13 |
| * ARG | 30-7 | ) |
| *) AUS C*T | $56-$ | 12.71-7.29 |
| SWE USA2 | 44-9 | 17.77-2.23 |
| (2) TPE $\because$ CHN | 19-36 | 5.36-14.64 |
| USA1 POL | 46-40 | 11.87-8.13 |
| 11 NOR NED | 13-22 | 7.29-12.71 |
|  | 29-55 | 3.62-16.38 |



## BUTLER RANKING - JUNIORS AFTER ROUND 21

| Player | Butler | Country | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TANG Zhi - XIA Xinyu | 2.93 | China | 14 |
| TAO Yong - XIA Xinyu | 1.89 | China | 28 |
| EIDE Mats - ELLINGSEN Kristian | 1.84 | Norway | 56 |
| GRONKVIST Mikael - STOKKA Adam | 1.41 | Sweden | 56 |
| CHARIGNON Fabrice - LALOUBEYRE Clement | 1.21 | France | 84 |
| GROSSACK Adam - GROSSACK Zachary | 1.12 | U.S.A. | 210 |
| BIELAWSKI Maciej - NIAJKO Slawomir | 0.92 | Poland | 140 |
| EKENBERG Simon - HULT Simon | 0.91 | Sweden | 182 |
| EDGTTON Adam - EDGTTON Nabil | 0.88 | Australia | 252 |
| WACKWITZ Ernst - WESTERBEEK Chris | 0.87 | Netherlands | 224 |
| JASSEM Pawel - WOJCIESZEK Jakub | 0.85 | Poland | 224 |
| KLUKOWSKI Michal - TUCZYNSKI Piotr | 0.82 | Poland | 224 |
| HULT Simon - STOKKA Adam | 0.82 | Sweden | 56 |
| AGICA Marius - LIEN Owen | 0.80 | U.S.A. | 182 |
| ALTINDAG Anil - KAPUSUZ Yusuf Berkay | 0.71 | Turkey | 140 |
| COUDERT Thibault - LORENZINI Cedric | 0.65 | France | 294 |
| GRUDE Tor Eivind - HEGGE Kristoffer | 0.64 | Norway | 266 |
| VAN LANKVELD Joris - WESTERBEEK Ricardo | 0.62 | Netherlands | 182 |
| EIDE Harald - EIDE Mats | 0.61 | Norway | 28 |
| TANG Zhi - TAO Yong | 0.60 | China | 252 |
| LIU Shuo - ZHANG Liangxiao | 0.54 | China | 280 |
| AYDOGDU Erkmen - OZEN Berk Can | 0.53 | Turkey | 210 |
| AIMALA Antti - FAGERLUND Vesa | 0.50 | Finland | 294 |
| BERNARD Julien - COMBESCURE Baptiste | 0.45 | France | 210 |
| EIDE Mats - HEGGE Kristoffer | 0.43 | Norway | 14 |
| BRESCOLL Zachary - KAPLAN Adam | 0.40 | U.S.A. | 196 |
| GRONKVIST Mikael - GULLBERG Daniel | 0.40 | Sweden | 196 |
| GINNAN Laura - HOWARD Justin | 0.32 | Australia | 154 |
| COZART Darien - TESSAROLO Jordan | 0.32 | Canada | 84 |
| HERMAN Gregory - HUDSON Alex | 0.31 | U.S.A. | 210 |
| KOCLAR Akin - SUZER Ugurcan | 0.30 | Turkey | 238 |
| HUANG Shan - WALSH Tom | 0.30 | Canada | 252 |
| MAMDOUH Wasim - THARWAT Mahmoud | 0.29 | Egypt | 14 |
| POLAK Tobias - VAN OVERBEEKE Tom | 0.27 | Netherlands | 182 |
| CHAN Philip - LAM Leo | 0.21 | China Hong Kong | 196 |
| BILDE Dennis - JEPSEN Rasmus Rask | 0.20 | Denmark | 238 |
| LUI Barney - WAI Cf | 0.19 | China Hong Kong | 196 |
| HO Gordon - TAM Rocky | 0.17 | China Hong Kong | 196 |
| MACAULAY Ethan - TSANG Jeffrey | 0.16 | Canada | 252 |
| GULLBERG Daniel - STOKKA Adam | 0.02 | Sweden | 42 |
| EIDE Harald - ELLINGSEN Kristian | -0.01 | Norway | 210 |
| WANG Zhuo (Jimmy) - ZHOU Erli | -0.05 | U.S.A. | 182 |
| MORGIEL Anna - VIKJJORD Vemund | -0.16 | Germany | 294 |
| THOMPSON Jamie - WILLIAMS Stephen | -0.16 | Australia | 182 |
| EKENBERG Simon - STOKKA Adam | -0.16 | Sweden | 56 |
| WU Edmund - ZHU William | -0.16 | U.S.A. | 196 |
| CHEN Yin-Shou - WANG Jui | -0.16 | Chinese Taipei | 196 |
| LAZAR Kornel - SZIRMAY-KALOS Barnabas | -0.18 | Germany | 294 |
| MAMDOUH Wasim - NASHAAT Michael | -0.18 | Egypt | 224 |
| COUTTS Glenn - JACOB Nick | -0.21 | New Zealand | 252 |
| BAILEY Samuel Edward - COUTTS Samuel | -0.21 | New Zealand | 210 |
| LIM Kah Hong - SHAN Shenghao | -0.30 | Singapore | 182 |
| BOUTON Victoria - BROWN Matthew | -0.31 | New Zealand | 126 |
| NG Sean Jake Peng - ONG Jin Xiang | -0.34 | Singapore | 210 |
| XIA Xinyu - ZHANG Liangxiao | -0.36 | China | 14 |
| CHAN Ryan - LEE Derek Cheng Feng | -0.36 | Singapore | 196 |
| ABDEL-AZIZ Mohamed - ALSELEHDAR Aly | -0.39 | Egypt | 266 |
| HUANG Hao-Wei - TAI Yu-Tung | -0.40 | Chinese Taipei | 210 |
| KABRA Saket - RAVICHANDRAN Rahul | -0.42 | India | 294 |
| MITRA Sounak - SARKAR ANINDRA | -0.45 | India | 294 |
| KOIVU Alma - MYLLAERI Maria | -0.46 | Finland | 84 |
| BILDE Majka Cilleborg - JEPSEN Peter | -0.47 | Denmark | 168 |
| BUUS THOMSEN Emil - BUUS THOMSEN Signe | -0.48 | Denmark | 182 |
| KOIVU Alma - KOIVU Oskari | -0.65 | Finland | 84 |
| KOIVU Oskari - MYLLAERI Maria | -0.66 | Finland | 126 |
| FADY Kirollos - THARWAT Mahmoud | -0.75 | Egypt | 84 |
| CHUNG Tai-Che - LEE Shih-Yao | -0.76 | Chinese Taipei | 182 |
| SANTA ANA Matias E. - VON BRUDERSDORFF F. | -0.81 | Argentina | 154 |
| RUEDA Santiago - SANTA ANA Matias Exequiel | -0.83 | Argentina | 42 |
| RUEDA Santiago - VALLECILLO Francisco Javier | -0.84 | Argentina | 98 |
| RUEDA Santiago - VON BRUDERSDORFF F. | -0.95 | Argentina | 84 |
| ELLINGSEN Kristian - GRUDE Tor Eivind | -1.14 | Norway | 14 |
| ARGAIN Ariel - SENGIALI Nicolas Miguel | -1.47 | Argentina | 210 |
| MALILO Mbakisi - RAMOSEKI Prince | -2.28 | Botswana | 210 |
| MOJI Mosimanegape - MOLETA Kabelo | -2.81 | Botswana | 182 |
| ELLECE Boatametse - MAELE Glod | -3.20 | Botswana | 196 |

## BUTLER RANKING - YOUNGSTERS AFTER ROUND 15

| Players | Butler | Country | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROSENBERG Kevin - SPENCER Cole | 3.36 | U.S.A. | 14 |
| CASPERSEN Soeren Veel - TODD-MOIR Victor | 2.00 | Denmark | 14 |
| RIMSTEDT Ola - SAFSTEN Johan | 1.22 | Sweden | 210 |
| JIN Kai - ZHU Chenyu | 1.08 | China | 140 |
| GU Sijia - MIAO Benjie | 1.07 | China | 126 |
| MARCINOWSKI Piotr - SOBCZAK Mateusz | 0.86 | Poland | 196 |
| CHANG Nolan - SPENCER Cole | 0.79 | U.S.A. | 56 |
| BEUGIN Francois - FOURRE Matthieu | 0.77 | France | 140 |
| DAVILA Nicolas - GERTSMANN Sofia | 0.75 | Chile | 28 |
| BAKKE Christian - FLAATT Espen | 0.74 | Norway | 210 |
| KRAWCZYK Blazej - KRYSA Michal | 0.67 | Poland | 182 |
| KOLEK Lukas - VOJTIK Jakub | 0.66 | Czech Republic | 182 |
| GRONKVIST Ida - RIMSTEDT Mikael | 0.64 | Sweden | 210 |
| CHAN Francis - NG Mark | 0.64 | China Hong Kong | 140 |
| DHIR Arjun - JOLLY Christian | 0.63 | U.S.A. | 168 |
| KRISTENSEN Benjamin - ROSENBERG Kevin | 0.62 | U.S.A. | 196 |
| SAETHER Joakim - SCHEIE Marcus | 0.57 | Norway | 210 |
| STOUGIE Leen - STOUGIE Marc | 0.44 | Netherlands | 112 |
| BOULIN Arthur - DEHEEGER Colin | 0.41 | France | 126 |
| CASPERSEN Soeren Veel - ROSAGER Oliver | 0.34 | Denmark | 126 |
| KLEMS Erik - MELCAK Martin | 0.32 | Czech Republic | 112 |
| TSENG Sam - WAN Vinci | 0.31 | China Hong Kong | 140 |
| CHEN Siyuan - WANG Qingfeng | 0.29 | China | 154 |
| BERK Hakan - SOUKUP David | 0.27 | U.S.A. | 126 |
| COPPENS Pim - DONKERSLOOT Bob | 0.25 | Netherlands | 154 |
| HUBER Christopher - KRIEGEL Oren | 0.18 | U.S.A. | 154 |
| JAKABSIC Jakub - KVOCEK Juraj | 0.11 | Slovakia | 210 |
| SPRINKHUIZEN Thibo - TIJSSEN Luc | 0.11 | Netherlands | 154 |
| LEE Louis - TSANG Jackson | 0.03 | China Hong Kong | 140 |
| BRODERSEN Jon - LAHRMANN Christian | 0.02 | Denmark | 140 |
| HEBBERT Jessie - LAMOUREUX Victor | -0.02 | Canada | 126 |
| MARIE Benjamin - VINCENOT Thibaud | -0.04 | France | 154 |
| GUNEYI Eylem Tugce - OFLAZ Mehmet Can | -0.08 | Turkey | 126 |
| KUBICA Adam - VODICKA Martin | -0.12 | Slovakia | 210 |
| FENG Xinyu Bob - YANG Xu | -0.13 | Canada | 182 |
| IMDAT Eren - ONAL Oguzhan | -0.13 | Turkey | 182 |
| BOTUR Michael - KOHUTOVA Lucie | -0.13 | Czech Republic | 126 |
| CELEP Cem - CIFTCI Ilgaz | -0.16 | Turkey | 112 |
| HUNT Allison - SNOWDEN Burke | -0.25 | U.S.A. | 126 |
| KURZAK Przemyslaw - PLADER Lukasz | -0.67 | Poland | 42 |
| HEBBERT Jessie - HEBBERT Jordan | -0.71 | Canada | 14 |
| BUNE Soren - TODD-MOIR Victor | -0.80 | Denmark | 140 |
| FENG Xinyu Bob - LAMOUREUX Victor | -0.93 | Canada | 14 |
| BOSSONNEY Kevin - BOSSONNEY Kyle | -1.57 | Chile | 210 |
| HEBBERT Jordan - MACDONALD Spencer | -1.60 | Canada | 84 |
| GERTSMANN Sofia - MOLINA Diana | -2.46 | Chile | 168 |
| DHARANISHVAR S S - SHYLENDRAN P | -2.51 | India | 210 |
| MOHAMMED HARRIS S - SHANMUGAPRIYAN M | -2.76 | India | 210 |
| DAVILA Nicolas - MOLINA Diana | -2.79 | Chile | 14 |

## BUTLER RANKING - GIRLS AFTER ROUND 13

| Players | Butler | Country | Boards |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARBIT Julie - TEBHA Anam | 2.27 | U.S.A. | 56 |
| BURGIO Caterina - BUTTO Federica | 1.32 | Italy | 98 |
| BANAS Natalia - GROENLAND Carla | 1.24 | Netherlands | 84 |
| FU Bo - LI Hanxiao | 1.12 | China | 140 |
| BOTTA Giorgia - COSTA Margherita | 1.02 | Italy | 126 |
| JAROSZ Aleksandra - ZMUDA Justyna | 0.99 | Poland | 126 |
| MOSKOVSKY Ellena - TRAVIS Lauren | 0.91 | Australia | 168 |
| CHAVARRIA Margherita - SALVATO Michela | 0.91 | Italy | 112 |
| WU Qihao - ZHAO Bing | 0.91 | China | 154 |
| TEBHA Anam - WERNIS Rebecca | 0.64 | U.S.A. | 28 |
| BRUIJNSTEEN Merel - VAN DELFT Doris | 0.63 | Netherlands | 112 |
| LEUFKENS Lotte - TICHA Magdalena | 0.60 | Netherlands | 140 |
| HUBERSCHWILLER Anne-Laure - MOURGUES J. | 0.60 | France | 126 |
| BALDYSZ Zofia - MADUZIA Anna | 0.56 | Poland | 84 |
| DE TESSIERES Jessie - THIZY Aurelie | 0.55 | France | 126 |
| FULLER Kirstyn - PATTISON Ella | 0.54 | Australia | 98 |
| LELEU Anais - THUILLEZ Mathilde | 0.48 | France | 84 |
| SEKER Olgu - USKUP Burcu | 0.40 | Turkey | 168 |
| CHEN Kuan-Hsuan - CHIU Yun-Ju | 0.40 | Chinese Taipei | 139 |
| ARBIT Julie - THAPA Isha | 0.28 | U.S.A. | 98 |
| INDREBO Thea Lucia - SJODAL Sofie Grasholt | 0.18 | Norway | 56 |
| ERSEK Laura - FISCHER Brigitta | 0.14 | Hungary | 168 |
| DLUGOSZ Olga - SZCZYPCZYK Agnieszka | 0.10 | Poland | 126 |
| HAUGE Thea Hove - KLINGEN Marte Haugen | 0.03 | Norway | 112 |
| BEKO Zsofia - FISCHER Andrea | -0.06 | Hungary | 98 |
| TSOU Hsin-Yen - TSOU Meng-Hsuan | -0.07 | Chinese Taipei | 97 |
| LINZ Marianna - WERNIS Rebecca | -0.16 | U.S.A. | 98 |
| ENGIN Ozge - OZECOGLU Nida | -0.21 | Turkey | 28 |
| SRIDAR HINDU SREE - SRIDAR JAYASHREE | -0.23 | India | 167 |
| BRAKE Jessica - COOPER Renee | -0.27 | Australia | 70 |
| BEKO Maria - FISCHER Andrea | -0.43 | Hungary | 70 |
| OZSEMA Habibe Guldamla - TUGRUL Tugce Ceren | -0.62 | Turkey | 140 |
| FROYSE Stine - SJODAL Sofie Grasholt | -0.75 | Norway | 56 |
| LADYZHENSKY Asya - TEBHA Anam | -1.00 | U.S.A. | 56 |
| FROYSE Stine - MIKKELSEN Charlotte | -1.00 | Norway | 70 |
| INDREBO Thea Lucia - MIKKELSEN Charlotte | -1.18 | Norway | 28 |
| YANG Hsin-Jung - YAO Wen-Chun | -1.28 | Chinese Taipei | 98 |
| SATHE SHRUTI - VORA NIYATI | -1.37 | India | 167 |
| CHEN Li - LI Xinyi | -1.43 | China | 42 |
| HAUGE Thea Hove - INDREBO Thea Lucia | -1.57 | Norway | 14 |
| GAOLATLHWE Onalenna - MOLOME Ontlametse | -2.29 | Botswana | 14 |
| DIPORO Kgomotso - MOLOME Ontlametse | -2.32 | Botswana | 140 |
| CAIPHUS Tebogo - GAOLATLHWE Onalenna | -3.11 | Botswana | 28 |
| DIPORO Kgomotso - GAOLATLHWE Onalenna | -3.36 | Botswana | 14 |
| BADI Angela - CAIPHUS Tebogo | -3.44 | Botswana | 112 |
| BADI Angela - GAOLATLHWE Onalenna | -3.46 | Botswana | 28 |

## THE KIDS FINAL

France and Poland would play over 48 boards to decide the winner of the inaugural Kids Championship. France began with a carryover of 5.5 imps from the match between the teams in the qualifying round robin.
The lead did not last this deal:

> Board 5. Dealer North. N-S Vul.
> AJ 86
> $\checkmark 72$
> $\diamond$ A J 1092
> \& J 85

A AQ5 3
๑K1094
$\diamond--$
\& Q 10962
Open Room
West North East South

| P. Patreuha | Bellicaud J. Patreuha | Guillemin |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Pass | 1NT |  |
| Pass | (a) |  |  |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |
| (a) Both majors |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Guth | Kopka | Le Lez | Maszenda |
|  | Pass | 1NT | Dble (a) |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |

(a) Five-card minor and a four-card major

Each South had the same choice: double to show a long minor and shorter major, or bid two clubs to indicate the majors.

In this instance, the decision by Theo Guillemin in theory should have been worth one imp because his partner ought to have chosen to play in spades. Eight tricks were available in each black suit, although the play might have got a little messy.
Against two hearts, Patryk Patreuha defended perfectly. He led the ace of clubs, played a second club, received a club ruff, and switched to a diamond. Now South was destined to go two down.
Against two clubs, Victor Le Lez led a low diamond. Kacper Kopka took West's queen with his ace, played a heart to dummy's king and returned a heart to East's queen. A trump switch seems marked now, but East led the ten of spades. North won with his jack and was in control, ending with ten tricks.
Plus 200 and plus 130 gave Poland 8 imps on the board and the match lead by 12-5.5.


Another 12 imps went the same way on the next deal when Le Lez misplayed a three-notrump contract.

This deal was not easy for East-West to judge -- especially West.

Board 9. Dealer North. E-W Vul.
© 54
$\checkmark$ K Q
$\diamond$ A Q J 9842
\& K 5

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { A A J 6 } \\ & \text { 刃 } 9752 \\ & \diamond 65 \\ & \text { \& J } 876 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

- KQ10972
$\bigcirc 9752$
© AJ 863
$\diamond$ K 7
\& 0
- 83
$\bigcirc 104$
$\diamond 103$
\& A Q 109432

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| P. Patreuha | Bellicaud J. | . Patreuha | Guillemin |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 14. | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | 30 | Pass |
| 49 | $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Guth | Kopka | Le Lez | Maszend |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 14. | 3\% |
| Pass | 34 | 40 | Pass |
| 4- | 54 | All Pas |  |

If Jakub Patreuha had passed over five diamonds, perhaps his younger brother would have competed with five hearts, which was laydown.
The best defence against five diamonds doubled is tough, even for seniors, but perhaps not impossible given this auction that strongly suggests that East's hand has $6=5=2=0$ distribution.
East led the king of spades. West could have overtaken with his ace and led the jack of clubs, a suit-preference signal advertising the jack of spades. East ruffs, underleads in spades (perhaps after cashing the ace of hearts and getting a discouraging signal), and gets a second ruff for three down.

At the table, West did not overtake at trick one. East continued with the queen of spades, which West did win to switch to a heart. Now North escaped for two down.
In the closed room, South's weak jump shift had North thinking about three notrumps, so he asked for a spade stopper. When five clubs came around to West, one feels that he should have bid five hearts. East bid to four hearts, vulnerable against not, all on his own. He had to have a prime hand. And West knew it was a double-fit deal. Double fits almost always generate more tricks than the combined highcard values would suggest.


East-West took their five tricks for three down, but because it was undoubled, Poland gained 4 imps .

France finally got on the scoreboard when the North players held this hand:

## 

Partner opens one heart with nobody vulnerable. What would you do?

It looks normal to raise to two hearts. If you have this gadget available, you might respond one forcing notrump because your hand has so many losers, planning to rebid two hearts next.

In the open room, Kopka passed. Le Lez bravely balanced with one notrump on a nine-count, South rebid two diamonds, North gave preference to two hearts, and there matters rested, making nine tricks.

In the closed room, Luc Bellicaud responded one spade. Guillemin rebid three diamonds, North jumped to four hearts (principle of fast arrival, trying to show a weak hand), but South now used Roman Key Card Blackwood, which carried them to the precarious level of five hearts.

This was South's hand:

## 

Luckily, West had the queen-jack-doubleton of hearts. The play was imperfect, but the contract came home to give France 7 imps. And they had a lucky huge gain on this deal:

Board 13. Dealer North. Both Vul.
か K Q 987
๑ J 3
$\diamond$ QJ 975
\& 4


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P. Patreuha | Bellicaud J. Patreuha | Guillemin |  |
|  | 24 | (a) | Dble | Pass

(a) Five spades and a four-card or longer minor

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guth | Kopka | Le Lez | Maszenda |
|  | $2 \uparrow$ (a) | Dble | 2NT (b) |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dble | $3 \uparrow$ |
| $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{4}$ | All Pass |  |

(a) At least 5-5 in spades and a minor
(b) Enquiry

The French pair in the closed room obviously had a misunderstanding about East's double. He intended it as for penalty, but West assumed it announced a good heartclub hand.

Against three clubs doubled, North led the king of spades. South overtook with his ace and returned the jack. North overtook that and continued with the nine. South, after overruffing the dummy, switched to a heart. West won in the dummy and played a trump, jack, king. Declarer ruffed his last spade, but South overruffed again, cashed his two top trumps and exited with a high heart. West had to lose one more trick in the wash to go down.

Against four spades, East led the ace of hearts and switched to a trump. Declarer won in the dummy and played a heart to his jack. East took that trick and persevered with his second trump. West ruffed the next heart. North overruffed drew the last trump and misguessed in clubs by playing low to the jack. Now he went down three also.

Plus 800 and plus 300 gave France 15 imps . At the end of the first third, Poland led by 0.5 imp .


The second set was not on BBO. Each team had one big swing. On the first deal, both sides were in three notrumps. Poland made it when the French defender made the normal, but fatal opening lead. At the other table, the Polish defender made his normal opening lead, and later they defended correctly to defeat the contract and gain 12 imps . And a Polish pair bid an almost no-play slam to lose 13 imps .

The score was 57-52.5 to Poland.
In the last set, 75 imps changed hands and there were only two flat boards. This was the first deal:

Board 1. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 73
$\bigcirc 64$
$\diamond$ A Q 864
\& 8754

| 4 K 984 |  | ¢ J 652 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 1052 |  | ৩KJ 987 |
| $\diamond$ K 92 |  | $\diamond 7$ |
| \& Q |  | \& 1063 |
|  | A A Q 10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 1053 |  |
|  | \& A K J 92 |  |

Open Room
West North East South
P. Patreuha Bellicaud J. Patreuha Guillemin

|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $1 \Omega$ | Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Dble |
| Redble | 2NT (a) | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |

(a) Both minors, longer diamonds

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guth | Kaleta | Le Lez | Pawelczyk |
|  | Pass | Pass | 104 |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

In the open room, Jakub Patreuha was cautious in bidding only two hearts, not the four hearts as advocated by the Law of Total Tricks. But it worked out well when he bought it in four hearts next time after South was reluctant to go to the five-level.

Against four hearts, North led a club. South won and made the nice switch to the queen of spades. Now it was easy for the defenders to take two spades, one diamond and one club.

Five diamonds was never in danger and Poland gained 8 imps.

There were only two double-digit swings in the set. This was the first:

Board 5. Dealer North. N-S Vul.

- 8
$\bigcirc 64$
$\diamond 10864$
\& K Q 9876


In the open room, the auction strongly suggested a trump lead. But East led the ace of hearts before switching to a trump. North won in his hand and led his spade, putting up dummy's king when East played low. West won with his ace and led the diamond, ruffed in the dummy. Now declarer ruffed a spade in his hand, ruffed a diamond with dummy's last trump, the ace, and discarded his remaining heart on the jack of spades. However, East ruffed to give this position:


Now East should have led his last trump. North would have had to give two diamond tricks to West. But when East led the king of hearts, North missed his chance. He actually ruffed and cashed the king of clubs to go two down. After ruffing, he should have led his low club, endplaying East to give the dummy two heart tricks.

In the other room, the French powered into five dia-
monds, sweeping aside their opponents' aggressive calls.
Five diamonds could have been made. Declarer takes South's jack of spades with his ace and plays a diamond to dummy's king. He sees the bad break, so takes the top hearts to discard his club loser, ruffs a club in his hand, draws all of North's trumps, and plays on spades to establish a trick for his ten.

Guth, though, unfortunately cashed his ace of diamonds at trick two. He could not recover.

That was 11 imps to Poland, who now led by 32.5 imps.

Two boards later, both North-South pairs had this auction: 1NT-20-2®-3NT-Pass. East had this hand:

↔ 95 ๑QJ75 $\diamond 1092$ \& J 863
What would you lead?
Just to show how tough bridge can be, a heart is best because partner has $\triangle \mathrm{K}$-10-9.

Jakub Patreuha chose the five of spades (low from a doubleton). This gave nothing away and left declarer needing three clubs tricks from A-10-7-5 in his hand and Q-$9-4$ in the dummy. What would you do?

While you are thinking, at the other table Le Lez led the three of clubs, which was unluckily fatal. So Poland gained 12 imps.

Bellicaud led the queen from the dummy, covered by the king and ace. Then he played low to dummy's nine and could gain only two tricks in the suit.

The right play is low to the nine first, planning, if it loses to the jack, to run the queen next. The chance of three tricks is 56.23 percent.

The final score was 107-77.5 to Poland. Congratulations to Michal Maszenda, Kacper Kopka, Michal Kaleta, Tomasz Pawelczyk, Patryk and Jakub Patreuha, with Laszek Novak the npc, Roland Lippik the coach, and Dr. Halina Kaleta as the team physician.

## WHEN YOU ARE HOT by Nei Jeng Chen, npc Chinese Taipei Juniors

T
his was Board 10 of Round 13 in the girls event:

Dealer East. Both Vul.
A987632
$\checkmark 1096$
$\diamond 109$
\& J 4


In the match between France and Chinese Taipei, the French pair of Mathilde Thuillez and Anais Leleu sensibly bid to three notrumps and made it with an overtrick.

This was the auction from our pair:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kuan-Hsuan | Chen | Yun-Ju | Chiu |
|  |  | 108 (a) | $1 \diamond$ |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 44 | Pass | Pass | Pass |

(a) 16-plus points

What happened?
Well, if South had passed, West's response would have shown a balanced hand with 14 or 15 points. West assumed that still applied here. But East thought the bid was natural, so raised her partner's long suit. West now believed that her partner was showing long spades, so she raised her partner!

And how did four spades get on?
It was unbeatable! Despite the 6-1 trump break and missing the ace of clubs, there were ten tricks: three spades, three hearts, two diamonds, one club and a high diamond ruff in the dummy.

That was a lucky push board for the Chinese Taipei girls.


(0)

The eighth spy film of the James Bond series was named "Live and Let Die". This movie was also the first with Roger Moore as 007, and the soundtrack was made by Paul McCartney and his band Wings. The lyrics to that song quite well illustrate what Adam Grossack was up to in the vu-graph match when USA 1 played against France on Monday morning in these championships.

This is the first part of the song:
When you were young
And your heart was an open book
You used to say, "Live and let live" (You know you did,
you know you did,
you know you did)
But if this ever-changing world in which we're livin' Makes you give in and cry
Say live and let die
Live and let die
Live and let die
Live and let die


What does it matter to ya, when you've got a job to do, you gotta do it well. You gotta give the other fellow Hell.

That's exactly what Adam Grossack did when the French pair misdefended a little against him.

Board 13. Dealer North. Both Vul.


According to Deep Finesse, North-South can get eight tricks against perfect defence. What happened in our game is that West led the ace of hearts and shifted to the three of clubs. So far so good for the defence, there is still no way to make the contract. Adam now went up with dummy's ace, then called for the queen of clubs. When West covered with the king, declarer ruffed and continued with the jack of hearts from his hand. East won with the ace and cashed the ace of diamonds.

The situation was now:


As long as East doesn't return a low diamond, the contract is still going down ... but East did not see this and returned one of the two cards in his hand that could allow declarer to make the contract -- the eight of diamonds. However, Adam didn't accept this gift and ruffed with the ace of spades.
Adam then called for the queen of hearts from dummy, West ruffed and declarer overruffed. The queen of diamonds followed, East covered with the king, and declarer ruffed with dummy's jack.
When Adam now called for the ten of hearts from dummy , the next mistake came from the defense. West continued to ruff. Declarer overruffed, drew trumps and ran his good diamonds.

If West had discarded on the ten of hearts, declarer would have lost control of the trump suit and would have had to give up a trump in the end.
Still, in my ears... I can hear this loud and clear...
What does it matter to ya, when you've got a job to do, you gotta do it well.

You gotta give the other fellow Hell.


## ROUND 18 JUNIORS - DENMARK v TURKEY

## by Maurizio Di Sacco

The 18th round of the Juniors Championship featured a few interesting matches; among them, the meeting between a struggling Danish team and the host country, Turkey, which had done well up to that point.

The Danes were fighting for their lives, lying as they were more than two matches away from the last qualification spot, while the Turks, after having led for the first three days, were then fourth, but still looking safe.
The first board produced a swing:
Board 15. Dealer South. N-S Vul.

- Q 542

๑J98753
$\diamond 4$
\& 76

| ¢ J 10983 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\diamond 862$ |  |
| ¢ 952 |  |
|  | A A 6 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 6 |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 73 |
|  | \& K J 43 |


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South <br> Koclar |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bilde | Suzer |  |  |
| Jepsen |  |  |  |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Buus Thoms | Ozen | Buus Thoms | Aydogdu <br> Bus |
|  |  |  | 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Ugurcan Suzer could overcall a natural two notrumps, and when South decided (a bit oddly to my taste, but it might well have been perfectly acceptable given the North-South agreements) to show some extra strength, West transferred to spades and passed the correction. That contract could not be made, and failed by only one trick after South's opening lead was the unfortunate queen of hearts.
The same fate was encountered by the closed room's two-heart contract, and Denmark started its long march by a medium-range step of 4 imps . The deal is worth some discussion with your partner about bidding style and evaluation. To start with, the two Souths took different views on the matter of

opening bid (I prefer Erkmen Aydogdu's one notrump). Interesting are the natural two-notrump overcall and Rasmus Rask Jepsen's double, and finally we have East's final pass over two hearts, where he had the values to reopen, but those short spades made it dangerous.

A normal three notrumps followed, with Denmark adding 1 imp thanks to a quite sloppy defense. Turkey got on the scoreboard by playing in three notrumps plus two in one room and holding four spades to ten tricks in the other.

Then, the first double-digit swing of the match:
Board 18. Dealer East. N-S Vul.
A K 5
© J 864
$\diamond 8$
\& A K 7654
A A Q 83

- J 1062
- Q 5
$\diamond$ A5 32
$\bigcirc$ AK7
\& 1032
$\diamond$ KQ10764
\& -
- 974

『 10932
$\diamond$ J 9
\&) Q J 98
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koclar | Bilde | Suzer | Jepsen |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |  |
| $1 \uparrow$ | $2 \boldsymbol{~}$ | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West <br> Buus Thoms | North <br> Ozen | East <br> Buus <br> Thoms | South <br> Aydogdu |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1ヵ | $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \wedge$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 N T$ | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | Pass | $7 \uparrow$ | All Pass |

In the open room, it is not clear to me why East failed to make a four-club splinter bid. But if four spades is the right action with East's cards in the Turkish style, then West's pass qualifies as very pessimistic. Eleven imps were there for the Danish to take, but as we have seen quite often, in the closed room so-
 mething went wrong and the king of spades did not cooperate. Eleven away instead of eleven in.

The following board offered a chance for a big swing, a decent slam by North being missed by both teams, but Turkey won an imp by playing in a more valuable spot.

Board 19. Dealer South. E-W Vul.

- A 87
©K85
$\diamond$ K 10
\& Q 10542
か K J 432
$\checkmark 42$
ऽJ 876
© Q 3
\& 98
$\diamond$ AQ 543
\& J 7


## 45

๑AJ10976
$\diamond 92$
\& AK 63

| Open Room <br> West | North <br> Kilde | East <br> Suzer | South <br> Jepsen |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $1 \mathbf{~}$ |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Buus Thoms | Ozen | Buus Thoms | Aydogdu 10 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 40 | All Pass |  |

Then 3 imps went to Denmark for an extra undertrick in three diamonds, due to a quite unsafe play. After that, Turkey got another significant swing, bidding and making a three-notrump contract missed in the other room.

Board 21. Dealer North. N-S Vul.

- 105
© 104
$\diamond$ J 983
\& AK 642

| -9 98 | - AK63 |
| :---: | :---: |
| © K Q 98 | $\bigcirc$ A 62 |
| $\diamond$ Q 5 | $\diamond$ A 1062 |
| \& Q 8753 | \& J 10 |

- QJ7 42
© J 753
$\diamond$ K 74
\& 9

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Koclar | Bilde | Suzer | Jepsen |
|  | Pass | 1 NT | Pass |
| $2 \%$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| Rdble | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Buus Thoms | Ozen | Buus Thoms | Aydogdu |
|  | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $2 \%$ | Dble | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | Pass | Pass |

The Danish West decided that his clubs were worth just a stopper, and put on the brake, while his Turkish counterpart thought differently. It is also surprising that the Danish East did not raise to three notrumps with three aces, one king and two tens. That was 7 imps to the hosts.

Then came another intriguing board. You hold:

$$
\text { ↔ QJ } 10843 \text { ○Q652 } \diamond-\text { - } 465
$$

Partner opens one diamond, you bid one spade, and he replies two clubs. Now what?
Jepsen picked two spades and played there, but Aydogdu decided on the more aggressive two hearts and was raised to three, ostensibly natural, from $0=4=5=4$. He continued with three spades and North bid three notrumps. Now what?

Here is the full deal:
Board 22. Dealer East. E-W Vul.

> か- --
> © K J 109
> $\diamond$ A K 843
> \& Q 843


- Q J 10843
© Q 652
$\diamond$--
\& A 65
Open Room

| West | North <br> Bilde | East <br> Suzer | South <br> Jepsen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koclar |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ |

All Pass
Closed Room

| West <br> Buus Thoms | North <br> Ozen | East <br> Buus Thoms | South <br> Aydogdu |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\uparrow}$ | Pass | $2 \bowtie$ |
| Pass | $3 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |

Aydogdu bid four spades, but that contract was basically not makable (it required a couple of defensive slips) and ended one down. However, four hearts had better chances, especially if the defense did not listen to the auction and did not start with a trump. Even then, though, declarer could have taken two ruffing finesses in spades to get home, the nine of spades conveniently dropping on the third round of the suit. The bottom line was 5 imps to Denmark.

The score stood at $20-13$ in favour of Turkey, and Denmark's last hopes were vanishing. The Scandinavians won 1 imp when the defense forgot to cash an ace in the otherwise flat four-spade contract, but Turkey fought back immediately in a deal that is a candidate for one of the weirdest of the year!

Board 24．Dealer West．None Vul．

> © Q 10
> $\diamond$ Q 1087
> $\diamond$ Q J 5
> \& K Q 85

か 75
৩AK542
ҐK 8632
\＆ 7

AK9842
『 J 63
$\diamond 9$
99643

A A J 63
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ A 1074
\＆A J 102

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Koclar | Bilde | Suzer | Jepsen |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 14 | Dble |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Buus Thoms | Ozen | Buus Thoms | Aydogdu |
| $1 \circlearrowleft$ | Pass | $1 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \Omega$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

I confess I have never seen anything similar：East－West were doubled in two hearts in the 5－3 fit，failing by two tricks，while in the other room the same contract was played and made by North－South in the 4－1 fit！

Against two hearts by North，East led his singleton diamond．Declarer won with dummy＇s ace and led its trump．If West had won and either given his partner a diamond ruff or switched to his singleton club，the con－ tract would have been defeated．But when West played low，Dennis Bilde won with his queen and returned a trump．And when he got back into his hand，he led another trump and could not be defeated．That was very pretty，but Turkey gained 5 imps ．


Two dull boards followed，then Turkey got 5 further imps by stopping safely in two notrumps，which had eight tricks，no more and no less，while the Danes were one trick more ambitious and paid the price for boldness．

The match ended with a bang，and once again，in a quite odd way．Before showing you the whole deal，let＇s ask you a question．You hold：

$$
\text { か -- } \vee \text { A } 54 \diamond \text { J } 10654 \text { \& K Q } 753
$$

Non－vulnerable versus vulnerable，you open one dia－ mond，LHO overcalls one notrump，partner passes， RHO transfers to spades，you pass，LHO jumps to four spades（！），and partner doubles it．What action would you take？

Akin Koclar passed，but had to regret it．This was the full deal：

Board 28．Dealer West．N－S Vul．
A A Q 87
৩K862
$\diamond$ AK 87
4

| A－－ | A K J 6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 54 | －Q J 103 |
| $\diamond$ J 10654 | $\diamond 32$ |
| \＆K Q 753 | \＆J 864 |

ヘ1095432
$\bigcirc 97$
$\diamond$ Q 9
of A 102
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Koclar | Bilde | Suzer | Jepsen |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 N T$ | Pass | $2 \triangleq$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble | All Pass |

As you see，four spades was cold，but five clubs is a ra－ ther good save，costing between 300 and 500.

Things in the other room took a very different route．

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Buus Thoms | Ozen | Buus Thoms | Aydogdu |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \Omega$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Even though you might not like the one－notrump overcall picked by Bilde in the Open Room，I certainly like less North－South＇s total passivity here．It is up to you to deci－ de whether to blame more North＇s two passes or South＇s final pass．（If you ask me，I＇d vote for the last．）

Denmark won 13 imps to close within 3 imps ，but the match was over，and Turkey emerged with a 10．97－9．03 win that left it in a solid position，while Denmark＇s qualifi－ cation hopes were almost gone．

ROUND 14 YOUNGSTERS - CHINA HONG KONG vs USA1 by Barry Rigal

The 14th and penultimate match of the Youngsters saw two teams meet one another who were on the bubble for qualifying. Both would probably have settled for a draw, but as it turned out in a very low scoring match we saw some excellent bridge with virtually no unforced errors. It was a pleasure to see young players with such a good grasp of the technical elements of the play, and such confidence exhibited in all phases of the game.

Board 15. Dealer North. N-S Vul.
A Q J 8
© 104
$\diamond$ J 973
\& K Q 74

| - K 65 | A 1094 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 95 | © K 32 |
| $\diamond 1082$ | $\diamond 654$ |
| ¢ 852 | ¢0 J 1096 |

> A A 732
> © J 876
> $\diamond$ AK
> \& A 3

| West | North | East <br> Rosenberg <br> Kristensen | Tsang <br> Lee |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | 1 $\diamond$ |  |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ng | Huber | Chan | Kriegel |
|  | Pass | Pass |  |
| Pass | 1ヵ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Oren Kriegel played in three notrumps as South on a low heart lead and was never seriously troubled. After the defenders took their top three hearts, he had the luxury of the spade finesse for the overtrick.

In the other room, Kevin Rosenberg led a top club, declarer perforce winning in dummy to unblock diamonds. Then North came to hand with a club to take the spade finesse. Kristensen won and made no mistake when he cashed the heart ace and led low to his partner's king for a third heart through dummy's gizzard. If West shifts to a low heart, of course, he holds his side to three hearts, as in the Closed Room. That was down one and 12 IMPs to USA I.

Both tables then bid to six spades with 12 tricks on top in notrumps and a trump suit of a $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Q}-10-9-7$ in dummy facing a $\mathrm{K}-8-3$. How would you play this suit assuming plenty of entries?

Answer: lead the nine or the ten to the king to start with that way you pick up the 5-0

break onside. It is only when the hand with shortness has no entries that you must lead the ace or queen to start with so as to be in the right hand to take the finesse against the 4-1 break. This time the play was irrelevant; next time...

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

```
^9
OKQ92
\diamondJ764
& KQ65
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A J 3 & A AK 1054 \\
\hline © 763 & OJ 108 \\
\hline \(\diamond\) AKQ 3 & \(\diamond 5\) \\
\hline ¢ 842 & ¢ J 1097 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

A Q 8762
$\bigcirc 54$
$\diamond 10982$
\&f A 3

| West | North <br> Kristensen <br> Tsang | East <br> Rosenberg <br> South |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 $\diamond$ | Lee |  |

You don't have to be old-fashioned (though it helps) to consider that North hand nothing like an opening bid.
The reasons for not opening one diamond (the only suit you do not want partner to lead) are that you have no points, no aces, no tricks and no rebid over partner's likely 1 nesponse ... apart from that, you do have defence. And 4-4-4-1 hands always play less well than you expect. Of course, opening the hand worked really well here! Christopher Huber led a low heart against one notrump and conceded minus 120; while Jackson Tsang knew to lead a diamond to the eight and king. Kristensen now did really well not to play spades (which would have ensured the contract if spades behaved), but led a low heart. North won and shifted to spades himself, the nine going to the queen for a diamond back. Kristensen won in hand, cashed off the spades, finding the bad news, and had reduced North to two clubs, two hearts, and two
 diamonds. When he passed the jack of clubs to North, that player fell from grace (though one can hardly blame him since declarer had played the deal in very opaque fashion) by exiting with a heart, which set up the suit for declarer, and led to an unlikely nine tricks. 1 IMP to USA I and a 13-0 lead.

On the next deal，board 18，both East－West pairs missed their chance．

かKQJ6 ©AKJ4 ゝQ4 \＆J 62
If you open a 15－17 no－trump and partner transfers to hearts，would you break the transfer（superaccept）？
Let＇s say you don＇t break the transfer；partner jumps to three notrumps．Can you do anything but bid four hearts now？I guess not，though if your spades and a minor－suit were switched you would bid that suit to show a source of tricks maybe？

All right；back to square one．You do break the trans－ fer at your first turn，and since your choice is two no－ trumps（let us say denying a low doubleton），partner re－ transfers to three hearts and then control－bids three spa－ des．What next？

At the table Francis Chan bid four hearts and there the matter rested．Rosenberg had followed the earlier auction quoted to reach four hearts．In my view over three spa－ des one should bid five hearts，denying a minor－control and thus promising great hearts and a source of tricks in spades－without that one would bid four hearts，of cour－ se．［Perhaps even better，if partner would read it，is to continue with three notrumps，a variation on the semi－ serious slam－try in some auctions．Then you could make a slam－try，presumably denying a minor－suit first－round control and still stop in four hearts．Ed．］The hand oppo－ site was a $2=5=4=2$ with the three missing aces and the jack of diamonds，and seven hearts was on finding the queen of trumps．No swing．

There followed a couple of relatively flat deals，on which Rosenberg－Kristensen again showed their ability by choosing the accurate but by no means straightforward route to cash their winners against a partscore and score an extra undertrick．Hong Kong then got on the board when Chan held：

$$
\text { ه } 9 \text { Q } 96 \diamond \text { KJ5 \& J } 87543
$$

He passed in second seat and heard one heart to his left， one spade from partner，and a pass to his right．He tried two clubs and his partner competed to three clubs over two hearts，making a comfortable plus 110 facing a $4=2=2=5$ with the ace of spades and ace－king of clubs．

The opponents could and should have competed to three hearts，which would surely have made in practice， and indeed in the other room China Hong Kong went plus 170 for 7 IMPs．

The next board offered an interesting valuation problem． You hold：

かAK7 $\quad$ A $752 \diamond 1043$ of 642
Partner opens one diamond in second seat，two spades to your right，and you elect to double（yes，two notrumps is a good alternative）．Partner cue－bids three spades，you bid three notrumps，and partner raises to four notrumps．

What should partner have？

Since he could have bid four clubs or four hearts over three notrumps with a two－suiter，he must have solid or semi－solid diamonds and just short of a two－club opening， maybe：
A 65
○ K 4
$\diamond A K$ Q 876
\＆$A K 7$

Your quick tricks mean that slam will not be worse than a break，and even if partner＇s clubs are slightly weaker，it might be on a club finesse or a club－heart squeeze．So I would advocate a jump to six diamonds here．Let＇s see the full story．

| Board 22．Dealer East．E－W Vul． |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| －AK7 |  |  |  |
| ¢A752 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 1043$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| －QJ10932 8 |  |  |  |
| QQ986 $\quad$ J 1043 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$－－$\quad$ J9652 |  |  |  |
| 4985 |  | ¢ Q J 3 |  |
| か654 6 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AKQ 87 |  |  |  |
| \＆AK 107 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kristensen | Tsang | Rosenberg | Lee |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 2. | Dble | Pass | 3a |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ng | Huber | Chan | Kriegel |
|  |  | Pass |  |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 1 NT （\％） |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

The fault－finders amongst us（not me of course）would have a number of nits to pick here．The Closed Room auction was straightforward enough，with the lack of in－ tervention making the valuation exercise easy enough for South，who knew his side had no fit and no high－cards to spare．Mind you，six diamonds was still quite playable facing that North hand．

In the other room Kristensen＇s weak jump overcall vulnerable against not facing a passed partner was the action of a player who hasn＇t gone for enough 1100 penalties yet．（Why even play this sequence as weak in－ stead of intermediate？If it is good enough for Hamman and Wolff，it is good enough for me．）Lee as South gues－ sed well to bid on over three notrumps，but had he bid out his pattern with a four－club call，he might have loca－ ted a 4－4 fit，and on the actual deal would not have ri－ sked exciting his partner with the idea that his $\diamond 10-\mathrm{x}$－x was good support．

Both tables made ten tricks in notrump（and，yes，in six notrumps，you can take 12 tricks but probably wouldn＇t．You must win the spade lead and either lead a
diamond to the seven or go after clubs, rather than unblocking hearts. In six diamonds, only a trump to the seven at trick two will work.

The match score remained 16-7, and after USA stole 3 overtrick IMPs to lead 19-7 came this deal, which was both interesting and somewhat unlucky for the USA.

Board 25. Dealer North. E-W Vul.

and led another heart, so that his partner would not be tempted to give him a diamond ruff and also so that if declarer had a singleton heart, they could effectively administer a series of forces. Declarer negotiated trumps for down one, which nonetheless seemed like a decent position for East-West.

In the other room Mark Ng led a spade to trick one. Declarer guessed that suit, by hopping up with dummy's queen, and now played dummy's club to West's ace. Ng worked out to play a second trump now. Declarer won with his ace, then went after clubs, and the bad break meant that when he pitched diamonds from dummy and led a heart up, West could win, cash his king of spades, and get out in diamonds. The defenders could tap dummy and cut him off from the hearts, for two down. That was 2 IMPs to Hong Kong, down 19-9.

That concluded the interest in the set, but USAI scored in dribs and drabs on all of the last three deals to win by an eventual $23-9$ and leave at least one of your editors impressed with the standard of under-21 bridge.

This was the penultimate match of the Youngsters and it left Hong Kong very parlously placed. (USAI came through for them by beating Czech Republic to allow Hong Kong to qualify.) It is worth noting that Rosenberg had played every board of the qualifying with Kristensen having sat out just one match. Out of curiosity I checked the IMPs in the out-column for USAI, and saw they had conceded fewer than 2 IMPs a board for the event; leaders Sweden had done even better, holding their opponents to 1.5 IMPs a board.

## NEW VIDEOS ARE AVAILABLE



New videos are ready to be viewed \& shared!

## Find them on

www.worldbridge.org

## by clicking on "videos"

The newest one is about the Kids' trip on the Bosphorus!



## KLUKOWSKI BRILLIANCY

## by Micke Melander

n round 14 two of the giants so far in these World Championships in the juniors were battling, when Poland was up against home nation Turkey. Poland played very solid bridge throughout and won by $36-8$, giving Turkey their first really big loss so far in this tournament.
Here's one hand from that game were Michal Klukowski (sitting West) brilliantly defended against two notrumps.

Board 22. Dealer East. EW Vul.

|  | ¢ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K |  |  |
|  | $\diamond-$ |  |  |
|  | \& Q |  |  |
| A Q |  | 9 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 1096 | 32 | $\bigcirc$ Q |  |
| $\diamond 7652$ |  | $\diamond$ |  |
| \& K 6 |  | \& 3 |  |
|  | A A | 82 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 4$ |  |  |
|  | \& A | 854 |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Klukowski | Suzer | Tuczynski | Koclar |
|  |  | Pass | 1\% |
| 18 | 2NT | All Pass |  |

Your partner leads the queen of hearts. Klukowski played an encouraging two and declarer (North) won with his king. Next came the queen of clubs to the three (UDCA), four and your king. Now it is moment of truth... what would you lead and why?


AJ 96

- K J 8
$\diamond$ Q J 9
\& Q J 102


A AK 82
$\bigcirc 74$
$\diamond 43$
\& A 9854
When declarer played the queen from his hand, East won with the king and played a heart to his partner's ace. Another diamond through declarer gave the defenders four diamonds, a club and a heart for down one.

A real nightmare for declarer would probably have been to recei- ve a spade as the opening lead. He would probably play low from the dummy. West would win with his queen and lead back a low heart to the jack and queen. Another heart would go to West's ace. Then West would clear the suit and sit back and relax, just waiting for declarer to finesse in clubs to let him in to cash his hearts.

This is probably what happened when France played India and the French pair managed to get nine tricks on defence against three notrump when a low spade was led.

