

Issue No. 4

## PLAYERS SEEK THE ROAD TO VCTORY



Rodrigo Garcia da Rosa, a member of Argentina's Bermuda Bowl team, checks out a three-wheeler (taxi) outside the Grand Chola Hotel.
Well, it finally happened. The Danish women's team lost a match two, in fact - but they still ended qualifying play on Tuesday in first place in the standings for Venice Cup contenders. Teams are looking for a way to make it to the top eight in their respective events when round robin play ends on Saturday.
France is still leading the Bermuda Bowl qualifiers, but Brazil took over second place after previously undefeated USA I was shocked, 63-I3, by USA 2. Shrugging off the setback, USA I finished the day with a 4I-9 win over Bulgaria to remain in qualifying position. In the d'Orsi Trophy, Austria took over the lead from England and there was good news for the host country as India posted three wins to move from $1^{\text {th }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$.



## Venice Cup

## After Round 9

| TEAM | VP |
| :--- | ---: |
| I DENMARK | 142.84 |
| 2 USA2 | 132.93 |
| 3 USAI | 125.24 |
| 4 NETHERLANDS | 121.63 |
| 5 FRANCE | 115.67 |
| 6 ITALY | 115.13 |
| 7 ENGLAND | 106.60 |
| 8 POLAND | 104.78 |
| 9 CHINA | 102.36 |
| 10 CHINESE TAIPEI | 99.14 |
| 11 JAPAN | 98.24 |
| 12 BRAZIL | 95.26 |
| 13 CANADA | 92.09 |
| 14 AUSTRALIA | 83.96 |
| 15 EGYPT | 81.29 |
| 16 VENEZUELA | 72.09 |
| 17 NEW ZEALAND | 59.65 |
| 18 GUADELOUPE | 59.02 |
| 19 SOUTH AFRICA | 53.42 |
| 20 PAKISTAN | 42.70 |
| 21 JORDAN | 42.13 |
| 22 INDIA | 32.83 |

## d'Orsi Trophy

## After Round 9

| TEAM | VP |
| :--- | ---: |
| I AUSTRIA | 124.88 |
| 2 AUSTRALIA | 121.94 |
| 3 ENGLAND | 121.76 |
| 4 NORWAY | 117.32 |
| 5 IRELAND | 116.85 |
| 6 INDIA | 116.40 |
| 7 USAI | 113.15 |
| 8 USA2 | 111.29 |
| 9 BULGARIA | 99.02 |
| 10 JAPAN | 96.39 |
| 11 POLAND | 92.55 |
| 12 PAKISTAN | 89.52 |
| 13 INDONESIA | 86.53 |
| 14 CANADA | 86.39 |
| 15 SWEDEN | 81.18 |
| 16 GUADELOUPE | 67.49 |
| 17 CHINA | 63.94 |
| 18 EGYPT | 63.51 |
| 19 CHILE | 62.60 |
| 20 TUNISIA | 54.53 |
| 21 NEW ZEALAND | 50.65 |
| 22 BRAZIL | 36.11 |

## Bermuda Bowl

| Round 10 |  | 1:00-13:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | SINGAPORE | CHINA |
| 2 | USA2 | UAE |
| 3 | DENMARK | NEW ZEALAND |
| 4 | BULGARIA | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 5 | CANADA | USAI |
| 6 | EGYPT | ENGLAND |
| 7 | FRANCE | JORDAN |
| 8 | JAPAN | BRAZIL |
| 9 | GUADELOUPE | AUSTRALIA |
| 10 | POLAND | SWEDEN |
| 11 | ARGENTINA | INDIA |


| Round ll | $\mathbf{4 : 3 0}$ - $\mathbf{6 : 5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | CHINA |
| 2 | UAE |
| 3 | NEW ZEALAND |
| 4 | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 5 | USAI |
| 6 | ENGLAND |
| 7 | SINGAPORE |
| 8 | BRAZIL |
| 9 | AUSTRALIA |


| Round 12 | $17: 20=19: 40$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DENMARK |
| 2 | BULGARIA |
| 3 | CANADA |
| 4 | EGYPT |
| 5 | JORDANA |
| 6 | FRANCE |
| 7 | JAPAN |
| 8 | GUADELOUPE |
| 9 | POLAND |

## WBF Women's Committee Meeting

An extremely successful meeting of the WBF Women's Committee was held on Tuesday 29th September attended by representatives from all the WBF Zones who presented reports to the meeting.
The Chairman, Mrs Anna Maria Torlontano, made a comprehensive and interesting report on the work of the committee during the year. She particularly noted the great success of the 2015 Spring Online Women's Bridge Festival which had more participants for this single event than in both the Spring and Autumn events in 2014. She reminded the Meeting that the dates for the 2015 Autumn Festival will be 9th - I5th November 2015. The dates for the 2016 Spring Festival (in April) are still to be decided.

The main prize for the overall winner of the autumn festival will be free entry for the winner and a partner of her choice (from the same NBO) to the 2016 World Women's Pairs Championship in Wroclaw, Poland. Free accommodation in a twin room is also offered for the duration of the Women's Pairs Championship.


## Bermuda Bowl Schedule

The booklet distributed to all players giving the detailed playing schedule contains a typographical error.
From Round II onwards 'Germany' should read 'France'.

## Venice Cup

| Round 10 |  | \|l:00 - $\mathbf{~ 3 : 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | CHINA | DENMARK |
| 22 | ITALY | USAI |
| 23 | SOUTH AFRICA | NETHERLANDS |
| 24 | EGYPT | PAKISTAN |
| 25 | NEW ZEALAND | GUADELOUPE |
| 26 | ENGLAND | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 27 | BRAZIL | AUSTRALIA |
| 28 | JAPAN | FRANCE |
| 29 | INDIA | POLAND |
| 30 | JORDAN | USA2 |
| 31 | CANADA | VENEZUELA |


| RoUnd ll |  | $\mathbf{4 : 3 0}$ - $\mathbf{6 : 5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | DENMARK | ITALY |
| 22 | USAI | SOUTH AFRICA |
| 23 | NETHERLANDS | EGYPT |
| 24 | PAKISTAN | NEW ZEALAND |
| 25 | GUADELOUPE | ENGLAND |
| 26 | CHINESE TAIPEI | AUSTRALIA |
| 27 | CHINA | JAPAN |
| 28 | FRANCE | INDIA |
| 29 | POLAND | JORDAN |
| 30 | USA2 | CANADA |
| 31 | VENEZUELA | BRAZIL |


| Round 12 | $7: 20-19: 40$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | SOUTH AFRICA | DENMARK |
| 22 | EGYPT | USAI |
| 23 | NEW ZEALAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 24 | ENGLAND | PAKISTAN |
| 25 | AUSTRALIA | GUADELOUPE |
| 26 | BRAZIL | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 27 | JAPAN | ITALY |
| 28 | INDIA | CHINA |
| 29 | JORDAN | FRANCE |
| 30 | CANADA | POLAND |
| 31 | VENEZUELA | USA2 |

## d'Orsi Trophy

| Round l0 |  | \| :00 - $\mathbf{~ 3 : 2 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | SWEDEN | BULGARIA |
| 42 | NEW ZEALAND | POLAND |
| 43 | USA2 | INDONESIA |
| 44 | CHINA | GUADELOUPE |
| 45 | INDIA | AUSTRALIA |
| 46 | USAI | BRAZIL |
| 47 | CHILE | CANADA |
| 48 | EGYPT | IRELAND |
| 49 | PAKISTAN | NORWAY |
| 50 | JAPAN | AUSTRIA |
| 51 | TUNISIA | ENGLAND |


| Round \| | |  | $\mathbf{4 : 3 0} \mathbf{- 1 \mathbf { 6 : 5 0 }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | BULGARIA | NEW ZEALAND |
| 42 | POLAND | USA2 |
| 43 | INDONESIA | CHINA |
| 44 | GUADELOUPE | INDIA |
| 45 | AUSTRALIA | USAI |
| 46 | BRAZIL | CANADA |
| 47 | SWEDEN | EGYPT |
| 48 | IRELAND | PAKISTAN |
| 49 | NORWAY | JAPAN |
| 50 | AUSTRIA | TUNISIA |
| 51 | ENGLAND | CHILE |


| Round 12 |  | I7:20 - $\mathbf{1 9 : 4 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | USA2 | BULGARIA |
| 42 | CHINA | POLAND |
| 43 | INDIA | INDONESIA |
| 44 | USAI | GUADELOUPE |
| 45 | CANADA | AUSTRALIA |
| 46 | CHILE | BRAZIL |
| 47 | EGYPT | NEW ZEALAND |
| 48 | PAKISTAN | SWEDEN |
| 49 | JAPAN | IRELAND |
| 50 | TUNISIA | NORWAY |
| 51 | ENGLAND | AUSTRIA |

## Press Room Conference

By Patrick Jourdain

The EBL President, Yves Aubry, came to the Press Room on Tuesday to make a statement to the press and to answer questions.
Aubry said he had several roles within bridge but was here as EBL President. As many of the recent allegations applied to the EBL 2014 Championships in Opatija, he wished to state first that no allegations had been made at that time against any of the players currently the subject of allegations.
Aubry was concerned that all the rights of those accused should be protected and this would take time. The EBL had appointed an investigation team of

Aubry replied that the practice was accepted where one team was disqualified but the situation was unclear where more than one was disqualified. The EBL Council would decide what to do in such a circumstance arising. At this stage, no team had been disqualified. He added that it was not entirely clear that where one or two members of a six-person team were found guilty of offences that the whole team would be disqualified, but he thought it was likely.
Pierre Schmidt of France asked whether results of investigations would be made public. Aubry said transparency was the EBL's aim (as evidenced by his presence here) so at each stage following a decision the result would be communicated first to the NBO and its affected players and then to the public.
Jan van Cleeff of the Netherlands said Boye Brogeland was due to compete in the World Transnational Open Teams, had his invitation been issued
three people: Eric Laurant of the Netherlands, Jan Kamras of Sweden and Jean-Paul Meyer of France.
Evidence submitted to them was being presented to a list of elite players for their comments. If there was a case to be answered against any person, the accused would be given an opportunity to present their defence.
To put the recent allegations in perspective, he said that he was a member of the Medical Commission for other sports and received reports of perhaps 30 athletes each week suspended for medical violations. He added that for a first conviction in sport it was extremely rare to receive a lifetime suspension.
The authorities had yet to solve the problem presented by carrying out multiple investigations.
Patrick Jourdain, Daily Telegraph, asked whether the practice followed by the WBF when a team was disqualified in Bali, of moving each team below it in the rankings up one place, would be followed should any team be disqualified from the 2014 Europeans.

by the Credentials committee? Aubry replied that Brogeland was in good standing with his NBO and referred van Cleeff to his earlier point that only refusals were reported.


## Bermuda Bowl Round 4



## India v New Zealand



By T.C. Pant
The Indian open team started their day-two proceedings with their round-four match against New Zealand. I watched the match in the open room.
The pairs in the closed room were GeO Tislevoll (North), Michael Ware (South) for New Zealand and, for India, Debabrata Majumder (East) and Sumit Mukherjee (West).

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \wedge A Q 82 \\
& \diamond A 9542 \\
& \diamond A J \\
& \& Q 6
\end{aligned}
$$

| - 965 | N | - J 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K Q J 76 |  | $\bigcirc 3$ |
| $\checkmark 1084$ |  | $\checkmark$ KQ 9652 |
| -82 | S | -1074 |
|  | - K 43 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 108$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 73$ |  |
|  | \& AKJ953 |  |

Open room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy |
|  | 18 | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |



Amar Nath Banerjee, India


## Rana Roy, India

North opened 18 and South bid 2s. His $3 \%$ over North's 2. showed a hand of 9-II HCPs with six or more clubs. North took a good gamble of bidding the slam with his good hand.
The lead was the 9 K and declarer won with the ace and played another heart, ruffed by East. The return of $\triangleleft K$ was won in dummy with the ace and another heart ruffed. Declarer now played a trump to the queen, ruffed another heart and, after taking out trumps, had to depend on the spade break or West having the sole guard of spades and hearts. When the suit broke $3-3$, the contract was home. That was +920 and a swing of II IMPs to India, when in the closed room N/S bid only 5e.

Board I8. Dealer East. N-S Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \& 195 \\
& \text { \&A976 } \\
& \diamond \text { K } 98754 \\
& \text { - }
\end{aligned}
$$

| , 7 | N | - AQ 108643 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 8 |  | QJ32 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 1062 | W E | $\diamond$ - |
| +8743 | S | 2A65 |
|  | - K 2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1054$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 3 |  |
|  | \& K Q J |  |

Open room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy |
|  |  | IQ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{a}$ | All Pass |

The lead was e K and North discarded a low diamond on it. Declarer won with the ace and played a heart to the queen in dummy, won by North's ace. He returned a heart and declarer won in dummy and discarded a club on the $\diamond A$. He now came to hand with $\Phi A$ and played a low spade. When the $\Phi K$ appeared on the table, the contract was safe. Going back to trick one, had the eK been ruffed by North, then the contract would still have been safe, if declarer plays 4 and a low spade. That was 420 to E/W, and 6 IMPs to New Zealand when the Indian E/W bid only 24, making 10 tricks for 170. The score at this stage was II-6 in favour of India.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

> , KQ8543
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond 952$
8932

- 102
$\checkmark$ Q 1083
A 1076
K 87

| N | ¢ A 9 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 7652 |
| W E | $\diamond 843$ |
| S | A 106 |
| ¢ J 76 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 94$ |  |
| $\diamond$ K Q J |  |
| \& Q J 54 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy <br> Pass |
| Pass | $2 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |

North opened a weak two in spades and that became the final contract. East led $\diamond 3$ and declarer had little problem in making the contract, giving the four losers, the aces of spades and diamonds plus the top two tricks in clubs for +140 . The closed room also played in the same contract and there was no exchange of IMPs on the board.
The next board saw another slam swing in favour of India.
Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- AK 106

PAQ6 6
$\diamond 72$

* A 105

و 9532
๑K 542
$\diamond 953$
\% K 6
Q 184
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ K 84
\& J 8742

© JIO 87
$\diamond$ AQJ 106

- Q 93

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2\%* |
| Pass | $2 \nabla$ | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $4{ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 50* |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |

North opened a 15-17 NT and South used Stayman to find the heart fit. His $3 \triangleleft$ showed some interest in heart slam and over $3 \triangleleft$, his $4 \diamond$ showed at least five diamonds. South showed his one key card on North's enquiry (50 over 4NT) and North bid the slam. East led the 1 Q . Declarer won with the ace and took the diamond finesse. When that worked, declarer ran the $\vee \mathrm{J}$, and when $\vee 9$ came down, the cards became obvious. Another heart finesse was taken and continued by leading to the $\curvearrowright \mathrm{Q}$. Declarer repeated the diamond finesse, played the $\diamond A$ and the good diamond. West ruffed with the $\vee K$, overruffed by the ace. It was a simple matter now and only one club had to be lost. That was 13 IMPs to India, as the contract in the closed was 4 .
On the next board, India gained a solitary IMP for scoring II tricks in 3NT in open room, whereas their opponents scored only 10 . The score was 25-6 in favour of India after five boards.
Boards 22 and 23 were flat and India again scored an overtrick in a 3NT contract on board 24 to gain an IMP.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- A 632
$\vee$ J 4
$\diamond 10985$
\& Q 86

| ¢ J 10974 | N | ¢ Q 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K 7 |  | $\bigcirc 952$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 64 | W E | $\diamond$ J 732 |
| \% K 93 | S | \& A 754 |
|  | - K 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ 10863 |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K} \mathrm{Q}$ |  |
|  | ¢ J 102 |  |

Open Room
West
G. Coutts
All Pass

South opened INT with his 2-6-2-3 hand and it was passed out. West led the $\$ 10$ and declarer won with dummy's ace and took the heart finesse, the jack losing to the king. The spade return was won by the king and declarer cashed his seven tricks for +90 . In the other room, the contract was $4 \oslash$ by South. Declarer could not avoid losing two clubs, a diamond and a heart for down one and 4 IMPs swing in favour of India.

On board 26, the Indian E/W played in 44, whereas the NZ E/W played in 3NT, both contracts going down one for no exchange of IMPs.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- 10973
- 1032
$\triangleleft$ Q 52
2654
\&AJ42
$\vee K 6$
$\diamond$ AKJ 94
$\&$ Q 2

Open room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy <br>  <br>  <br> I Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | I | Pass |
| 78 | All Pass |  |  |

The 2NT bid showed significant extras and East had no difficulty in potting the grand himself. There was nothing to the play and the contract was made without any problem. The opponents were also in the same contract, so there was no exchange of IMPs.
On board 28, India again scored an overtrick IMP in a 3NT contract. The score at the end of board 28 was 31-6 in favour of India.

Board 29. Dealer North.All Vul.

- 10982
$\checkmark$ AJ 108
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
*) 876

| - J6 | N | - Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 63$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 92 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 1083 | W E | $\checkmark 976542$ |
| 2 $A K Q 5$ | S | -1093 |
|  | - AK7543 |  |
|  | ¢K754 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J |  |
|  | -42 |  |

This turned out to be a competitive board, when North raised his partner's spade bid to 34, showing at least fourcard support with 6-8 HCPs. It was now the turn of East to take action and he pre-empted the opponents by bidding $5 \diamond$. However, when North doubled the contract, South misjudged the position when he ran to 54, which was doubled by opponents. The contract went one down for 200 to E/W. In the closed room, the New Zealand N/S pair gained 100 in defending the $5 \diamond$ contract. That was an important 7-IMP gain to the New Zealand.
On board 30, the New Zealand E/W played in 3NT going down one, whereas the Indian E/W went down one in 48 for no swing. On board 3I, India went one down in 3 whereas New Zealand went one down in 4 §, again for no swing.
The last board of the match turned out costly for New Zealand.

Board 32. Dealer West. EW Vul.

|  | - A 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q197432 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 82$ |  |
|  | - 107 |  |
| - 743 | N | - Q J 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 85 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 6 |
| $\checkmark$ K 973 |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 105 |
| \& A 83 | S | * QJ 52 |
|  | - K 865 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 64 |  |
|  | - K 964 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy |
| 1\& | 2 ® $^{*}$ | $3)^{*}$ | Dble |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The $2 \bigcirc$ bid by North was weak. East's $3 \bigcirc$ by East showed a good hand. South's double showed an honor, but West still opted for $3 N T$.The lead was 84 to the queen and king, ducked by declarer. South continued with a heart, North overtaking the 10 with the jack to clear the suit. Declarer had no way to make the contract and could have restricted his losses to two down taking four diamonds, two clubs and a heart. However, he misguessed the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and went four down for 400 to $N / S$. In the closed room, the Indian E/W played in 38 , making, for +110 and a gain of 11 IMPs. India won the match by 42-13 (16.58-3.42 VPs).

Open room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. Coutts | A. Banerjee | N. Jacobs | R. Roy |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1. |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ | 5 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |



## Quite a Turn-around

By Brian Senior

Singapore started this Bermuda Bowl with a big loss, scoring only I.34VPs against Bulgaria. However, in Round 2 they repaired all the damage by collecting 18.97VPs against Canada to be just above average after two rounds. This deal saw their biggest gain.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 86
$\vee 11062$
$\diamond 95$
498653

| ¢ Q 9742 | N | $\pm 3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 7543 |
| $\diamond$ AKJ 86 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 743 |
| \& $A K 7$ | S | 21082 |
|  | , AKJ 105 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 98 |  |
|  | $\diamond 102$ |  |
|  | * Q J |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sabourin | Zhang | Huang | Luo |
| - | - | - | Is |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dble |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Poon | Wolpert | Loo | Korbel |
| - | - | - | 14 |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | All Pass |  |  |



Darren Wolpert and Daniel Korbel, Canada


Yukun Zhang and Cheng Luo, Singapore
After identical starts to the two auctions, David Sabourin, for Canada, simply raised himself to $5 \diamond$, while Hua Poon preferred to redouble.
Against the diamond game, Yukun Zhang led the eight of spades. Cheng Luo won the king and switched to the two of diamonds to declarer's jack. Sabourin crossruffed the majors until dummy ran out of trumps then led a club to the queen and ace. After drawing trumps, he did not cash the other top club, which would of course have made his contract, but instead exited with the queen of spades, hoping to endplay South to lead away from the remaining club honour. That looks wrong, does it not, because South must surely have the ace of hearts as well as the ace of spades in the ending - given his double of $3 \diamond$, how can he hold a 5-3-2-3 l4-count? Anyway, the contract was one down for -100 .
Poon's redouble illustrates the importance of having discussed everything in competitive auctions. Clearly, Darren Wolpert passed $3 \triangleleft$ redoubled because he wanted to leave it up to partner to choose where to play, while Daniel Korbel's pass suggests that he took that to indicate a desire to defend $3 \triangleleft$ redoubled.
Wolpert too led a spade and Korbel switched to a trump. Poon took three spade ruffs in the dummy and dropped the club honours so came to II tricks for the unusual score of +1640 . Sabourin's misplay at our first table was virtually wiped out as Singapore picked up a massive 17 IMPs on the deal.

## BB R4 - Blood bath



## Sweden v South Africa

By Micke Melander
Sweden v South Africa was a match with four large swings, two in each direction. The Swedes probably thought they were going to achieve a big win when they scored on the first two boards.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.


| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fick | Upmark <br> $190^{*}$ |
|  | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $\mathbf{3} \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stephens | Nyström |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \&$ |
| Pass | $3 \AA^{*}$ |
| Pass | $6 \%$ |

Robert Stephens, South Africa
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Grunder | Sylvan | Kaprey |
|  | 18 | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Pass | $2 \Delta$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

In the open room, 1\% was 16+. A diamond cue-bid from North was all South needed to jump to slam. Kaprey probably wished that he had made a slam try instead of passing out 3NT, especially after East led a low diamond and Grunder quickly wrapped up all 13 tricks.
Upmark got the $\diamond$ K lead, and won it with the ace to play a second round of diamonds. East won with the queen and exited with the seven of spades: three, nine and declarer's ace. Six rounds of clubs followed, and when West discarded his remaining spades to keep his hearts, declarer could claim: 9 IMPs to Sweden.
Diligent readers will note that had declarer held the $\Phi 9$, he would have had some losing lines in his contract if East does not shift to spades.

Board I8. Dealer East. N-S Vul.

- J 95
-A 976
$\diamond$ K 98754

```
$ }
\(\checkmark\) K Q 8
AJ 1062
8743
```


## \& -


¢ A Q 108643
$\triangleright$ J 32
$\diamond-$
A 65
© K 2

- 1054
$\diamond \mathrm{Q} 3$
\& K Q J 1092
Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fick | Upmark | Stephens | Nyström |
|  |  | $1 \stackrel{\Delta}{2}$ | $2 \dot{1}$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 | All Pass |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Grunder | Sylvan <br> $2 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | Kaprey <br> Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | $\mathbf{3}$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Dble | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Stephens made no mistake in declaring Two Spades and managed to get his ten tricks for 170 when the defense did what they could in trying to hold it to nine tricks after a club was lead.
It didn't matter much what had happened in the open room when in the other room Grunder decided to step into the action, resulting in a blood bath. Two Spades was 10-13 with a six-card suit. West, who didn't believe his side could make game, passed and hit the jackpot when North decided to balance and had no problem doubling 3NT or Four Diamonds.
Sylvan led the two of hearts which went to the four, queen and declarer's ace. A diamond followed, Grunder getting the bad news about trumps when East discarded the three of spades. Declarer tried the queen from dummy but West won with the ace and played back a spade. East took the ace and gave partner a spade ruff. A club to the ace followed and the defense had no problem cashing their two hearts and another couple of trumps, bringing the contract down five for 1400 . That was 15 IMPs to Sweden.

Board 22. Dealer East. E-WVul.

|  | -10943 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 8 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 42 |  |
|  | \% 1064 |  |
| ¢ K | N | - A Q 82 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 1092 |  | คJ654 |
| $\diamond$ A 1093 | W E | $\checkmark 876$ |
| \&K 872 | S | \% Q 3 |
|  | ¢ J 765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 73$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 5 |  |
|  | \% AJ9 5 |  |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fick | Upmark | Stephens | Nyström |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | $1 \Phi$ | Pass | $2\rangle^{*}$ |
| Dble | $2 \Phi$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Grunder | Sylvan | Kaprey |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 12 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 2. | All Pass |  |

Stephens never got the chance of making Three Hearts when Nyström found the seven of hearts lead. Declarer took the trump finesse perforce and went down. A black suit would have given declarer the chance to make it more easily. The defense critically attacked diamonds before
declarer could unblock the king of spades, and he had no way to get back to his hand to get use of his two high spades. Three Hearts went one off - Stephens probably wished that he had passed out partner's second double.
Grunder played Two Spades in the closed room and stood no chance, finishing two down for minusl00. That was another 5 IMPs to Sweden that might easily have gone the other way.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- J 9542
-9 3
$\diamond$ QJ 87
\& 93
- AKQ6
$\bigcirc 72$
$\diamond 1096$
\& Q 762

Q Q 1085
$\diamond 543$
- AJ 108

Open Room:
West
Fick
10
INT
2
North
Upmark
Pass
Pass
Pass

East
Stephens
18
$2 \diamond *$
3NT

South
Nyström
Pass
Pass
All Pass

Closed Room:


Johan Upmark, Sweden


## Hennie Fick, South Africa

More IMPs could have been shared on this board. Upmark finally led the queen of diamonds, making life easy for Fick, who then had three spades, two hearts, three diamonds and just had to set up a club for his nine tricks. The nine of clubs or a low diamond would have been very interesting to see how declarer would cope with. However it's not easy to lead declarer's first-bid suit.
Sylvan got a spade led against his 3NT in the other room and just set up a third heart to score three hearts, three spades, two diamonds and a club for a push.
(For comparison purposes:
Steve Weinstein declared 3NT as East on a low diamond to the nine, jack, and ace. He led a spade to the ace, finessed unsuccessfully in hearts and ducked the diamond return.
Had another diamond come back, he would have cashed his spade winners before playing three more rounds of hearts to endplay South. In fact, the spade jack came back. So he won, cleared hearts, and came to one further heart, club and spade trick for nine winners.)
If board 18 is to be discussed by a South African pair, we might think that board 27 should be a topic of conversation by Wrang-Sylvan!

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.


## Open Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fick | Upmark |
|  |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stephens | Nyström |
|  | Pass |
| $1 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| $3 \varnothing$ | Pass |
| $4 N T$ | Pass |
| $7 \otimes$ | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Grunder | Sylvan | Kaprey |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 6 | All Pass |  |  |

Having the East hand and you probably "know" that partner has the three missing key cards, with hearts as trump, isn't it just to find an eventual third round control of clubs for the grand slam? Wrang could have bid Six Diamonds instead of Six Hearts and for sure East could have bid Six Clubs over Five Diamonds. When neither of them did anything, the pair "crash-landed" in Six Hearts when they had at least 14 tricks on top. A well-deserved II IMPs to South Africa.
Going into the last board of the match South Africa was down 21 IMPs after some minor swings going in each direction. But Stephens made a fine play for South Africa that brought back II IMPs when a good defense from the Swedes in the other room wasn't enough to level the board.

Board 32. Dealer West. EW Vul.

$$
\text { \& A } 109
$$

『 J 97432
$\diamond 82$
\& 107

- 743

คA 85
$\diamond$ K 973
\& A 83

© Q J 2
$\stackrel{Q}{ } 6$
$\diamond A J 105$
© K 865
$\bigcirc$ K 10
$\diamond$ Q 64
2K964
Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fick | Upmark | Stephens | Nyström |
| $1 \diamond$ | $2 \oslash$ | $3 \triangle^{*}$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Wrang | Grunder | Sylvan | Kaprey |
| Pass | Pass | 10 | Pass |
| $1 \mathbf{1 2}$ | 28 | All Pass |  |

South led the king of hearts. Stephens, who went in the tank for some time, nicely ducked. Nyström read the situation correctly and, instead of continuing hearts, switched to a spade. Upmark won the ace and returned the ten to the jack and king. Nyström continued and played a third round, clearing the suit. Stephens, noting that North had three spades and probably six hearts, had no problem playing the ace of diamonds and finessing against South for the queen. All that remained was to take club finesse for nine tricks.
As the cards were distributed, North should perhaps have allowed East to win the jack of spades and then let declarer find out what was going on before showing too much of his distribution. On the other hand, if South had the jack of spades to go with the king, the contract would have been down before declarer got in.

In the closed room, Grunder declared Two Hearts.Wrang - Sylvan put up an almost spotless defense when the queen of clubs was led to the king and West's ace. West now shifted to the four of spades, declarer covered with the nine that went to the jack and dummy's king. Grunder didn't want to play more spades, so he tried the ten of hearts. East won the queen and made no mistake, playing the ten of diamonds, which held the trick.A diamond to the nine was followed by the ace of diamonds, ruffed by declarer. Grunder tried a heart to the king, taken by West to play another spade. There was no way for declarer to avoid losing a spade and a club trick for two down. Still, 100 against the vulnerable game South Africa had scored in the other room was II IMPs back and South Africa eventually only lost with a small margin, $38-28$. Well done, considering they were down 24-0 after only two boards.


I'm an old-fashioned kind of a guy, so I love it when the old ways outdo the new. One example is that I was brought up on strong jump shifts - which are rather out of fashion these days - but they can still be very effective when used correctly. Witness this deal from Round 4 of the Venice Cup match between Italy and England.

Board . 27 Dealer South. None Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dhondy | Manara | Senior | Ferlazzo |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4 \searrow$ | Pass |
| $4 N T$ | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| $7 \searrow$ | All Pass |  |  |

For Italy, Ilaria Saccavini had no option but to respond with a simple 18 , as $2 \varnothing$ would have shown a weak hand with five or more spades and four or more hearts. Simonetta Paoluzi's 2 rebid was artificial, 17+ with a diamond single-suiter, or two-suiter with diamonds and either major. Saccavini rebid the hearts, showing a long suit as she could have inquired with 2NT as to her partner's hand-type, and Paoluzi admitted to some heart support. Now Saccavini made one cuebid but settled for the small slam upon seeing her partner cuebid diamonds. Perhaps, she could have made one more try with 6 over 5 , but she required both the ace of spades and king of hearts for the grand slam.
How much more straightforward Nevena Senior's strong jump shift made the auction. One Diamond was always unbalanced, $2 \bigcirc$ was strong, $4 \bigcirc$ stressed the hearts but implied not such a good all-round hand for the strong jump, and 4NT was Key Card. On hearing of two key cards plus the trump queen, Heather Dhondy could see that there had to be good play for 13 tricks - missing the heart king, partner had to have something apart from her hearts and the club ace - so she jumped to 7 © . A few seconds later Senior had claimed I3 tricks and England had II IMPs.

## Bermuda Bowl Round 5

## A Argentina v China

By Brian Senior
China had made a solid start to the championships and hoped to build on it when they met Argentina in Round 5 of the Bermuda Bowl. The Argentinians had started less well and were hoping to kick-start their challenge for a qualifying spot.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


QQ 8765
$\diamond 10875$

- 53


|  | N |
| :---: | :---: |
| W |  |
|  | S |
| - K 8765 |  |
| $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
| $\diamond \mathrm{J}^{2} 4$ |  |
|  | Q 976 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angeleri | Liu | Lambardi | Hou |
| - | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 48 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 68 | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sun | Pellegrini | Kang | Da Rosa |
| - | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

For China, Meng Kang opened with a strong club and Shaolin Sun's response was a balanced positive, either 8-13 or 16+. Two Clubs inquired and presumably showed spades and clubs. Kang signed off in 3NT, knowing that his partner would bid on with $16+$. Carlos Pellegrini led a low heart to the nine, ten and ace. Sun ran the jack of clubs to the queen and put in the nine on the spade return. the jack forced the ace and he played a spade back, Rodrigo Da Rosa ducking so the ten won. Sun took the heart finesse, cashed the ace and king of clubs and came back to hand with a diamond. Cashing the fourth club squeezed North
in the red suits and there were 12 tricks for +490 .
For Argentina, Pablo Lambardi opened I $\diamond$ and rebid 2NT, 18-19, over the 14 response. Their convention card tells us nothing about the subsequent auction, but it looks as though Lambardi took $3 \diamond$ to be a transfer to hearts, a perfectly playable method, as he bid the suit at every opportunity from that point on. Six No Trump was a dreadful contract and justice was done when the miracle lie required for it to succeed did not materialise. Xu Hou led a low club, which gave Lambardi a chance, dummy's jack scoring. He crossed to hand with a club and led the low spade, putting in the nine when Hou played low, and losing to the jack. Jing Liu returned a spade. Lambardi won the ace, crossed to a diamond to lead a heart to his jack and noted the fall of the ten. However, even this good fortune did not provide 12 tricks. The contract was down one for -50 and II IMPs to China, a fast start for them to the match.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
AJ 63
$\& A$
$\diamond 1063$
$\& Q 10743$

| -10 | N | - K Q 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ8754 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 2$ |
| $\diamond$ AK 92 | W E | $\checkmark$ QJ 874 |
| 2 55 | S | - K 82 |
|  | - 97542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10963$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |
|  | 2 496 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angeleri | Liu | Lambardi | Hou |
| - | - | - | 2 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 34 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sun | Pellegrini | Kang | Da Rosa |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 18 | Dble | Rdbl | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 29 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Hou's $2 \diamond$ opening, weak with at least four-four in the majors, struck gold on this deal when he continued with a psychic game try on discovering that Liu preferred his longer suit. Liu had no interest in game so signed off in 34 but all the N/S bidding had done its job in silencing their opponents. Lambardi led the queen of diamonds, which Ricardo Angeleri overtook to shift to his singleton trump. Liu rose with the ace and led a club to the nine and jack,
ruffed the diamond return and crossed to hand with a heart to lead the queen of clubs for a second finesse. When that passed off peacefully he just had to lose two trump tricks for an excellent +140 .
Da Rosa did not have an opening bid for the South hand, so passed. Pellegrini doubled Sun's I $\vee$ but Kang had plenty to drive to game with the East cards. Da Rosa led the seven of spades against 3NT, Pellegrini reading this as from weakness and putting in the jack. Kang won the king and carefully crossed to the ace of diamonds to lead a heart towards the king. When the ace appeared, his care was rewarded as the hearts were now all winners. Pellegrini returned a low club but Kang played low. Da Rosa won the ace and continued clubs so Kang had the remainder of the tricks for +690 and 13 IMPs to China, who led by 24-0.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angeleri | Liu | Lambardi | Hou |
| - | 1\% | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | Dble | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | 39 | 3 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sun | Pellegrini | Kang | Da Rosa |
| - | 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
Pellegrini opened $2 \boldsymbol{2}$, strong and artificial, and rebid 2NT over the negative/waiting $2 \triangleleft$ response, Da Rosa raising to game. It takes a heart lead, or a diamond to the ace and heart switch, to defeat 3NT, but this auction gave no clues and Kang led a spade. Pellegrini won and knocked out the club, won the spade return and knocked out the diamond, after which he had ten tricks for +630 .
Liu opened a strong club and Angeleri had the opportunity to overcall 18 . With a heart lead looming against any no trump contract, there was no longer any game available to N/S. Lambardi raised to $2 \triangleleft$ and, at his next turn, competed to $3 \Omega$, which ended the auction. Liu cashed a top spade then switched to king and, when that held, a low club club. a heart to the king lost to the ace and Liu cashed his spades then exited with his remaining trump.

A diamond loser went away on the thirteenth spade so the contract was down two for -I00 but II IMPs to Argentina; ||-26.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- AK 985
$\bigcirc 73$
$\diamond-$
\& A 107642

| N | Q QJ 72 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 8J10 542 |
|  | $\diamond 10953$ |
| S | a- |
| -1043 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 8 |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 76 |  |
| 9KQ 53 |  |

West
Angeleri
-
Pass
Pass
Pass
Dble
Pass
Pass
West
Sun
-
Pass
Pass
Pass
Pass

| North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liu | Lambardi | Hou |
| - | Pass | INT |
| 2 | Pass | 24 |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Rdbl | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 5 | Pass | 5NT |
| 6\% | All Pass |  |
| North | East | South |
| Pellegrini | Kang | Da Rosa |
| - | Pass | INT |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 2 |
| 3\% | Pass | 39 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| $5 \checkmark$ | Pass | 6\% |

All Pass
Both N/S pairs bid smoothly to the excellent club slam, after South opened INT and North transferred to spades then showed his clubs; flat at +920 .
There were several small and medium-sized swings but nothing particularly exciting and we reached the final deal with China ahead by 40-20 IMPs.


Ricardo Angeleri, Argentina

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- Q 3

คAK643
$\diamond$ AKQ 4
\& 74


| N | - 75 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ Q 8 |
| W E | $\diamond 87532$ |
| S | 2 J 1085 |
| \& KJ9642 |  |
| $\bigcirc 1092$ |  |
| $\diamond$ J |  |
| 2 A Q 2 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Angeleri | Liu | Lambardi | Hou |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 6\% |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sun | Pellegrini | Kang | Da Rosa |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pas |  |

The Argentinian auction was very simple and, when Da Rosa gave preference to hearts opposite the game-forcing rebid, Pellegrini raised himself to game and played there. Kang led the jack of clubs against $4 \boxtimes$. Pellegrini rose with the ace, cashed the jack of diamonds and came to hand with a top heart to take two club discards on the diamonds. He ruffed his club loser, cashed the other top heart and conceded a trick in each major; +450 .
Liu opened with a strong 18 and the $I \vee$ response was a positive with five or more spades. One No Trump asked and 24 showed the sixth card. After that, we do not have the details of their methods - what matters is that they alighted in 64, played by South.
Though the club position is protected, the slam can be defeated even when played from the South seat - West must lead a heart and a second round when in with the ace of spades, as declarer cannot take the necessary discard on the fourth diamond so requires the club finesse and is down one. However, Angeleri led the ten of diamonds. Hou could win the jack and play on spades, win the diamond continuation when West won the second round, and cross to hand with the club ace to draw the last trump. He still had heart entries to table to cash the remaining diamond winners, so had 12 tricks for +980 and II IMPs to China.
The final score was 5I-20 in favour of China, converting to $16.88-3.12 \mathrm{VPs}$.

## Age is no Bar to Excellence <br> By Mark Horton

Bridge is one of the sports where age can be an advantage.
The efforts of the scoring team mean that it is possible to check the results on a specific deal at every table.
This was the last deal of the third match on Sunday:
Board 32. Dealer West. EWVul.

- A 93
- K 4
$\diamond A 9876$
- AK 4

| - KJ86 | N | Q Q 107542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢9752 |  | - A 103 |
| $\diamond$ K | W E | $\diamond$ Q |
| -1976 | S | -1082 |
|  | - - |  |
|  | Q QJ 86 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ 1105432 |  |
|  | \& Q 53 |  |

You might like to reach $6 \diamond$ on the North/South cards - it passes Bob Hamman's Law on slams - but how easy is it to achieve?
In the Bermuda Bowl $6 \diamond$ was bid five times, the rest of the field reaching $3 \mathrm{NT} / 5 \diamond$ (apart from the unfortunate pair who attempted $6 \%$ ).
In the Venice Cup $6 \diamond$ was reached three times. Three EW pairs were allowed to play in 3s (one attempted 4s doubled which cost 800) while one NS pair came to rest in $4 \oslash$ - which was allowed to make. The strangest result was perhaps when NS played in $2 \diamond$ (Brian Senior's match report in this issue reveals all).
In the d'Orsi Trophy $6 \diamond$ was reached seven times, with kudos to the pairs in the matches between Bulgaria and Pakistan and Egypt and Chile where it was a flat board. The remainder of the field played in game.
Here is one of the successful auctions from the Bermuda Bowl match between Bulgaria and Denmark:

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Houmoller | Stamatov | Schaffer | Danailov |
| Pass | 19** | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble* |
| 3** | Pass | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4** | Pass | $6\rangle$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| 19 16+ Dble 6+ 4. Cue bid |  |  |  |

You will observe that even without the ace of spades, $6 \diamond$ will make as long as the trumps divide.

## Best Exotic Marigold Hotel (OT R5)



## USAI v USA2



By Mark Horton
The Best Exotic Marigold Hotel follows a group of British retirees who decide to «outsource» their retirement to less expensive and seemingly exotic India. Enticed by advertisements for the newly restored Marigold Hotel and bolstered with visions of a life of leisure, they arrive to find the palace a shell of its former self. Though the new environment is less luxurious than imagined, they are forever transformed by their shared experiences, discovering that life and love can begin again when you let go of the past.
One of my favourite lines from the film is delivered by the proprietor of the unfinished hotel:
'In India we have a saying:
Everything will be alright in the end and if it not alright then it is not the end.'
When the teams representing the United States met in Round 5 of the d'Orsi Trophy they were both in a qualifying position and hoping that at the end of the Round Robin everything would be okay.

Board 3. Dealer South. EW Vul.

- AJ 63
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond 1063$
\& Q 10743
- 10

QQJ8754
$\diamond$ AK 92

- J 5

- K Q 8
© K 2
$\diamond$ QJ874
- K 82
- 97542

ค 10963
$\diamond 5$
2 496
Open Room

| West <br> Clerkin | North <br> Rosenberg | East <br> Clerkin | South <br> Mahmood |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Dble | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{\text { Pass }}$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

South led the seven of spades and North took the ace and, after due consideration, switched to the three of clubs. Declarer won the third round of the suit and played a heart to the queen and ace, North cashing out for two down, 200.

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Lair | East <br> Hamman | South <br> Simson |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | Dble | Rdb | $2 \wedge$ |
| 38 | Pass | 48 | All Pass |

North led the three of clubs and South took the ace and switched to the five of diamonds. Declarer won with the ace and played a heart and North won, laid down the ace of spades and on seeing a discouraging nine from South , played the three of diamonds, South's ruff meaning one down,-100 and 3 IMPs to USAI.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

$$
\text { - A Q IO } 9
$$

$\bigcirc$ J 2
$\diamond$ J 108

* A 1098
- KJ 864

คA1065
$\diamond 653$
\& 3


- 75
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond$ K Q 42
*KQJ65
- 32
- K Q 9743
$\diamond$ A 97
\& 74
Open Room

| West | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clerkin | Rosenberg |
| Pass | 19 |
| 1s | Pass |
| All Pass |  |


| East | South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Clerkin | Mahmood |
| Pass | 18 |
| Pass | Dble |



Bob Hamman, USAI

North led the jack of diamonds and when declarer put up dummy's king South followed with the seven. The king of clubs went to North's ace and he continued with the eight of diamonds, South winning with the nine and returning the three of spades, covered by the jack and queen. North cashed the ace of spades and followed it with the ten. Cut off from dummy declarer could only win and play a diamond for the king and ace. He took South's low heart return with the ace and exited with a trump. As the cards lay the defenders eventually had to concede a fifth trick, two down, -500.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lair | Aker | Hamman | Simson |
| Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | $2 \&$ | $2 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | All Pass |  |

Declarer lost two hearts, a diamond and a club, $+140,8$ IMPs to USA I.

Board 5. Dealer North. NS Vul.

|  | - AKQ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 2 |  |
|  | \&KQJ642 |  |
| - J 52 | N | -10873 |
| ¢KJ953 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 86 |
| $\checkmark$ A 87 |  | $\diamond$ J10 54 |
| -98 | S | - A 5 |
|  | - 964 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1074$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 963 |  |
|  | - 1073 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clerkin | Rosenberg | Clerkin | Mahmood |
|  | $2 \boldsymbol{2 e *}^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |

All Pass
When East led the eight of spades declarer could win and drive out the ace of clubs for an eventual +660 .
For what it's worth, a quick canvass found the majority voting for a diamond lead when West would have the opportunity to win and switch to a heart.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lair | Aker | Hamman | Simson |
|  | $1 \$$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | Dble | $\mathbf{2} \varangle$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | All Pass |  |

Declarer took ten tricks so USA I added another II IMPs to lead 22-0.

On Board 6 NS held sAK985 〇73 $\diamond$ - \$Al07642 opposite 1043 SAK8 $\triangle$ K76 2 KQ 53
Rosenberg-Zia bid INT-24*-2NT*-3s-4e-4@-4NT*-5**-54*-6\% which was basically a transfer to clubs followed by showing the spades followed by a RKCB ask.
 6\%.I was about to set off in search of the players to get a detailed explanation when Barry Rigal suggested it was much more fun to try and guess, so here goes: After the transfer to spades 2NT showed clubs with $3 \diamond$ indicating a good fit. $4 \diamond$ \& $4 \bigcirc$ were cue bids.
Well done by both pairs.
Board 7. Dealer south. All Vul.


North led the ten of diamonds and declarer won with the ace and played a club for the jack, queen and king, North continuing with the jack of spades for the four, ace and queen. I was expecting South to lay down the ace of hearts at this point, the idea being to play a diamond if North discouraged, but the actual choice of a diamond allowed


Doug Simson, USA2
declarer to win in dummy, pitch a heart on a spade and then pitch a second heart on a diamond, North's ruff being the last trick for the defence, +130 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lair | Aker | Hamman | Simson <br> IS |
| 4e | All Pass |  |  |

Here North led the jack of spades and South took the ace and continued with the ace and queen of hearts, one down, - 100 and 6 IMPs for USA II.

Board 9. Dealer North. EWVul.

- AK 9854


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clerkin | Rosenberg | Clerkin | Mahmood |
|  | 2 | Dble | 34 |
| $4 \varnothing$ | Dble | Pass | 4 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

East started with the ace, king and jack of clubs and West ruffed, cashed the king of diamonds, played the king of hearts, cashed the ace of diamonds and played a third diamond. Declarer ruffed high, and could draw trumps ending in dummy, three down, -500 .
Do you see how West might have improved on this?
After ruffing the third round of clubs West exits with a trump. Declarer wins in hand and ruffs a club, but when he exits with a red card,West can cash three winners and then play a third diamond, promoting East's ten of spades.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Lair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Aker | Hamman | Simson |
| $4 \nabla$ | 14 | $2 ه$ | Pass |

The defenders started with three rounds of spades and South overruffed dummy's seven of hearts with the jack and exited with the four of hearts, declarer quickly claiming the rest, +620 and 3 IMPs to USA I when it might have been 5 in the opposite direction.

Board I6. Dealer West. EW Vul.

- Q 3
-AK643
$\diamond$ AKQ 4
- 74

A108
$\vee J 75$
$\diamond 1096$
$+K 963$

- 75
- Q 8
$\diamond 87532$
•J 1085
- KJ9642
- 1092
$\diamond 1$
* A Q 2

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clerkin | Rosenberg | Clerkin | Mahmood |
| Pass | $1 \searrow$ | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \searrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East led the jack of clubs and declarer won with dummy's ace, unblocked the jack of diamonds, came to hand with a trump, pitched two clubs on top diamonds, ruffed a club and played a trump for eleven tricks, +450 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lair | Aker | Hamman | Simson |
| Pass | $10^{*}$ | Pass | $10^{*}$ |
| Pass | $1 \Phi$ | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | $4 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |

19 RM Precision, 16+
I 8 5+4, $8+$ or II-13 balanced, game forcing
After the I response INT would ask for controls (show MM with II-I3 BAL). If a spade suit is shown, North can relay or set spades as trumps. Then shape-showing and low Keycard can apply. New suits would show unbid suits by steps.
If I can find NS I will get the meaning of $4 \bigcirc$.
6 was reached at a number of tables. If North is declarer East can lead the jack of clubs, when there is no winning line.
When South is declarer there is a winning lead - but no defender in the Bermuda Bowl found it.
Can you?
West must lead a low heart.
Declarer wins in dummy and plays the queen of spades which West must duck. After a second spade goes to the ace West returns his remaining low heart and declarer cannot unscramble his tricks.
USA 1 took this low scoring encounter 26-I7 IMPs, 12.55-7.45 VP. Both teams would be hoping to advance to the knock out phase - if they can reach the semi-finals you might see The Second Best Marigold Hotel.

## Video Corner



Find our daily videos on our WBF Youtube page or click on the photos:

Knowing Traian Chira
Day IBermuda Bowl


- WBF Broadcaster


also check out WBF Facebook Page Live Bulletin WBF FlickR



## World Championship Book 2015

The official book of these championships will be available around April next year. It will cover all the best of the action from all the different championships, including a full listing of participants and results and many photographs, and will be in full colour throughout. The writers are Brian Senior, Barry Rigal, John Carruthers and GeO Tislevoll.
On publication, the official retail price will be
US\$35-00 or $£ 22-00$ (plus postage from some retailers). If you pre-order and pay in Chennai the special price is US $\$ 30-00$ or 2000 Rupees, post free, and the books will be sent out as soon as I have them. To order, see Jan Swaan in the Press Room, next to the vugraph theatre on the second floor.
Readers who are not present in Chennai can share in this special offer by emailing me at:
bsenior@hotmail.com
I will need your full postal address and we can arrange payment via Paypal.

Brian Senior

## BBO files vs life

By Marek Wojcicki
It is in fashion now to take some look at the BBO files. In general, it is worth to be able to look at the hands from the past, particularly if you are journalist or ambitious player. But do we have always to believe what we see?

In round number two we could see the broadcast of the Venice Cup match between Poland and Australia. The first board really surprised me:


The bidding of Kazmucha was really surprising. At least no less, then her partner. What could be the explanation?
At first moment, I was afraid about the results of eventual antidoping test - obviously West was on some tranquilizer, and East on the opposite side of the universe... Or... Brewiak knew how beautiful the hand was that Danuta held...
Luckily for the East-West pair, I checked the bidding, and in fact it went:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kazmucha | Lusk | Brewiak | Bourke |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1 |
| 18 | 12 | Pass | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | Pass | 24 |
| Dble | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

At that moment I stopped being afraid of any antidrug test or any other control...

THE NEW APP ON BIDDING

FOR TABLETS AND SMARTPHONES
jvcleeff@xs4all.nl

## uBid uBet!

AVAILABLE IN THE APP STORE AND GOOGLE PLAY
www.newinbridge.com/ubid

## RED SEAINTERNATIONAL <br>  FESTIYAL <br> EILAT - ISRAEL <br> NOVEMBER 12-22, 2015



Tournament Program
Mixed Pairs
M.P. Pairs

National Simultaneous
IMP Pairs
Open Pairs Teams

November 12,13
November 14
November 15
November 16,17
November 18,19,20
November 21
Participants from All Over the World
Including European and World Champions.
Entrance Fee
є 16 per session.
Total Prize Money in Excess of $£ 25,000$
Special Accommodation Packages
Daily Social Events

Bridge
$\underset{\substack{\text { ISRAEL BRIDGE } \\ \text { FEDERATION }}}{ }$


Further information and registration:
Organizing Committee: David \& Alon Birman, 50 Pinkas St., Tel Aviv, Israel
Tel.: +972-3-6058355, +972-50-6698655, Email: birmand@inter.net.il, www.bridgeredsea.com

## RESULTS

## Venice Cup

| Round 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| 21 DENMARK | USA2 | 3141 | 6.70 | 12.30 |
| 22 USAI | POLAND | 3225 | 12.03 | 7.97 |
| 23 NETHERLANDS | FRANCE | 3564 | 3.42 | 16.58 |
| 24 PAKISTAN | CHINA | 1559 | 1.45 | 18.55 |
| 25 GUADELOUPE | ITALY | 2056 | 2.41 | 17.59 |
| 26 CHINESE TAIPEI | SOUTH AFRICA | 3831 | 12.03 | 7.97 |
| 27 AUSTRALIA | EGYPT | 5747 | 12.80 | 7.20 |
| 28 ENGLAND | NEW ZEALAND | 5331 | 15.38 | 4.62 |
| 29 VENEZUELA | JAPAN | 3239 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 30 CANADA | INDIA | 608 | 19.34 | 0.66 |
| 31 JORDAN | BRAZIL | $46 \quad 43$ | 10.91 | 9.09 |

d'Orsi Trophy

| Round 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 41 BULGARIA | AUSTRIA | 33 | 55 | 4.62 | 15.38 |
| 42 POLAND | NORWAY | 10 | 43 | 2.83 | 17.17 |
| 43 INDONESIA | IRELAND | 34 | 44 | 7.20 | 12.80 |
| 44 GUADELOUPE | SWEDEN | 38 | 37 | 10.31 | 9.69 |
| 45 AUSTRALIA | NEW ZEALAND | 52 | 13 | 17.97 | 2.03 |
| 46 BRAZIL | USA2 | 12 | 81 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 47 CANADA | CHINA | 43 | 11 | 17.03 | 2.97 |
| 48 USAI | INDIA | 25 | 26 | 9.19 | 9.81 |
| 49 ENGLAND | EGYPT | 68 | 14 | 19.52 | 0.48 |
| 50 TUNISIA | PAKISTAN | 32 | 77 | 1.34 | 18.66 |
| 51 JAPAN | CHILE | 41 | 37 | 11.20 | 8.80 |


| Round 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| 21 POLAND | DENMARK | 2447 | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 22 FRANCE | USAI | 1446 | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| 23 CHINA | NETHERLANDS | 1035 | 4.08 | 15.92 |
| 24 ITALY | PAKISTAN | 5030 | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 25 SOUTH AFRICA | GUADELOUPE | 3725 | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| 26 EGYPT | CHINESE TAIPEI | 1941 | 4.62 | 15.38 |
| 27 NEW ZEALAND | AUSTRALIA | 2344 | 4.81 | 15.19 |
| 28 BRAZIL | ENGLAND | $38 \quad 12$ | 16.09 | 3.91 |
| 29 JAPAN | USA2 | 1944 | 4.08 | 15.92 |
| 30 INDIA | VENEZUELA | 124 | 12.29 | 7.71 |
| 31 JORDAN | CANADA | 6108 | 0.00 | 20.00 |


| Round 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 41 NORWAY | BULGARIA | 24 | 31 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 42 IRELAND | POLAND | 47 | 27 | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 43 SWEDEN | INDONESIA | 34 | 63 | 3.42 | 16.58 |
| 44 NEW ZEALAND | GUADELOUPE | 9 | 70 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 45 USA2 | AUSTRALIA | 12 | 45 | 2.83 | 17.17 |
| 46 CHINA | BRAZIL | 27 | 28 | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| 47 INDIA | CANADA | 39 | 12 | 16.26 | 3.74 |
| 48 CHILE | USAI | 28 | 29 | 9.69 | 10.31 |
| 49 EGYPT | AUSTRIA | 11 | 24 | 6.48 | 13.52 |
| 50 PAKISTAN | ENGLAND | 17 | 13 | 11.20 | 8.80 |
| 51 JAPAN | TUNISIA | 22 | 7 | 13.97 | 6.03 |


| Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 21 DENMARK | FRANCE | 20 | 27 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 22 USAI | CHINA | 24 | 31 | 7.97 | 12.03 |
| 23 NETHERLANDS | ITALY | 26 | 12 | 13.75 | 6.25 |
| 24 PAKISTAN | SOUTH AFRICA | 5 | 44 | 2.03 | 17.97 |
| 25 GUADELOUPE | EGYPT | 55 | 32 | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 26 CHINESE TAIPEI | NEW ZEALAND | 45 | 22 | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 27 AUSTRALIA | ENGLAND | 2 | 34 | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| 28 POLAND | JAPAN | 33 | 17 | 14.18 | 5.82 |
| 29 USA2 | INDIA |  | 13 | 19.93 | 0.07 |
| 30 VENEZUELA | JORDAN | 53 | 8 | 18.66 | 1.34 |
| 31 CANADA | BRAZIL |  | 26 | 7.71 | 12.29 |


| Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 41 BULGARIA | IRELAND | 26 | 53 | 3.74 | 16.26 |
| 42 POLAND | SWEDEN | 19 | 21 | 9.39 | 10.61 |
| 43 INDONESIA | NEW ZEALAND | 13 | 24 | 6.96 | 13.04 |
| 44 GUADELOUPE | USA2 | 18 | 84 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| 45 AUSTRALIA | CHINA | 48 | 2 | 18.77 | 1.23 |
| 46 BRAZIL | INDIA | 14 | 54 | 1.91 | 18.09 |
| 47 CANADA | USAI | 21 | 46 | 4.08 | 15.92 |
| 48 NORWAY | EGYPT | 40 | 18 | 15.38 | 4.62 |
| 49 AUSTRIA | PAKISTAN | 35 | 11 | 15.74 | 4.26 |
| 50 ENGLAND | JAPAN | 34 |  | 13.04 | 6.96 |
| 51 TUNISIA | CHILE | 5 | 27 | 4.62 | 15.38 |

## Bermuda Bowl

| Round 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 1 CHINA | SWEDEN | 51 | 36 | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 2 UAE | AUSTRALIA | 74 | 42 | 17.03 | 2.97 |
| 3 NEW ZEALAND | BRAZIL | 19 | 51 | 2.97 | 17.03 |
| 4 SOUTH AFRICA | SINGAPORE | 31 | 22 | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 5 USAI | USA2 | 13 | 63 | 0.84 | 19.16 |
| 6 ENGLAND | DENMARK | 35 | 20 | 13.97 | 6.03 |
| 7 JORDAN | BULGARIA | 8 | 63 | 0.39 | 19.61 |
| 8 EGYPT | CANADA | 37 | 12 | 15.92 | 4.08 |
| 9 INDIA | JAPAN | 58 | 49 | 12.55 | 7.45 |
| 10 ARGENTINA | GUADELOUPE | 44 | 27 | 14.39 | 5.61 |
| II POLAND | FRANCE | 24 | 48 | 4.26 | 15.74 |


| Round 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMPs |  | VPs |  |
| 1 | CHINA | BRAZIL | 23 | 21 | 10.61 | 9.39 |
| 2 | UAE | SINGAPORE | 23 | 42 | 5.20 | 14.80 |
| 3 | NEW ZEALAND | USA2 | 22 | 33 | 6.96 | 13.04 |
| 4 | SOUTH AFRICA | DENMARK | 3 | 29 | 3.41 | 15.59 |
| 5 | USAI | BULGARIA | 41 | 9 | 17.03 | 2.97 |
| 6 | ENGLAND | CANADA | 9 | 39 | 3.27 | 16.73 |
| 7 | JORDAN | EGYPT | 20 | 17 | 10.91 | 9.09 |
| 8 | AUSTRALIA | JAPAN | 38 | 18 | 15.00 | 5.00 |
| 9 | SWEDEN | GUADELOUPE | 61 | 31 | 16.73 | 3.27 |
| 10 | INDIA | POLAND | 14 | 27 | 6.48 | 13.52 |
| 11 | ARGENTINA | FRANCE | 28 | 9 | 14.80 | 5.20 |


| Round 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I AUSTRALIA | CHINA | 1828 | 7.20 | 12.80 |
| 2 BRAZIL | UAE | 4621 | 15.92 | 4.08 |
| 3 SINGAPORE | NEW ZEALAND | 2542 | 5.11 | 13.89 |
| 4 USA2 | SOUTH AFRICA | 2745 | 5.40 | 14.60 |
| 5 DENMARK | USAI | 3422 | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| 6 BULGARIA | ENGLAND | 2851 | 4.44 | 15.56 |
| 7 CANADA | JORDAN | 4825 | 15.56 | 4.44 |
| 8 FRANCE | EGYPT | $52 \quad 24$ | 16.42 | 3.58 |
| 9 JAPAN | SWEDEN | 5038 | 13.28 | 6.72 |
| 10 GUADELOUPE | INDIA | 1131 | 5.00 | 15.00 |
| 11 POLAND | ARGENTINA | 4126 | 13.97 | 6.03 |

## Electronic Surveillance Equipment

In order to access the playing rooms you will have to pass through an electronic screening device.
Unnecessary delays can be avoided by ensuring that you are not carrying anything that will activate the equipment.

## No smoking or drinking policy

Please be reminded that smoking and drinking are prohibited at any time and in any place during sessions.


THE BANKER TO EVERY INDIAN

A rich legacy of creating iconic landmarks.

